arXiv:1206.4026v2 [math-ph] 28 Jun 2012

TWISTED VERTEX ALGEBRAS, BICHARACTER CONSTRUCTION AND BOSON-FERMION CORRESPONDENCES

IANA I. ANGUELOVA

Abstract. The boson-fermion correspondences are a fascinating phenomena on the intersection of several areas in mathematical physics: representation theory, vertex algebras and conformal field theory, integrable systems, number theory, cohomology... The boson-fermion correspondence of type A is an isomorphism between two super vertex algebras (and so has singularities in the operator product expansions only at $z = w$). But most boson-fermion correspondences cannot be described by the concept of a super vertex algebra, as they have more general singularities in their operator product expansions. The other well known example, the boson-fermion correspondence of type B, plays similarly important role in many areas, including representation theory, integrable systems, random matrix theory and random processes, but the vertex operators describing it have singularities in their operator product expansions at both $z = w$ and $z = -w$. Thus the answer to the question "what is a boson-fermion correspondence" needs a more general concept than that of a super vertex algebra. In this paper we present such a notion: the concept of a twisted vertex algebra, which generalizes the concept of a super vertex algebra. The two sides of the boson-fermion correspondence of type B constitute two such examples of twisted vertex algebras, and the boson-fermion correspondence of type B is consequently an isomorphism between two twisted vertex algebras. We also present the bicharacter construction which allows us to construct many and varied examples of twisted vertex algebras. The boson fermion correspondence of type B is among the examples described by the bicharacter construction. We construct another example of boson-fermion correspondence: the boson fermion correspondence of type D-C, which is a new boson-fermion correspondence. The correspondence of type D-C is another example of an isomorphism of twisted vertex algebras. The bicharacter construction presented here as a main working set of tools is an alternative way to view many vertex algebras, as it is uniquely suited for calculating operator product expansions (OPEs), analytic continuations and vacuum expectation values using the underlying Hopf algebra structure. We present also general bicharacter formulas for the vacuum expectation values for three important groups of examples.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
1.1. Motivation 2
1.2. Overview of the paper 3
1.3. Definition of twisted vertex algebra 5
1.4. What is new in this paper 6
2. Notation 6
3. Super vertex algebras and the boson-fermion correspondence of type A 8
4. Twisted vertex algebras: definition, overview, examples 11
4.1. Twisted vertex algebras: definition and overview 11

Date: March 8, 2013.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B69.

4.2. Examples of twisted vertex algebras: the boson-fermion correspondence of type B 13
4.3. Examples of twisted vertex algebras: the boson-fermion correspondence of type D-C 15
5. Bicharacter construction: a general way of constructing examples of twisted vertex algebras 17
5.1. Super bicharacters and free Leibnitz modules 17
5.2. Examples of free Leibnitz modules 19
5.3. Exponential map and its properties; Holomorphic twisted vertex algebras 22
5.4. Vertex operators, analytic continuations and OPEs from a bicharacter 27
6. Examples of twisted vertex algebras explained 36
6.1. Twisted vertex algebras based on $\mathbb{C}\{\phi\}$ and a choice of a bicharacter 37
6.2. Twisted vertex algebras based on $\mathbb{C}\{\phi\}$: Pfaffian vacuum expectation values 37
6.3. Twisted vertex algebras based on $\mathbb{C}\{\phi\}$: the neutral free fermion of type B 38
6.4. Twisted vertex algebras based on $\mathbb{C}\{\phi\}$: the neutral free fermion of type D-C 42
6.5. Twisted vertex algebras based on $\mathbb{C}\{\phi, \psi\}$: determinant vacuum expectation values 50
6.6. Super vertex algebra based on $\mathbb{C}\{\phi, \psi\}$: the free fermion of type A 52
6.7. Twisted vertex algebras based on $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}\alpha]$ and a choice of a bicharacter 53
6.8. Twisted vertex algebras based on $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}\alpha]$: product vacuum expectation values 54
6.9. Super vertex algebra based on $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}\alpha]$: the free boson of type A 55
6.10. Twisted vertex algebra based on $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}\alpha]$: the free boson of type B 56
6.11. Twisted vertex algebra based on $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}\alpha]$: the free boson of type D-C 59
6.12. Miscellaneous: Other examples of boson-fermion correspondences and twisted vertex algebras 63
References 64

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Motivation.** In 1+1 dimensions (1 time and 1 space dimension) the bosons and fermions are related by the boson-fermion correspondences. The simplest, and best known, case of a boson-fermion correspondence is that of type A, but there are other examples of boson-fermion correspondences, for instance the boson-fermion correspondence of type B, the super boson-fermion correspondences of type A and B, and others. They are extensively studied in many physics and mathematics papers, some of the first and most influential being the papers by Date-Jimbo-Kashiwara-Miwa, Igor Frenkel, Sato and Segal-Wilson, which make the connection between the representation theory of Lie algebras and soliton theory. (An exposition of some of the mathematical results relating to the boson-fermion correspondence of type A is given in [KR87].) As with any mathematical concept, there are at least two distinct directions of inquiry. One is: what types of applications and structures we can get as a result of such a boson-fermion correspondence. And the second direction addresses the fundamental questions: What **is** a boson-fermion correspondence? What mathematical structures constitute each side of the correspondence?

For the simplest boson-fermion correspondence, that of type A (often called the charged free boson-fermion correspondence), both directions of inquiry have been addressed, and applications of the boson-fermion correspondence of type A continue to be found. The first of these directions, which was also the first to be studied, involved the structure properties and the applications of this boson-fermion correspondence; and those turned out to be very rich and many varied. As was mentioned above, Date-Jimbo-Kashiwara-Miwa and Igor Frenkel discovered its connection to the theory of integrable systems, namely to the KP and KdV hierarchies, to the theory of symmetric polynomials and representation theory of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, namely the a_∞ algebra, (whence the name ”type A” derives), as well as to the $\hat{sl}_n$ and other affine Lie algebras. Their work sparked further interest in it, and there are now connections to many other areas, including number theory and geometry, as well as random matrix theory and random processes (see for example papers by Harnad, Orlov and van de Leur). As this boson-fermion correspondence turned out to have so many applications and connections to various mathematical areas, the natural question needed to be addressed: what **is** a boson-fermion correspondence? An isomorphism between what kind of mathematical structures? A partial answer early on was given by Igor Frenkel in [Fre81], but the full answer had to wait for the development of the theory of vertex algebras. Vertex operators were introduced in the earliest days of string theory and now play an important role in many areas such as quantum field theory, integrable models, representation theory, random matrix theory, and many others. The theory of super vertex algebras axiomatizes the properties of some, simplest, ”algebras” of vertex operators (see for instance [Bor86], [FLM88], [FHL93], [Kac98], [LL04]). Thus, the question ”what **is** the boson-fermion correspondence of type A” has the following answer: the boson-fermion correspondence of type A is an isomorphism between two super vertex algebras ([Kac98]).

For other well-known boson-fermion correspondences, e.g. the type B, the super correspondence of type B, CKP, and others, there are already many applications and uncovered connections to other mathematical structures. For example, Date, Jimbo, Kashiwara and Miwa, who introduced the correspondence of type B, discovered its connection to the theory of integrable systems, namely to the BKP hierarchy ([DJKM82]), to the representation theory of the b_∞ algebra (whence the name ”type B” derives), to symmetric polynomials and the symmetric group (some further developments were provided by You in [You89]). There are currently studies of its connection to random matrices and random processes by J. Harnad, Van de Leur, Orlov, and others (see for example [vdLO09]). There is also currently ongoing work on other boson-fermion and boson-boson correspondences, for example the CKP correspondence (originally defined in [DJKM81], current [vOS11]).

On the other hand, the question ”what **is** a boson-fermion correspondence” has not been answered. We know that in most cases it **is not** an isomorphism between two **super** vertex algebras anymore, as the correspondence of type A was. In this paper we answer this question. To do that we need to introduce the concept of a **twisted vertex algebra of order N**, which generalizes the concept of a super vertex algebra, namely the super vertex algebra is a twisted vertex algebra of order 1. The boson-fermion correspondence of type B is then the most obvious example of an isomorphism between two **twisted** vertex algebras. But there are many other examples, thus the goal of this paper is not to study each correspondence in detail (a complete study of even one such correspondence cannot be done in a single paper), but rather to provide a motivation and an overview of the new concept of twisted vertex algebra.

1.2. **Overview of the paper.** After going through the notation that we will use throughout the paper, we first briefly describe the examples of the two super vertex algebras that constitute the boson-fermion correspondence of type A. We assume the reader is familiar with the definition and properties of super vertex algebras, hence we directly go to the relevant example of the boson-fermion correspondence of type A. We list only one property that is the "imprint" of the boson-fermion correspondence of type A: namely the Cauchy determinant identity that represents (and follows from) the equality between the vacuum expectation values of the two sides of the correspondence. (As discussed above, there are many, many properties and applications of any boson-fermion correspondence, which can, and do, occupy many papers).

Next we proceed with the definition of a twisted vertex algebra and the brief description of the examples of two pairs of twisted vertex algebras that constitute two examples of boson-fermion correspondences: the boson-fermion correspondence of type B and the boson-fermion correspondence of type D-C. We again list only one corollary for each correspondence, an identity which is a very important "imprint" of each correspondence: namely the equality between the vacuum expectation values of the two sides of the correspondence. For the correspondence of type B, this equality is actually the Schur Pfaffian identity, and for the type D-C it is another Pfaffian identity.

In this paper we will follow an approach that is a little bit unorthodox: after the definition of a twisted vertex algebra we first briefly narrate the description of the examples and their properties, without any proofs of the statements. As this is a new and technical definition, its necessity can only be justified by some very meaningful examples of the concept–these examples are the boson-fermion correspondences. Since the proofs of the statements in the narrative of the examples are lengthy enough to impede the overview, we postpone the proofs till a later part of the paper, until after we have introduced the requisite technical set of tools, namely the bicharacter construction. The bicharacter construction was first introduced in the context of vertex algebras in [Bor01], the super bicharacters were introduced in [Ang08]. The bicharacter construction is a set of tools that can accomplish two goals: first, to give an alternative description of an "algebra" of vertex operators. One may ask why is an alternative description necessary or useful? The answer is because the bicharacter description has the advantage of providing formulas for the analytic continuations, OPEs, vacuum expectation values, that are quite general and very hard to obtain from the operator-based description of vertex operators. Some of these formulas, the analytic continuations formulas for example, are very hard if not impossible to obtain otherwise even for specific examples. The second goal is to provide an alternative, and again, general way of constructing examples of (super, twisted, quantum) vertex algebras by using the Hopf algebra structure and bicharacters. As is always the case with an alternative point of view, one can predict examples that are very natural from the alternative point of view, but not so natural from the operator point of view. A very representative case in point is the boson-fermion correspondence of type D-C, which was natural from the point of view of the bicharacter construction, and could be generalized (see for example [RT12]), but seemed completely unlikely from the operator point of view. There is a super vertex algebra of the neutral fermion of type D (see [Kac98], [KW94]), so from operator point of view the algebra is closed, and so there was no apparent need to make a twisted double cover of it. The super vertex algebra of the neutral fermion of type D though does **not** have a bosonic equivalent, the double cover of it does. Hence the boson-fermion correspondence of type D-C is an isomorphism between two twisted vertex algebras, and the fermion side of it is the double cover of the super vertex algebra of neutral fermion of type D. As always though with a new set of tools there is a necessary accumulation of different aspects before one begins using it, hence the bicharacter construction occupies a long technical section. The difference between the bicharacter description and the operator-based is the following: In the operator-based description (which we admit is almost always used, especially in physics), the examples are presented in terms of generating fields (vertex operators) and their OPEs (or commutation relations). With the bicharacter construction one starts instead with a (supercommutative supercocommutative) Hopf algebra M and its free Leibnitz module (the concept of a free Leibnitz module, a.k.a a free module-algebra, is explained in detail in section 5.1, with examples in 5.2). The commutation relations are in fact a consequence and dictated by the choice of the bicharacter r. A different bicharacter r will dictate different commutation relations. Moreover, for each Hopf algebra M there are many choices of a bicharacter r, and so each such pair (M, r) will give rise to a different twisted vertex algebra, even if the underlying spaces of states are the same as Hopf algebras. It is actually the field-state correspondence Y that changes with each choice of a bicharacter, and hence the actual fields and their operator description. This is the case for the the fermionic sides of the B and D-C correspondences: they have identical spaces of states as Hopf algebras (which is not altogether surprising as they are both neutral fermions), but very different operator content. Thus with the bicharacter construction examples are grouped based on the underlying Hopf algebra M, i.e. one starts by keeping M the same, but changing the bicharacter r. We want to stress the fact that there is a variety of examples even after we fix the algebra M. As it turns out this grouping based on the Hopf algebra M is not just a whim: there are general formulas for the vacuum expectation values based on the Hopf algebra M (hence the vacuum expectation values for both the B and the D fermion are Pfaffians, although, of course, different ones). We give those formulas in sections 6.2, 6.5 and 6.8. In the last, section 6 we explain the examples, using extensively the bicharacter construction and formulas provided in the previous section, and we prove all the statements from sections 4.2 and 4.2.

1.3. **Definition of twisted vertex algebra.** We would like to address in a few words the following question: where in the general picture of vertex operators and related vertex algebra structures does the concept of a twisted vertex algebra fall? First, twisted vertex algebras have singularities in their Operator Product Expansions (OPEs) at roots of unity. Thus the name twisted, which in the context of vertex operators refers to the roots of unity in the OPEs. But also twisted vertex algebras obey supercommutativity, i.e., we roughly have $Y(a, z)Y(b, w) \sim (-1)^{\tilde{}} Y(b, w)Y(a, z)$. We prefer to use the name "vertex algebras" for "algebras" of vertex operators obeying supercommutativity. So twisted vertex algebras fall into the category of (supercommutative) vertex algebras at roots of unity (super vertex algebras are as mentioned twisted vertex algebras of order 1). This case is very important for applications in conformal field theory, as one can derive commutation or anticommutation relations, see for example [RT12]. Hence all the examples of boson-fermion correspondences so far fall into the category of twisted vertex algebras. A larger category will consist of the "algebras" of vertex operators which have roots of unity in their OPEs, but are **braided** commutative instead. We would like to call those "twisted chiral algebras". Example of twisted chiral algebras would be the vertex representations of the quantum affine algebras at roots of unity, as well as examples coming from triality, but that is not yet worked out. One can further allow the singularities in the OPEs to be at an infinite lattice (as opposed to a finite one as in the roots of unity case); this is the quantum case. Then again there are the subcases of supersymmetric vs braided commutativity. In all these more general cases the bicharacter construction is very useful: a bicharacter description of a particular example immediately provides with formulas for the analytic continuations, the OPEs (and hence the commutation, anticommutation or braided relations). One can construct a definition in each of those cases very similar to the definition of twisted vertex algebras, just by changing the function space of the OPE singularities. In fact, a definition of deformed (quantum) chiral algebra was given in

[FR97], which was the inspiration for the definition of a twisted vertex algebra. We took the idea of separate space of fields vs space of states from there, and as it turns out it is completely indispensable for twisted vertex algebras. In fact most of the boson-fermion correspondences rely on a field corresponding to an element called "Heisenberg element" which often is **not** an element of the space of states (if one is to have a modified creation axiom of some kind, see remark 6.2 and (4.4)). One small but crucial modification has to be made from [FR97] so that the definition of a twisted vertex algebra, or a deformed (quantum) chiral algebra for that matter, works: the shift restriction. If one checks in any example of a twisted vertex algebra, or even the examples of deformed chiral algebra given in [FR97], one sees that the completeness with respect to the OPEs doesn't hold exactly, a shift in the field that is the coefficient may be necessary (see remark 4.3). In fact the necessity of the shift condition appears in physics under the name "picture changing".

Here we want to note that there is the concept of a twisted module over a super vertex algebra (see (see [FLM88], [BK04], [Roi03])—and it is a very different concept from that of a twisted vertex algebra. First, although both have singularities in the OPEs at roots of unity (after rescaling, see a brief explanation in [Ang11]), the twisted vertex algebras allow for a more general combinations of singularities. Sometimes indeed one can find twisted modules as subspaces in the twisted vertex algebra, typically in a twisted vertex algebra there are two or more modules that are "glued" together (see the the boson-fermion correspondence of type B). Two twisted modules "glued" together cannot be described as a twisted module over the same super vertex algebra. Second, although sometimes a twisted vertex algebra "glues" two twisted modules together, as in the case of the neutral fermion of type B, it is not always the case. In the case of the neutral fermion of type D it is untwisted modules that are "glued" together to form the twisted vertex algebra.

1.4. **What is new in this paper.**

- The concept and the definition of a twisted vertex algebra of order N;
- The boson-fermion correspondence of type D-C, an isomorphism of twisted vertex algebras (section 4.3);
- The bicharacter construction with general formulas for the fields, analytic continuations and OPEs through the Hopf structure and the bicharacter;
- The bicharacter description of the examples of the boson-fermion correspondences;
- Formulas for the vacuum expectation values based on the underlying Hopf algebra structure and the bicharacter (sections 6.2, 6.5, 6.8);
- Vacuum expectation values identities for the boson-fermion correspondences are proved through the bicharacter construction (section 6.9, 6.10, 6.11).

2. NOTATION

In this section we list notations we will continuously use throughout the paper. We work over the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$. We will work with the category of super vector spaces, i.e., $\mathbb{Z}_2$ graded vector spaces. The flip map τ is defined by

$$\tau(a\otimes b)=(-1)^{\tilde{a}\cdot\tilde{b}}(b\otimes a) \tag{2.1}$$

for any homogeneous elements a, b in the super vector space, where $\tilde{a}$, $\tilde{b}$ denote correspondingly the parity of a, b.

A superbialgebra H is a superalgebra, with compatible coalgebra structure (the coproduct and counit are algebra maps). Denote the coproduct and the counit by $\triangle$ and η. A Hopf superalgebra is a superbialgebra with an antipode S. For a superbialgebra H we will write $\triangle(a) = \sum\ a' \otimes a''$ for the coproduct of $a \in H$ (Sweedler's notation). That means we will usually omit the indexing in $\triangle(a) = \sum_k\ a'_k \otimes a''_k$, espcially when it is clear from the context.

Remark 2.1. The difference from the usual Hopf algebra is in the product on $H \otimes H$: the product is defined by

$$(a \otimes b)(c \otimes d) = (-1)^{\tilde{b}\cdot\tilde{c}}(ac \otimes bd) \tag{2.2}$$

for any a, b, c, d homogeneous elements in H. One of the consequences of this modified product is :

$$\triangle(a \cdot b) = \sum\ (ab)' \otimes (ab)'' = \sum\ (-1)^{\tilde{a''}\cdot\tilde{b'}} a'b' \otimes a''b''$$

Note also that if a is odd then $\eta(a) = 0$.

A supercocommutative bialgebra is a superbialgebra with

$$\tau(\triangle(a)) = \triangle(a).$$

A primitive element $a \in H$, where H is a Hopf super algebra, is such that
$\triangle(a) = a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a$, $\eta(a) = 0$, and $S(a) = -a$. A grouplike element $g \in H$ is such that $\triangle(g) = g \otimes g$, $\eta(g) = 1$, $S(g) = g^{-1}$.

Notation 2.2. *For any $a \in A$, where A is a commutative $\mathbb{C}$ algebra denote $a^{(n)} := \frac{a^n}{n!}$.*

Definition 2.3. (The Hopf algebra $H_D = \mathbb{C}[D]$)
The Hopf algebra $H_D = \mathbb{C}[D]$ is the universal enveloping algebra of the commutative one-dimensional Lie algebra with even *generator D, i.e., the polynomial algebra with a primitive generator D. We have*

$$\triangle\ D^{(n)} = \sum_{k+l=n} D^{(k)} \otimes D^{(l)}. \tag{2.3}$$

Definition 2.4. (The Hopf algebra $H^N_{T_\epsilon}$)
Let ϵ be a primitive root of unity of order N. The Hopf algebra $H^N_{T_\epsilon}$ is the Hopf algebra with a primitive generator D and a grouplike generator T_ϵ subject to the relations:

$$DT_\epsilon = \epsilon T_\epsilon D, \quad \text{and } (T_\epsilon)^n = 1 \tag{2.4}$$

$H^N_{T_\epsilon}$ has H_D as a Hopf subalgebra. Both H_D and $H^N_{T_\epsilon}$ are entirely even.

Notation 2.5. (The function spaces $\mathbf{F}^N_\epsilon(z, w)$, $\mathbf{F}^N_\epsilon(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_l)$)
Let ϵ be a primitive root of unity of order N. Denote by $\mathbf{F}^N_\epsilon(z, w)$ the space of rational functions in the variables $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$ with only poles at $z = 0$, $z = \epsilon^i w$, $i = 1, \dots, N$. Note that we do not allow pole at $w = 0$, i.e., if $f(z, w) \in \mathbf{F}^N_\epsilon(z, w)$, then $f(z, 0)$ is well defined. Similarly, $\mathbf{F}^N_\epsilon(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_l)$ is the space of rational functions in variables $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_l$ with only poles at $z_1 = 0$, or $z_j = \epsilon^i z_k$, $i = 1, \dots, N$. If N is clear from the context, or the property doesn't depend on the particular value of N, we will just write $\mathbf{F}_\epsilon(z, w)$.

Fact 2.6. *$\mathbf{F}^N_\epsilon(z, w)$ is a $H^N_{T_\epsilon} \otimes H^N_{T_\epsilon}$ (and consequently an $H_D \otimes H_D$) Hopf module by*

$$D_z f(z, w) = \partial_z f(z, w), \quad (T_\epsilon)_z f(z, w) = f(\epsilon z, w) \tag{2.5}$$

$$D_w f(z, w) = \partial_w f(z, w), \quad (T_\epsilon)_w f(z, w) = f(z, \epsilon w) \tag{2.6}$$

We will denote the action of elements $h\otimes 1\in H^N_{T_\epsilon}\otimes H^N_{T_\epsilon}$ *on* $\mathbf{F}_\epsilon(z,w)$ *by* $h_z\cdot$, *correspondingly* $h_w\cdot$ *will denote the action of the elements* $1\otimes h\in H^N_{T_\epsilon}\otimes H^N_{T_\epsilon}$.

Notation 2.7. *For a rational function* $f(z,w)$ *we denote by* $i_{z,w}f(z,w)$ *the expansion of* $f(z,w)$ *in the region* $|z|\gg|w|$, *and correspondingly for* $i_{w,z}f(z,w)$. *Similarly, we will denote by* $i_{z_1,z_2,\dots,z_n}$ *the expansion in the region* $|z_1|\gg\cdots\gg|z_n|$.

3. Super vertex algebras and the boson-fermion correspondence of type A

The following definitions are well known, they (or similar) can be found for instance in [FLM88], [FHL93], [Kac98], [LL04] and others. We recall them for completeness, as "algebras" of fields are the subject of this paper. (Roughly speaking, all vertex algebras, be they super or twisted, are "singular algebras" of fields).

Definition 3.1. (Field) *A field* $a(z)$ *on a vector space* V *is a series of the form*

$$a(z)=\sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}a_{(n)}z^{-n-1},\quad a_{(n)}\in \mathrm{End}(V),\quad \textit{such that }\ a_{(n)}v=0\ \textit{ for any } v\in V,\ n\gg 0. \tag{3.1}$$

Remark 3.2. The coefficients $a_{(n)},\ n\in\mathbb{Z}$ are usually called modes. The indexing above is usually used in super vertex algebras, as in a super vertex algebra it can be made uniform. Meaning that if a field $a(z)$ is a vertex operator in a vertex algebra, then it is indexed so that the modes in front of negative powers of z annihilate the vacuum vector (hence are called annihilation operators), and the modes in front of the positive powers of z are the creation operators.

The definition of a super vertex algebra is well known, we refer the reader for example to [FLM88], [FHL93], [Kac98], [LL04], as well as for notations, details and theorems. We want to remark that super vertex algebras have two important properties which we would like to carry over to the case of twisted vertex algebras. These are the analytic continuation and completeness with respect to operator product expansions (OPEs). In fact our definition of a twisted vertex algebra is based on enforcing these two properties. Recall we have for the OPE of two fields

$$a(z)b(w)=\sum_{j=0}^{N-1}i_{z,w}\frac{c^j(w)}{(z-w)^{j+1}}+:a(z)b(w):, \tag{3.2}$$

where $:a(z)b(w):$ denotes the nonsingular part of the expansion of $a(z)b(w)$ as a Laurent series in $(z-w)$. We call $:a(z)b(z):$ a *normal ordered product* of the fields $a(z)$ and $b(z)$. Moreover, $Res_{(z-w)}a(z)b(w)(z-w)^j=c^j(w)=(a_{(j)}b)(w)$, i.e., the coefficients of the OPEs are vertex operators in the **same** super vertex algebra. Since for the commutation relations only the singular part of the OPE matters, we abbreviate the OPE above as:

$$a(z)b(w)\sim\sum_{j=0}^{N-1}\frac{c^j(w)}{(z-w)^{j+1}}. \tag{3.3}$$

For many examples, super vertex algebras are generated by a much smaller number of generating fields, with imposing the condition that the resulting space of fields of the vertex algebra has to be closed under certain operations: For any field $a(z)$ the field $Da(z)=\partial_z a(z)$ has to be a field in the vertex algebra. Also, the OPEs coefficients ($c^j(w)$ from (3.3)) and normal ordered products $:a(z)b(z):$ of any two fields $a(z)$ and $b(w)$ have to be fields in the vertex algebra. Note that the identity operator on V is always a trivial field in the vertex algebra corresponding to the vacuum vector $|0\rangle\in V$.

Let us thus turn our attention to the boson-fermion correspondence of type A. The fermion side of the boson-fermion correspondence of type A is a super vertex algebra generated by two nontrivial odd fields—two charged fermions: the fields $\phi(z)$ and $\psi(z)$ with only nontrivial operator product expansion (OPE):

$$\phi(z)\psi(w) \sim \frac{1}{z-w} \sim \psi(z)\phi(w), \tag{3.4}$$

where the 1 above denotes the identity map Id. The modes ϕ_n and ψ_n, $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ of the fields $\phi(z)$ and $\psi(z)$, which we index as follows:

$$\phi(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} \phi_n z^n, \quad \psi(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} \psi_n z^n, \tag{3.5}$$

form a Clifford algebra Cl_A with relations

$$[\phi_m, \psi_n]_\dagger = \delta_{m+n,-1}1, \quad [\phi_m, \phi_n]_\dagger = [\psi_m, \psi_n]_\dagger = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

The indexing of the generating fields vary depending on the point of view; our indexing here corresponds to $\phi_n = \hat{v}_{n+1}, \quad \psi_n = \check{v}^*_{-n}$ in [KR87]. Here we choose this indexing, as it corresponds to our bicharacter description of this example. This indexing and the properties of the vertex algebra dictate that the underlying space of states of this super vertex algebra—the fermionic Fock space — is the highest weight representation of Cl_A generated by the vacuum vector $|0\rangle$, so that $\phi_n|0\rangle = \psi_n|0\rangle = 0$ for $n < 0$.
We denote both the space of states and the resulting vertex algebra generated by the fields $\phi(z)$ and $\psi(z)$ by F_A. It is often called the charged free fermion vertex algebra.

We can calculate vacuum expectation values if we have a symmetric bilinear form $\langle\ |\ \rangle : V \otimes V \to \mathbb{C}$ on the space of states of the vertex algebra V[1]. We require that the bilinear form is such that the vacuum vector $1 = |0\rangle$ spans an orthogonal subspace on its own (for a precise statement of what this means in the context of the bicharacter construction see sections 6.2 and 6.5). We also require that the bilinear form is normalized on the vacuum vector:

$$\langle 1 \mid 1\rangle = \langle\langle 0| \mid |0\rangle\rangle = 1. \tag{3.7}$$

By abuse of notation we will just write $\langle 0 \mid 0\rangle$ instead of $\langle\langle 0| \mid |0\rangle\rangle$.

Lemma 3.3. *The following determinant formula for the vacuum expectation values on the fermionic side F_A holds:*

$$\langle 0|\phi(z_1)\phi(z_2)\ldots\phi(z_n)\psi(w_1)\psi(w_2)\ldots\psi(w_n)|0\rangle = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} i_{z,w} det\Big(\frac{1}{z_i - w_j}\Big)_{i,j=1}^n. \tag{3.8}$$

Here $i_{z;w}$ stands for the expansion $i_{z_1,z_2,\ldots,z_n,w_1,\ldots,w_n}$.

The proof will be given in the section 6.6.

The boson-fermion correspondence of type A is determined once we write the images of generating fields $\phi(z)$ and $\psi(z)$ under the correspondence. In order to do that, an **essential** ingredient is the so-called Heisenberg field $h(z)$ given by

$$h(z) =: \phi(z)\psi(z) : \tag{3.9}$$

It follows that the Heisenberg field $h(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} h_n z^{-n-1}$ has OPEs with itself given by:

$$h(z)h(w) \sim \frac{1}{(z-w)^2}, \quad \text{in modes: } [h_m, h_n] = m\delta_{m+n,0}1. \tag{3.10}$$

---

[1] There is a very important concept of an invariant bilinear form on a vertex algebra, for details see for example [FHL93], [Li94].

i.e., its modes $h_n,\ n\in\mathbb{Z}$, generate a Heisenberg algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}$. It is well known that any irreducible highest weight module of this Heisenberg algebra is isomorphic to the polynomial algebra with infinitely many variables $B_m\cong\mathbb{C}[x_1,x_2,\dots,x_n,\dots]$ via the action:

$$h_n\mapsto\partial_{x_n},\quad h_{-n}\mapsto nx_n\cdot,\quad \text{for any }\ n\in\mathbb{N},\quad h_0\mapsto m\cdot . \tag{3.11}$$

The fermionic Fock space decomposes (via the charge decomposition, for details see for example [KR87]) as $F_A=\oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}B_i$, which we can write as

$$F_A=\oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}B_i\cong\mathbb{C}[e^{\alpha},e^{-\alpha}]\otimes\mathbb{C}[x_1,x_2,\dots,x_n,\dots], \tag{3.12}$$

where by $\mathbb{C}[e^{\alpha},e^{-\alpha}]$ we mean the Laurent polynomials with one variable e^{α}.[2] The isomorphism is as Heisenberg modules, where $e^{n\alpha}$ is identified as the highest weight vector for the irreducible Heisenberg module B_n. We denote the vector space on the right-hand-side of this $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}$-module isomorphism by B_A. B_A is then the underlying vector space of the bosonic side of the boson-fermion correspondence of type A.

Now we can write the images of generating fields $\phi(z)$ and $\psi(z)$ under the correspondence:

$$\phi(z)\mapsto e^{\alpha}_A(z),\quad \psi(z)\mapsto e^{-\alpha}_A(z), \tag{3.13}$$

where the generating fields $e^{\alpha}_A(z)$, $e^{-\alpha}_A(z)$ for the bosonic part of the correspondence are given by

$$e^{\alpha}_A(z)=\exp(\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{h_{-n}}{n}z^n)\exp(-\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{h_n}{n}z^{-n})e^{\alpha}z^{\partial_{\alpha}}, \tag{3.14}$$

$$e^{-\alpha}_A(z)=\exp(-\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{h_{-n}}{n}z^n)\exp(\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{h_n}{n}z^{-n})e^{-\alpha}z^{-\partial_{\alpha}}, \tag{3.15}$$

the operators e^{α}, $e^{-\alpha}$, $z^{\partial_{\alpha}}$ and $z^{-\partial_{\alpha}}$ act in an obvious way on the space B_A.

The resulting super vertex algebra generated by the fields $e^{\alpha}_A(z)$ and $e^{-\alpha}_A(z)$ with underlying vector space B_A we denote also by B_A.

Lemma 3.4. *The following product formula for the vacuum expectation values on the bosonic side B_A holds:*

$$\langle 0|e^{\alpha}_A(z_1)e^{\alpha}_A(z_2)\dots e^{\alpha}_A(z_n)e^{-\alpha}_A(w_1)e^{-\alpha}_A(w_2)\dots e^{-\alpha}_A(w_n)|0\rangle = i_{z,w}\frac{\prod_{i<j}^n((z_i-z_j)(w_i-w_j))}{\prod_{i,j=1}^n(z_i-w_j)} \tag{3.16}$$

Here $i_{z,w}$ stands for the expansion $i_{z_1,z_2,\dots,z_n,w_1,\dots,w_n}$.

The proof will be given in the section 6.9.

Theorem 3.5. *(*[Kac98]*) The boson-fermion correspondence of type A is the isomorphism between the charged free fermion super vertex algebra F_A and the bosonic super vertex algebra B_A.*

Lemma 3.6. *The Cauchy's determinant identity follows from the equality of the vacuum expectation values (AC stands for Analytic Continuation):*

$$(-1)^{n(n-1)/2}det\Big(\frac{1}{z_i-w_j}\Big)_{i,j=1}^n = AC\langle 0|\phi(z_1)\dots\phi(z_n)\psi(w_1)\dots\psi(w_n)|0\rangle =$$

$$= AC\langle 0|e^{\alpha}_A(z_1)\dots e^{\alpha}_A(z_n)e^{-\alpha}_A(w_1)\dots e^{-\alpha}_A(w_n)|0\rangle = \frac{\prod_{i<j}((z_i-z_j)(w_i-w_j))}{\prod_{i,j=1}^n(z_i-w_j)}.$$

---

[2] The reason for this notation is that the resulting vertex algebra is a lattice vertex algebra.

4. Twisted vertex algebras: definition, overview, examples

4.1. Twisted vertex algebras: definition and overview.

The definition of a twisted vertex algebra is similar to the definition of a deformed chiral algebra given by E. Frenkel and Reshetikhin in [FR97].

Definition 4.1. (Twisted vertex algebra of order N) *Twisted vertex algebra of order N is a collection of the following data (V, W, π_f, Y):*

- *the space of fields V: a vector super space V, which is an $H^N_{T_\epsilon}$ module;*
- *the space of states W: a vector super space, $W \subset V$;*
- *a linear surjective projection map $\pi_f : V \to W$, such that $\pi_f|_W = Id_W$*
- *a field-state correspondence Y: a linear map from V to the space of fields on W;*
- *a vacuum vector: a vector $1 = |0\rangle \in W \subset V$.*

This data should satisfy the following set of axioms:

- *vacuum axiom:* $Y(1, z) = Id_W$;
- *modified creation axiom:* $Y(a, z)|0\rangle|_{z=0} = \pi_f(a)$, *for any* $a \in V$;
- *transfer of action:* $Y(ha, z) = h_z \cdot Y(a, z)$ *for any* $h \in H^N_{T_\epsilon}$;
- *analytic continuation: For any* $a_i \in V, i = 1, \dots, k$, *the composition* $Y(a_1, z_1)Y(a_2, z_2)\dots Y(a_n, z_k)1$ *converges in the domain* $|z_1| \gg \dots \gg |z_k|$ *and can be continued to a rational vector valued function*

$$X_{z_1,z_2,\dots,z_k}(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \dots \otimes a_k) : V^{\otimes k} \to W[[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k]] \otimes \mathbf{F}^N_\epsilon(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k), \tag{4.1}$$

  so that

$$Y(a_1, z_1)Y(a_2, z_2)\dots Y(a_n, z_k)1 = i_{z_1,z_2,\dots,z_k} X_{z_1,z_2,\dots,z_k}(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \dots \otimes a_k)$$

- *symmetry:* $X_{z,w}(a \otimes b) = X_{z,w}(\tau(b \otimes a))$;
- *Completeness with respect to Operator Product Expansions (OPEs) (modified): For each* $i \in 0, 1, \dots, N-1$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, *there exists* $l_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ *such that*

$$Res_{z=\epsilon^i w} X_{z,w}(a \otimes b)(z - \epsilon^i w)^k = Y(v_{k,i}, w)w^{l_{k,i}} \quad \text{for some} \quad v_{k,i} \in V, \quad l_{k,i} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Remark 4.2. If V is an (ordinary) super vertex algebra, then the data $(V, V, \pi_f = Id_V, Y)$ is a twisted vertex algebra of order 1.

Remark 4.3. (**Shift restriction**) The axiom/property requiring completeness with respect to the Operator Product Expansions (OPEs) is a weaker one than in the classical vertex algebra case. We can express this weaker axiom as follows. Consider any field $v(w)$, it is characterized by the doubly infinite sequence of its modes (see remark 3.2). One can shift the indexing of this doubly infinite sequence (i.e, place the 0 index at different modes), and each shift in the indexing of the sequence of modes corresponds to a multiplication by an integer power of z of the field $v(z)$. The modified creation axiom requires that if a field is to be a vertex operator in the vertex algebra, its sequence of modes **has to be** indexed so that the annihilation operators come with negative powers of z. What the modified OPE completeness axiom says is that the OPE coefficient, the residue $Res_{z=\epsilon^i w} X_{z,w}(a \otimes b)(z - \epsilon^i w)^k)$, is a field $v(w)$ whose doubly infinite sequence of modes may need to be reindexed. But after reindexing, i.e., after a shift by $w^{-l_{k,i}}$, then this field will be a vertex operator in the twisted vertex algebra. In a super vertex algebra we have a stronger property, saying that the OPE coefficients automatically are vertex operators in the same vertex algebra, i.e., every OPE coefficient in a super vertex algebra automatically appears with the correct indexing in the OPE. This stronger property cannot hold in the interesting examples, see remark 4.6 below. The "modified completeness with respect to OPEs" axiom is in some sense the weakest requirement one can impose, as in a physical theory on the one hand one needs to "close the algebra", but on the other hand it is the sequence of modes that is important, not its indexing. The axiom then requires that the sequence of modes is "in" the twisted vertex algebra, albeit after potential reindexing.

Definition 4.4. ***(Isomorphism of twisted vertex algebras)*** *Two twisted vertex algebras* (V_1, W_1, π_f^1, Y^1) *and* (V_2, W_2, π_f^2, Y^2) *are said to be isomorphic via a linear bijective map* $\Phi : V_1 \to V_2$ *if* $\Phi(|0\rangle_{W_1}) = |0\rangle_{W_2}$ *and the following holds: Let* $v_1 \in V_1$*,* $v_2 \in V_2$ *and*

$$\Phi(v_1) = \sum_{\textit{finite}} c_{k_l} v^2_{k_l}, \quad c_{k_l} \in \mathbb{C}, \quad v^2_{k_l} \in V_2;$$

$$\Phi^{-1}(v_2) = \sum_{\textit{finite}} c_{m_l} v^1_{m_l}, \quad c_{m_l} \in \mathbb{C}, \quad v^1_{m_l} \in V_1.$$

$w_1 \in W_1$ *we have*

$$\Phi(Y^1(v_1, z)w_1) = \sum_{\textit{finite}} z^{k_l} c_{k_l} Y^2(v^2_{k_l}, z)\pi^2_f \circ \Phi(w_1), \quad k_l \in \mathbb{Z}; \tag{4.2}$$

$$\Phi^{-1}(Y^2(v_2, z)w_2) = \sum_{\textit{finite}} z^{m_l} c_{m_l} Y^1(v^1_{k_l}, z)\pi^1_f \circ \Phi^{-1}(w_2), \quad m_l \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{4.3}$$

Remark 4.5. The reason this definition has to be given like this is the allowance for the shifts in the OPEs, see the remark above. The shifts can cause each of the summands in the linear sum $\Phi(v_1)$ to appear with a different shift in the sum of the corresponding vertex operators, hence the allowance for the different powers of z in the above definition. Note that we run into these different powers of z in both the boson-fermion correspondences, see the equations (6.77) and (6.96) later on.

We do not want to delve into the axiomatics of twisted vertex algebras in this paper. Instead we want to show that there are a variety of very meaningful examples of twisted vertex algebras, chief among them each of the sides of the boson-fermion correspondences. Our contention is that the boson-fermion correspondences in are isomorphisms of twisted vertex algebras (in 1+1 dimensions, flat space-time). Hence this paper will be veered towards showing that, rather then studying axiomatics.

Similarly to super vertex algebras, twisted vertex algebras are often generated by a smaller number of fields. We will not prove theorems on what constitutes a generating set of fields, instead we will take an alternative approach and use the bicharacter construction which we will present in the next section. The bicharacter construction in some sense mimics the generation by a smaller set of generating fields, because we start with a smaller set of data which then "generates" the whole twisted vertex algebra. The bicharacter construction will thus replace the necessary theorems on generating sets of fields. We want to mention though, that if we have a set of generating fields, the full space of fields is then determined by requiring as before that it be closed under OPEs (see modification above). Also, as in a super vertex algebra, for any field $a(z)$ the field $Da(z) = \partial_z a(z)$ has to be a field in the twisted vertex algebra. But in a twisted vertex algebra we also require that the field $T_\epsilon a(z) = a(\epsilon z)$ is a field in the same twisted vertex algebra as well. Note that this immediately violates the stronger creation axiom for a classical vertex algebra, since:

$$(T_\epsilon a)(z)|0\rangle|_{z=0} = a(\epsilon z)|0\rangle|_{z=0} = a(z)|0\rangle|_{z=0}. \tag{4.4}$$

Hence any such field $T_\epsilon a(z)$ cannot belong to a classical vertex algebra. This is the reason we require the projection map π_f to be a part of our data for a twisted vertex algebra, as well as we modify the field-state correspondence with the **modified creation axiom**. The projection map π_f in the definition of a twisted vertex algebra (V, W, π_f, Y) could be made more general, for example one can omit the requirement that $W \subset V$ and make π_f a more general linear surjective projection map, but the current generality is sufficient for the examples we want to describe.

Next we briefly narrate the description of the examples of twisted vertex algebras and their properties, without any proofs of the statements. The proofs of the statements in the narrative of the examples are lengthy enough to impede the overview, hence we postpone the proofs till a later part of the paper–until after we have introduced the requisite technical set of tools, namely the bicharacter construction. Hence first we present an overview of the important examples, as they are sufficiently interesting on their own to justify our definition of a twisted vertex algebra.

4.2. **Examples of twisted vertex algebras: the boson-fermion correspondence of type B.**

We now proceed with the two examples of a twisted vertex algebra of order 2 which give the two sides of the boson-fermion correspondence of type B. Since we are dealing with a twisted vertex algebra of order two, the root of unity is -1, and we write T_{-1} instead of T_ϵ. The proofs of all the statements in this section are given in sections 6.3 and section 6.10.

The fermionic side is generated by a single field $\phi^B(z) = \sum_{n\in \mathbf{Z}} \phi_n z^n$, with OPEs with itself given by:

$$\phi^B(z)\phi^B(w) \sim \frac{z-w}{z+w}, \quad \text{in modes: } [\phi^B_m, \phi^B_n]_\dagger = 2(-1)^m \delta_{m,-n} 1. \tag{4.5}$$

Thus the modes generate a Clifford algebra Cl_B, and the underlying space of states, denoted by F_B, of the twisted vertex algebra is a highest weight representation of Cl_B with the vacuum vector $|0\rangle$, such that $\phi^B_n|0\rangle = 0$ for $n < 0$. The space of fields, which is larger than the space of states, is generated via the field $\phi^B(z)$ together with its descendent $T_{-1}\phi^B(z) = \phi^B(-z)$. We call the resulting twisted vertex algebra the **free neutral fermion of type B**, and denote it also by F_B.

Remark 4.6. If we look at the defining OPE, (4.5), we can just write the singular part (as perhaps we should have):

$$\phi^B(z)\phi^B(w) \sim \frac{-2w}{z+w},$$

it shows why we adopted the modification of the completeness with respect to OPEs. We have the residue $Res_{z=-w} X_{z,w}(a \otimes b) = -2w \cdot 1 = -2w Id_W$, which can not be a field in any vertex algebra as it is. But a shift by w^{-1} will produce the field $-2Id_W$, which is the vertex operator corresponding to the $-2|0\rangle$.

Lemma 4.7. *The following formula for the vacuum expectation values on the fermionic side F_B holds:*

$$\langle 0|\phi^B(z_1)\phi^B(z_2)\ldots\phi^B(z_{2n}) \mid 0\rangle = i_z Pf\Big(\frac{z_i - z_j}{z_i + z_j}\Big)_{i=1}^{2n}.$$

Here i_z stands for the expansion $i_{z_1,z_1,\ldots,z_{2n}}$, and Pf denotes the Pfaffian of an antisymmetric matrix.

The proof will be given in the section 6.3.

The boson-fermion correspondence of type B is again determined once we write the image of the generating fields $\phi^B(z)$ (and thus of $T_{-1}\phi^B(z)=\phi(-z)$) under the correspondence. In order to do that, an essential ingredient is once again the **twisted** Heisenberg field $h(z)$ given by[3]

$$h(z)=\frac{1}{4}(:\phi^B(z)T_{-1}\phi^B(z):-1)=\frac{1}{4}(:\phi^B(z)\phi^B(-z):-1) \tag{4.6}$$

It follows that the twisted Heisenberg field, which due to the symmetry above has only odd-indexed modes, $h(z)=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}h_{2n+1}z^{-2n-1}$, has OPEs with itself given by:

$$h(z)h(w)\sim\frac{zw(z^2+w^2)}{2(z^2-w^2)^2}, \tag{4.7}$$

Its modes, $h_n,\ n\in 2\mathbb{Z}+1$, generate a **twisted** Heisenberg algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}+1/2}$ with relations $[h_m,h_n]=\frac{m}{2}\delta_{m+n,0}1,\ \ m,n$ are now odd integers. It has (up-to isomorphism) only one irreducible highest weight module $B_{1/2}\cong\mathbb{C}[x_1,x_3,\dots,x_{2n+1},\dots]$. The fermionic space of states F_B decomposes as $F_B=B_{1/2}\oplus B_{1/2}$. We can write this as an isomorphism of twisted Heisenberg modules for $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}+1/2}$ in a similar way to the type A correspondence:

$$F_B=B_{1/2}\oplus B_{1/2}\cong\mathbb{C}[e^{\alpha},e^{-\alpha}]\otimes\mathbb{C}[x_1,x_3,\dots,x_{2n+1},\dots]=B_B, \tag{4.8}$$

but now we have the extra relation $e^{2\alpha}\equiv 1$, i.e., $e^{\alpha}\equiv e^{-\alpha}$. The right-hand-side, which we denote by B_B, is the underlying vector space of **states** of the bosonic side of the boson-fermion correspondence of type B.

Now we can write the image of the generating field $\phi^B(z)\mapsto e^{\alpha}(z)$, which will determine the correspondence of type B:

$$e^{\alpha}(z)=\exp\big(\sum_{k\geq 0}\frac{h_{-2k-1}}{k+1/2}z^{2k+1}\big)\exp\big(-\sum_{k\geq 0}\frac{h_{2k+1}}{k+1/2}z^{-2k-1}\big)e^{\alpha}, \tag{4.9}$$

The fields $e^{\alpha}(z)$ and $e^{\alpha}(-z)=e^{-\alpha}(z)$ (observe the symmetry) generate the resulting **twisted** vertex algebra, which we denote also by B_B.

Note that one Heisenberg $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}+1/2}$-module $B_{1/2}$ on its own can be realized as a **twisted module** for an ordinary super vertex algebra (see [FLM88] for details), but the point is that we need **two** of them glued together for the bosonic side of the correspondence. The two of them glued together as above no longer constitute a twisted module for an ordinary super vertex algebra.

Theorem 4.8. *The boson-fermion correspondence of type B is the isomorphism between the fermionic* ***twisted*** *vertex algebra F_B and the bosonic* ***twisted*** *vertex algebra B_B.*

Lemma 4.9. *The Schur Pfaffian identity follows from the equality between the vacuum expectation values:*
(4.10)

$$AC\langle 0|\phi^B(z_1)\dots\phi^B(z_{2n})|0\rangle=Pf\Big(\frac{z_i-z_j}{z_i+z_j}\Big)_{i,j=1}^{2n}=\prod_{i<j}^{2n}\frac{z_i-z_j}{z_i+z_j}=AC\langle 0|e^{\alpha}(z_1)\dots e^{\alpha}(z_{2n})|0\rangle$$

AC stands for Analytic Continuation.

The proof will be given in section 6.10.

---

[3] The details on defining normal ordered products in this more general case see will be given in the next section as they use the bicharacter construction.

4.3. **Examples of twisted vertex algebras: the boson-fermion correspondence of type D-C.**

Next are the two examples of a twisted vertex algebra of order 2 which give the two sides of the boson-fermion correspondence of type D-C. The boson-fermion correspondence of type D-C is new, as far as we know, to this paper and independently [RT12] (this correspondence was discussed during the work on both papers, and the author thanks K. Rehren for helpful discussions and a physics point of view). In [RT12] only the equivalence as CAR algebras is discussed, here we can establish the boson-fermion correspondence of type D-C as an isomorphism of twisted vertex algebras (an explanation for the name "correspondence of type D-C" is given in section 6.4). We are again dealing with a twisted vertex algebra of order two, and we write T_{-1} instead of T_{ϵ}. (In [RT12] the equivalence as CAR algebras is generalized to general N, but the details of the twisted vertex algebras isomorphism for general N will be worked out in a later paper). The proofs of all the statements in this section are given in sections 6.4 and section 6.11.

The fermionic side is generated by a single field $\phi^D(z) = \sum_{n\in \mathbf{Z}+1/2} \phi^D_n z^{-n-1/2}$, with OPEs with itself given by:

$$\phi^D(z)\phi^D(w) \sim \frac{1}{z-w}, \quad \text{in modes: } [\phi^D_m, \phi^D_n]_{\dagger} = \delta_{m,-n}1. \tag{4.11}$$

Thus the modes generate a Clifford algebra Cl_D, with underlying space of states, denoted by F_D, the highest weight representation of Cl_D with the vacuum vector $|0\rangle$, such that $\phi_n|0\rangle = 0$ for $n < 0$. Here one can recognize that on its own, the field $\phi^D(z)$ and its descendants $D^{(n)}\phi^D(z)$ generate an (ordinary) super-vertex algebra (see [Kac98], [KW94]). It is important to note that on its own, this super-vertex algebra, called free neutral fermion vertex algebra, is not enough to generate the fermionic side of a boson-fermion correspondence. But, if we take not only the field $\phi^D(z)$, but also its **twisted** descendant $T_{-1}\phi^D(z) = \phi^D(-z)$, they together with all their descendants will generate a **twisted** vertex algebra which we call the **free neutral fermion of type D-C**, and denote also by F_D. The twisted vertex algebra F_D is obviously bigger that the super-vertex algebra, and we can think of it as two "sheets" of the super-vertex algebra glued together.

Lemma 4.10. *The following formula for the vacuum expectation values on the fermionic side F_D holds:*

$$\langle 0|\phi^D(z_1)\phi^D(z_2)\dots\phi^D(z_{2n}) \mid 0\rangle = i_z Pf\Big(\frac{1}{z_i - z_j}\Big)_{i=1}^{2n}.$$

Here i_z stands for the expansion $i_{z_1,z_1,\dots,z_{2n}}$, and Pf denotes the Pfaffian of an antisymmetric matrix.

The proof will be given in the section 6.4.

The boson-fermion correspondence of type D is again determined once we write the image of the generating fields $\phi^D(z)$ and $T_{-1}\phi^D(z) = \phi(-z)$ under the correspondence. In order to do that, an essential ingredient is once again the Heisenberg field $h(z)$ given by

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{2} : \phi^D(z)T_{-1}\phi^D(z) := \frac{1}{2} : \phi^D(z)\phi^D(-z) : \tag{4.12}$$

It follows that the Heisenberg field, which due to the symmetry above has only odd-indexed modes, $h(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} h_n z^{-2n-1}$, (note the different indexing), has OPEs with itself given by:

$$h(z)h(w) \sim \frac{zw}{(z^2 - w^2)^2}, \tag{4.13}$$

Its modes, h_n, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, generate an ordinary, **untwisted**, Heisenberg algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ with relations $[h_m, h_n] = m\delta_{m+n,0}1$, m, n integers. Unlike the twisted Heisenberg algebra, the untwisted Heisenberg algebra has infinitely many irreducible highest weight modules, labeled by the action of h_0. In a similar way to the type A correspondence (even more similar then it was for the correspondence of type B), the fermionic space of states F_D decomposes as $F_D = \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} B_i^D$. Here though we need to be careful, as the space of states and the space of fields differ in a twisted vertex algebra. The space of states F_D we can write as

$$W = F_D \cong \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} B_i \cong \mathbb{C}[e^{\alpha}, e^{-\alpha}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots] = B_D, \tag{4.14}$$

where by $\mathbb{C}[e^{\alpha}, e^{-\alpha}]$ we mean the Laurent polynomials with one variable e^{α}. The isomorphism above is again as Heisenberg modules, where $e^{n\alpha}$ is identified as the highest weight vector for the irreducible Heisenberg module B_n with highest weight n. We denote the vector space on the right-hand-side of this $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}$-module isomorphism by B_D.

The images of the generating fields $\phi^D(z)$ and $T_{-1}\phi^D(z) = \phi(-z)$, which will determine the correspondence of type D-C are given as follows:

$$\phi^D(z) = e_{\phi}^{-\alpha}(z) + e_{\phi}^{\alpha}(z), \quad (T\phi)^D(z) = e_{\phi}^{-\alpha}(z) - e_{\phi}^{\alpha}(z), \tag{4.15}$$

where $e_{\phi}^{-\alpha}(z)$ and $e_{\phi}^{\alpha}(z)$ are defined by the the formulas

$$e_{\phi}^{-\alpha}(z) = \exp(-\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{h_{-n}}{n} z^{2n}) \exp(\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{h_n}{n} z^{-2n}) e^{-\alpha} z^{-2\partial_{\alpha}}, \tag{4.16}$$

$$e_{\phi}^{\alpha}(z) = \exp(\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{h_{-n}}{n} z^{2n}) \exp(-\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{h_n}{n} z^{-2n}) e^{\alpha} z^{2\partial_{\alpha}+1}, \tag{4.17}$$

Theorem 4.11. *The boson-fermion correspondence of type D-C is the isomorphism between the fermionic* ***twisted*** *vertex algebra F_D and the bosonic* ***twisted*** *vertex algebra B_D.*

Lemma 4.12. *The following Pfaffian identity follows from the equality between the vacuum expectation values:*

$$\begin{aligned} AC\langle 0|\phi^D(z_1)\dots\phi^D(z_{2n})|0\rangle &= Pf\Big(\frac{1}{z_i - z_j}\Big)_{i,j=1}^{2n} = \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i_1<i_2\dots<i_n}^{2n} z_{i_1} z_{i_2}\cdots z_{i_n} \prod_{k<l}^{n}(z_{i_k}^2 - z_{i_l}^2)^2}{\prod_{i<j}^{2n} z_i^2 - z_j^2} = \\ &= AC\langle 0|(e_{\phi}^{-\alpha}(z_1) + e_{\phi}^{\alpha}(z_1))\dots(e_{\phi}^{-\alpha}(z_{2n}) + e_{\phi}^{\alpha}(z_{2n}))|0\rangle \end{aligned}$$

AC stands for Analytic Continuation.

The proof will be given in section 6.11.

In these last two subsections we gave four examples of twisted vertex algebras, which are **not** super-vertex algebras. These pairs of examples have a great importance on their own, as each pair gives the two sides of a boson-fermion correspondence. The boson-fermion correspondences are important enough phenomena to justify this new definition of a twisted vertex algebra. There is no boson-fermion correspondence of type D-C unless one considers the twisted vertex algebra that is the **twisted cover** of the super vertex algebra of the neutral fermion of type D. There are obviously many other examples of twisted vertex algebras, as we will see in theorem 5.53 summarizing the bicharacter construction we develop in the next section, but the four examples stand on their own.

5. Bicharacter construction: a general way of constructing examples of twisted vertex algebras

5.1. **Super bicharacters and free Leibnitz modules.**

We now start with the super-bicharacter construction. The notations, definitions and results of this first subsection are not new, but we are using them extensively in what follows (the super case was introduced in [Ang08], generalizing [Bor01]). The bicharacter construction is a set of tools that can accomplish two goals: first, to give an alternative description of the set of vertex operators. One may ask why is an alternative description necessary or useful? The answer is because it has the advantage of providing formulas (for the analytic continuations, OPEs, vacuum expectation values) that are quite general and very hard to obtain from the operator based description of vertex operators. Some of these formulas, the analytic continuations formulas for example, are very hard if not impossible to obtain otherwise even for specific examples. The second goal is to provide an alternative, and again, general way of constructing examples of (super, twisted, quantum) vertex algebras by using the Hopf algebra structure and bicharacters. As is always the case with an alternative point of view, one can predict examples that are very natural from the alternative point of view, but not so natural from the operator point of view. A very representative case in point is the boson-fermion correspondence of type D-C, which was natural from the point of view of the bicharacter construction, and could be generalized, but seemed completely unlikely from the operator point of view. As always with a new set of tools there is a necessary accumulation of different aspects before one begins using it, hence the following long technical section.

Notation 5.1. *Henceforth we will assume that M is a Hopf supercommutative and supecocommutative superalgebra with antipode S. Here and below a, b, c and d are homogeneous elements of M.*

Definition 5.2. (Super-bicharacter) *Define a bicharacter on M to be a linear map r from $M\otimes M$ to $\mathbf{F}_{\epsilon}(z,w)$, such that*

$$r_{z_1,z_2}(1\otimes a)=\eta(a)=r_{z,w}(a\otimes 1), \tag{5.1}$$

$$r_{z,w}(ab\otimes c)=\sum (-1)^{\tilde{b}\tilde{c'}}r_{z,w}(a\otimes c')r_{z,w}(b\otimes c''), \tag{5.2}$$

$$r_{z,w}(a\otimes bc)=\sum (-1)^{\tilde{a''}\tilde{b}}r_{z,w}(a'\otimes b)r_{z,w}(a''\otimes c). \tag{5.3}$$

We say that a bicharacter r is even if $r_{z,w}(a\otimes b)=0$ whenever $\tilde{a}\neq\tilde{b}$.

From now on we will always work with *even* bicharacters. In most cases there are no nontrivial arbitrary bicharacters (see [Ang08]).

Remark 5.3. The notion of super bicharacter is similar to the notion of a twist induced by Laplace pairing (or the more general concept of a Drinfeld twist) as described in [BFFO04].

Definition 5.4. (Convolution product) *Let r and s are two even bicharacters on M. Define a convolution product $r\star s$ by*

$$(r\star s)_{z,w}(a\otimes b)=\sum (-1)^{\tilde{a''}\tilde{b'}}r_{z,w}(a'\otimes b')s_{z,w}(a''\otimes b'').$$

The identity bicharacter is given by $r(a\otimes b)=\eta(a)\otimes\eta(b)$. *The inverse bicharacter* r^{-1} *is defined by*

$$r^{-1}_{z,w}(a\otimes b)=r_{z,w}(S(a)\otimes b).$$

Lemma 5.5. *(*[Ang08]*) The even bicharacters on* M *form a supercommutative group with respect to the convolution product with identity and inverse bicharacters given above.*

Definition 5.6. (Symmetric bicharacter) *The transpose of a bicharacter is defined by*

$$r^{\tau}_{z,w}(a\otimes b)=r_{w,z}\circ\tau(a\otimes b). \tag{5.4}$$

A bicharacter r *is called* symmetric *if* $r=r^{\tau}$.

Definition 5.7. ($\mathbf{H^N_{T_\epsilon}\otimes H^N_{T_\epsilon}}$***-covariant bicharacter)*** *Let* M *be a a Hopf supercommutative and supecocommutative superalgebra,* r *be a bicharacter on* M. *Suppose in addition* M *is an* $H^N_{T_\epsilon}$*-module algebra. We call the bicharacter* $H^N_{T_\epsilon}\otimes H^N_{T_\epsilon}$*-covariant if it additionally satisfies :*

$$r_{z,w}(h(a)\otimes g(b))=h_zg_wr_{z,w}(a\otimes b), \tag{5.5}$$

for all $a,b\in M$, $h,g\in H^N_{T_\epsilon}$.

We recall the following result from Borcherds, generalized to the super case:

Lemma/Definition 5.8. *(*[Bor01]*)* ***Free*** $\mathbf{H}-$***Leibnitz module)*** *Suppose* M *is a super commutative algebra and* H *is an entirely even cocommutative coalgebra. Then there is a universal supercommutative algebra* $H(M)$ *such that there is a map* $h\otimes m\to hm:=h(m)$ *from* $H\otimes M$ *to* $H(M)$ *such that* $H(M)$ *is a left module for* H *and*

$$h(mn)=\sum h^{'}(m)h^{''}(n), \tag{5.6}$$

$$h(1)=\eta(h), \tag{5.7}$$

for any $m,n\in M$, $h\in H$. *We will call* $H(M)$*, defined as above, the "free* H *Leibnitz module of* M*" (or universal* H*-Leibnitz module of* M*).*

Notes:

1. $H(M)$ is the quotient of the tensor algebra $T(H\otimes M)$ modulo super-commutativity relations plus relations (5.6), (5.7).
2. An H-module which has the properties (5.6) and (5.7) is by definition an H-module algebra, thus $H(M)$ is an H-module algebra. In some sense it is the "largest" (or universal) H-module algebra containing M in the super-commutative category.
3. If M is supercommutative and supercocommutative bialgebra (or Hopf algebra), then so is $H(M)$. The extension of comultiplication, the counit and the antipode from M to $H(M)$ is as follows: If $a\in M$, $h\in H$ we have $ha\in H(M)$ and we define

$$\triangle(ha)=\sum h'a'\otimes h''a'', \tag{5.8}$$

$$\eta(ha)=\eta(h)\eta(a), \tag{5.9}$$

$$S(ha)=S(h)(S(a)). \tag{5.10}$$

It is easy to check that the comultiplication, the counit and the antipode defined as above will turn $H(M)$ into a Hopf algebra. (Note that this is only true in the case of cocommutative Hopf algebra H, and some modifications are required in the case H is not entirely even; but we will only work with the case of H even cocommutative).

A source of examples of $H^N_{T_\epsilon}\otimes H^N_{T_\epsilon}$-covariant bicharacters is as follows:

Lemma 5.9. *(*[Bor01]*)* **(Extension of bicharacters)** *Let $r: M\otimes M\to \mathbf{F}^N_\epsilon(z,w)$ be a bicharacter on M. Then we can extend extend this bicharacter to the free Leibnitz module $H^N_{T_\epsilon}(M)$ as follows: all elements in $H^N_{T_\epsilon}(M)$ are generated as an algebra from elements of the form $a=h\bar{a},\ b=g\bar{b}$ for some $\bar{a},\bar{b}\in M$, $g,h\in H$. Thus define a bicharacter*

$$r: H^N_{T_\epsilon}(M)\otimes H^N_{T_\epsilon}(M)\to \mathbf{F}^N_\epsilon(z,w) \tag{5.11}$$

by

$$r_{z,w}(a\otimes b)=h_z g_w r_{z,w}(\bar{a}\otimes\bar{b}), \tag{5.12}$$

and extend it by linearity and using multiplicativity ((5.2) and (5.3)) of the bicharacter to the whole of $H^N_{T_\epsilon}(M)$. The extended bicharacter r on $H^N_{T_\epsilon}(M)$ is $H^N_{T_\epsilon}\otimes H^N_{T_\epsilon}$-covariant.

The proof is straightforward.

5.2. **Examples of free Leibnitz modules.** All of the modules underlying our vertex algebras in this paper are going to be free Leibnitz modules. There are of course many other examples, not coming from free Leibnitz modules, but:

- the free Leibnitz modules are simplest and thus need to be understood first
- any module-algebra in the supercommutative category is a quotient of a free Leibnitz module (the free module-algebra).

Now we proceed with the five types of examples we will be using in this paper. The first three types of examples are entirely even, or **bosonic**; the fourth example is also entirely even, it is auxiliary; the last two examples are **fermionic**, or super algebras.

Example 5.10. (The free Leibnitz modules $H_D(\mathbb{C}[h])$ and $H^N_{T_\epsilon}(\mathbb{C}[h])$)
The algebra $\mathbb{C}[x_1,x_2,\dots,x_n,\dots]$ is isomorphic to the free H_D-Leibnitz module over the algebra $\mathbb{C}[h]$ (the polynomial algebra of a single variable, considered as a Hopf algebra with h a primitive element). We can identify $x_1=h$ and $D^{(n)}h=D^{(n)}x_1=x_{n+1}$. From equation (2.3), we have that $x_n=D^{(n-1)}h$ are primitive: according to (5.8):

$$\triangle\,(D^{(l)}h)=(\sum_{p+q=l}D^{(p)}\otimes D^{(q)})(h\otimes 1+1\otimes h)=D^{(l)}h\otimes 1+1\otimes D^{(l)}h, \tag{5.13}$$

one similarly checks the counit and the anitpode. These variables commute and generate $H_D(\mathbb{C}[h])$. Thus $\mathbb{C}[x_1,x_2,\dots,x_n,\dots]$ is indeed isomorphic to the free H_D module-algebra over $\mathbf{C}[h]$.

One can similarly see that the free Leibnitz module $H_D(\mathbb{C}[h_1,\dots,h_k])$ is isomorphic as a Hopf algebra to the polynomial algebra with k groups of variables $\mathbb{C}[x^1_1,x^1_2,\dots,x^1_n,\dots,x^k_1,x^k_2,\dots,x^k_n,\dots,]$.

The free Leibnitz module $H^N_{T_\epsilon}(\mathbb{C}[h])$ is also isomorphic as a Hopf algebra to the same polynomial algebra with k groups of variables, this time denoted by $\mathbb{C}[x^0_1,x^0_2,\dots,x^0_n,\dots,x^{N-1}_1,x^{N-1}_2,\dots,x^{N-1}_n,\dots,]$, by identifying

$$x^k_l=T^kD^{(l)}h,\quad k=0,\dots,N-1,\quad n=0,1,\dots,l,\dots. \tag{5.14}$$

We have:

$$\triangle(T^kD^{(l)}h)=(T^k\otimes T^k)(\sum_{p+q=l}D^{(p)}\otimes D^{(q)})(h\otimes 1+1\otimes h)=T^kD^{(l)}h\otimes 1+1\otimes T^kD^{(l)}h \tag{5.15}$$

Although $H_D(\mathbb{C}[h_1,\dots,h_k])$ and $H^N_{T_\epsilon}(\mathbb{C}[h])$ have similar algebra structure, the difference is in the module action, thus they differ as module-algebras, and that has an effect on the bicharacters extensions, see lemma 5.9.

Example 5.11. (The free Leibnitz modules over free abelian group algebras) Let $L_1 = \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}\alpha]$ be the group algebra of the rank-one free abelian group $\mathbb{Z}\alpha$. The group algebra is generated by $e^{m\alpha},\ m \in \mathbb{Z},$ with relations $e^{m\alpha}e^{n\alpha} = e^{(m+n)\alpha},\ \ e^0 = 1$. Note that as an algebra $L_1 = \mathbb{C}[e^{\alpha}, e^{-\alpha}]$, with the relation $R:\ e^{\alpha}e^{-\alpha} = 1$. As a Hopf algebra, e^{α} and $e^{-\alpha}$ are grouplike. Now let us consider the free Leibnitz modules over L_1, starting with $H_D(L_1)$. As an algebra the free H_D Leibnitz module $H_D(L_1)$ is isomorphic to $L_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}[h]$:

Proof. Since $H_D(L_1)$ is a free Leibnitz module, we can define an element $h = (De^{\alpha})e^{-\alpha}$. It follows that h is primitive, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta(h) = \Delta((De^{\alpha})e^{-\alpha}) = \Delta(De^{\alpha})\Delta(e^{-\alpha}) = \\
&= \big(\Delta(D)\Delta(e^{\alpha})\big)(e^{-\alpha} \otimes e^{-\alpha}) = \big((D \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes D)(e^{\alpha} \otimes e^{\alpha})\big)(e^{-\alpha} \otimes e^{-\alpha}) = \\
&= (De^{\alpha} \otimes e^{\alpha} + (e^{\alpha} \otimes De^{\alpha}))(e^{-\alpha} \otimes e^{-\alpha}) = h \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes h.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly one checks that $\epsilon(h) = 0$. Thus, since $H_D(L_1)$ is a free Leibnitz module, it has the subalgebra $H_D(\mathbf{C}[h]) = \mathbf{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots]$. Thus, $L_1 \otimes H_D(\mathbf{C}[h])$ is (isomorphic to) a subalgebra in $H_D(L_1)$. Conversely, for any $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, since

$$De^{\alpha} = h \cdot e^{\alpha}$$

we can write

$$De^{m\alpha} = D(e^{\alpha})^m = m(De^{\alpha})(e^{\alpha})^{m-1} = m(he^{\alpha})(e^{\alpha})^{m-1} = mh \cdot e^{m\alpha}$$

and so for any $m, n \in \mathbf{Z}$ the element $D^n(e^{m\alpha})$ is in $M \otimes H_D(\mathbf{C}[h])$, and so $H_D(L_1)$ is (isomorphic to) a subalgebra in $M \otimes H_D(\mathbf{C}[h])$. Thus $H_D(L_1)$ is isomorphic to $L_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}[h] \simeq L_1 \otimes \mathbf{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots],\ n \in \mathbf{N}$. $\square$

Note that the primitive element h is of particular importance for the boson-fermion correspondences, as it generates a Heisenberg subalgebra not only for a single bicharacter, but for a lot of choices of a bicharacter.

The free Leibnitz module $H^N_{T_\epsilon}(L_1)$ is isomorphic to $L_N \otimes H^N_{T_\epsilon}(\mathbb{C}[h])$, where L_N is the group algebra $L_N = \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}\alpha_1, \mathbb{Z}\alpha_2, \dots \mathbb{Z}\alpha_N]$ of the free abelian group of rank N (one can identify $T^k e^{\alpha}$, which is grouplike, with e^{α_k}).

One proceeds similarly with the free Leibnitz modules over the free abelian group of any rank.

Example 5.12. (The free Leibnitz modules over free abelian semigroup algebras) This example is a slight modification of the previous example, here we will consider the bialgebra (**not** a Hopf algebra for the lack of antipode) $L_1^+ = \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha]$ be the group algebra of the rank-one free abelian semigroup $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha$. Everything else is as the previous example, with the exception that the resulting free H_D Leibnitz module $H_D(L_1^+)$ will just be a bialgebra, not a Hopf algebra.

Similarly for the free Leibnitz modules over a free abelian semigroup of any rank. [4]

---

[4] The use of a Hopf algebra vs just a bialgebra is necessitated when one potentially needs a formula for the braided commutativity, which uses the antipode in its bicharacter formulation. The twisted vertex algebras are supersymmetric, thus we can use just bialgebras, if we impose an extra symmetry property on the bicharacter that we will use.

Remark 5.13. One other difference between the free abelian semigroup algebras vs the free abelian group algebras is in the restriction the group property places on the bicharacter: If we consider e^{α} to belong to a group algebra, then we have

$$r(e^{\alpha}e^{-\alpha}\otimes e^{\alpha})=1=r(e^{\alpha}\otimes e^{\alpha})r(e^{-\alpha}\otimes e^{\alpha}).$$

Thus,

$$r(e^{-\alpha}\otimes e^{\alpha})=\frac{1}{r(e^{\alpha}\otimes e^{\alpha})}=r(e^{\alpha}\otimes e^{-\alpha}) \tag{5.16}$$

In turn, that means that we are prohibited to choose some of the $\mathbf{F}_{\epsilon}^{N}(z,w)$-valued bicharacters, namely those which value $r(e^{\alpha}\otimes e^{\alpha})$ has w as a factor in its numerator. That is because it would result in a factor of w in the denominator of $r(e^{-\alpha}\otimes e^{\alpha})$, which is prohibited for reasons that become obvious later, when we will want to evaluate the bicharacter at $w=0$.

Example 5.14. (The free Leibnitz modules over finite abelian group algebras) Let $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_k]$ be the group algebra of the finite abelian group $\mathbb{Z}_k$ of order k. The group algebra is generated by $e^{m\alpha}$, $m=0,1,\dots,k-1$, so that we have the relations

$$e^{m_1\alpha}e^{m_2\alpha}=e^{(\overline{m_1+m_2})\alpha},\quad e^0=1,\quad \overline{m_1+m_2}\equiv m_1+m_2\ (mod\ k)$$

Interestingly, the free Leibnitz module (in the supercommutative category) of $H_D(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_k])$ is $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_k]$ itself, due to the following: In $H_D(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_k])$ we have

$$D\big((e^{\alpha})^k\big)=D1=0=k\cdot De^{\alpha}\cdot(e^{\alpha})^{k-1},$$

and since $(e^{\alpha})^{k-1}\neq 0$ in $H_D(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_k])$, we have $De^{\alpha}=0$ in $H_D(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_k])$. Thus $H_D(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_k])=\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_k]$ in the super category. Note that this is due to assuming H_D is entirely even; if one relaxes that assumption, the result for $H_D(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_k])$ will be different, but this is a subject of another paper (on **anyons**).

Remark 5.15. Note that from the above example it follows that for the finite abelian group algebras, the **only** $H_{T_\epsilon}^{N}\otimes H_{T_\epsilon}^{N}$-covariant bicharacters are the constant bicharacters! On group elements constant bicharacters are usually called cocycles: For any e^{α}, e^{β} grouplike (regardless of whether from a finite or free abelian group algebra) we can define

$$\epsilon(\alpha,\beta)=r(e^{\alpha}\otimes e^{\beta}) \tag{5.17}$$

The multiplicativity properties of the bicharacter are precisely the cocycle conditions. So the twisted group algebra used throughout vertex algebra theory (see [FLM88], [Kac98], ...) is nothing else but twisting by a constant bicharacter.

The next two examples we will use throughout the paper are **fermionic**, or super algebras.

Example 5.16. (The free Leibnitz modules $H_D(\mathbb{C}\{\phi\})$ and $H_{T_\epsilon}^{N}(\mathbb{C}\{\phi\})$) Let $\mathbb{C}\{\phi\}$ be the Grassmann algebra generated by one odd primitive element ϕ, $\phi\cdot\phi=0$. Then the free Leibnitz module $H_D(\mathbb{C}\{\phi\})$ is the Grassmann algebra with odd anticommuting generators $\phi^n=D^{(n)}\phi$,

$$\phi^n\phi^m+\phi^m\phi^n=0, \tag{5.18}$$

which are primitive too:

$$\triangle(\phi^n)=\triangle(D^{(n)}\phi)=\sum_{p+q=n}D^{(p)}\otimes D^{(q)}(\phi\otimes 1+1\otimes\phi)=D^{(n)}\phi\otimes 1+1\otimes D^{(n)}\phi, \tag{5.19}$$

one similarly checks the counit and the anitpode.

Similarly, the free Leibnitz module $H^N_{T_\epsilon}(\mathbb{C}\{\phi\})$ is the Grassmann algebra with odd anticommuting generators

$$\phi^{n,k} = D^{(n)}T^k_\epsilon\phi, \quad k = 0, \dots, N-1, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, l, \dots. \tag{5.20}$$

Note that here we deliberately deviate in the ordering of the operators $D^{(n)}$ and T^k_ϵ; one should be careful to be consistent, as it may result in rescaling of the basis:

$$\phi^{n,k} = D^{(n)}T^k_\epsilon\phi = \epsilon^{kn}T^k_\epsilon D^{(n)}\phi. \tag{5.21}$$

Of particular interest for the boson-fermion correspondences is going to be the case of $N = 2$: The free Leibnitz module $H^2_{T_\epsilon}(\mathbb{C}\{\phi\})$ is isomorphic, and often will be denoted by, $H_D(\mathbb{C}\{\phi, T\phi\})$, where we just write $T = T_\epsilon = T_{-1}$ (as ϵ can only be equal to -1 in this case). We will use it extensively in the examples of twisted vertex algebras, as two of the boson-fermion correspondences (the type B, and the type D-C) will have $H_D(\mathbb{C}\{\phi, T\phi\})$ as underlying space of fields on its fermionic side. Of particular interest is the element

$$h_\phi = \phi T\phi, \quad \text{with} \quad Th_\phi = -h_\phi. \tag{5.22}$$

This element is even, but not primitive, in fact:

$$\begin{aligned}
\triangle h_\phi =& h_\phi \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes h_\phi + \phi \otimes T\phi - T\phi \otimes \phi,\\
\triangle^2 h_\phi =& h_\phi \otimes 1 \otimes 1_1 \otimes h_\phi \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes h_\phi +\\
&+\phi \otimes T\phi \otimes 1 + \phi \otimes 1 \otimes T\phi + 1 \otimes \phi \otimes T\phi -\\
&-T\phi \otimes \phi \otimes 1 - T\phi \otimes 1 \otimes \phi - 1 \otimes T\phi \otimes \phi,
\end{aligned}$$

but we will see in the later sections that although it is not primitive, the element h_ϕ generates a Heisenberg subalgebra for particular choices of bicharacter.

And the last example of free Leibnitz modules is the following:

Example 5.17. (The free Leibnitz modules $H_D(\mathbb{C}\{\phi, \psi\})$ and $H^N_{T_\epsilon}(\mathbb{C}\{\phi, \psi\})$) Let $\mathbb{C}\{\phi, \psi\}$ be the Grassmann algebra generated by two odd primitive element ϕ, ψ, such that $\phi \cdot \phi = \psi \cdot \psi = \phi\psi + \psi\phi = 0$. Then the free Leibnitz module $H_D(\mathbb{C}\{\phi, \psi\})$ is the Grassmann algebra with odd anticommuting primitive generators $\phi^n = D^{(n)}\phi$, $\psi^n = D^{(n)}\psi$. The $H_D(\mathbb{C}\{\phi, \psi\})$ is the underlying space on the fermionic side of the boson-fermion correspondence of type A. Of particular interest is the element $h_{\phi,\psi} = \phi\psi$, which is again even, but not primitive, and has similar coproduct as the element h_ϕ above. $h_{\phi,\psi}$ also generates a Heisenberg subalgebra for particular choices of the bicharacter as we will see in the later sections (an example appeared in [Ang08]). Similarly $H^N_{T_\epsilon}(\mathbb{C}\{\phi, \psi\})$ is isomorphic to $H_D(\mathbb{C}\{\phi, T_\epsilon\phi, \dots, T^{N-1}_\epsilon\phi, \psi, T_\epsilon\psi, \dots, T^{N-1}_\epsilon\psi\})$.

From now on when we assume that M is a (super)commutative, (super)cocommutative Hopf algebra. To unclutter the language, we will just write commutative, cocommutative, omitting the term "super" as long as the parity is clear from the context.

5.3. **Exponential map and its properties; Holomorphic twisted vertex algebras.**

In this section we want to show some examples of purely holomorphic twisted vertex algebras. Similarly to the super-vertex algebras, a notion of "exponential map" is **the** major player for a holomorphic twisted vertex algebra. Of course, the exponential map plays a major role also for the non-holomorphic case.

Definition 5.18. (Holomorphic vertex algebra) *We call a vertex algebra (be it super or twisted) holomorphic, if the analytic continuations* $X_{z_1,z_2,\dots,z_k}(a_1\otimes a_2\otimes\ldots\otimes a_k)$ *(and in particular* $X_{z,w}(a\otimes b)$*) have no poles for any* $a_1,\dots,a_k,a,b\in V$.

For super vertex algebras this definition coincides with the notion of a holomorphic super vertex algebra introduced in the previous literature (see for example [Kac98], [LL04]). A holomorphic super-vertex algebra is in fact just a commutative associative unital differential algebra. If V is a holomorphic super-vertex algebra, for any $a,b\in V$ we have (see for example [Kac98], [LL04]):

$$Y(a,z)b=(e^{zD}a)b,\quad \text{where}\quad e^{zD}=\sum_{n\geq 0}z^nD^{(n)}$$

Thus the fields in a holomorphic super-vertex algebra are uniquely determined by a unique "exponential map". In the case of twisted vertex algebras the situation is not as simple, as it will turn out the "exponential map" is not unique. Meaning there are a variety of examples of "exponential maps" for twisted vertex algebras that would satisfy the properties of a holomorphic twisted vertex algebra. Note that for twisted vertex algebras, we also have the concept of a projection map, thus we really should speak of **pairs** of exponential-and-projection maps that satisfy the properties of a holomorphic twisted vertex algebra. We first recall some properties of the exponential map that were true for the map e^{zD} (note that for the usual, untwisted, vertex algebras the projection map is the identity map on the space of fields V, which is also the space of states). Next we will define a simple class of pairs of projection and exponential maps on free $H^N_{T_\epsilon}$ Leibnitz modules, so that the new exponential map satisfies similar properties.

Lemma 5.19. (Properties of the exponential map e^{zD})
Let L *be a free* H_D*-Leibnitz module,* a,b*—any elements of* L*. Then the map* $e^{zD}:L\to L[[z]]$ *satisfies the following properties:*

- *Vacuum property:* $e^{zD}1=1$,
- *Creation property:* $e^{zD}a|_{z=0}=a$,
- *Transfer of action:* $e^{zD}(Da)=\partial_z(e^{zD}a)$,
- *Multiplicativity:* $e^{zD}(ab)=(e^{zD}a)(e^{zD}b)$,
- *Grouplike property:* $\triangle_L(e^{zD}a)=e^{zD}\otimes e^{zD}(\triangle(a))$,
- *Compatibility with bicharacters:* $i_{z,w}r_{z,w}(a\otimes b)=r_{z,0}(a\otimes e^{wD}b)$*, for any* $a,b\in L$ *and any* $H_D\otimes H_D$*-invariant bicharacter on* L.
- *Expansion property:* $e^{zD}a=\sum_{n\geq 0}(z-w)^ne^{wD}(D^{(n)}a)$.
- *Associativity:* $e^{(z-w)D}(e^{wD}a)=e^{zD}a$.

Remark 5.20. The associativity property obviously follows immediately from the expansion property. We write the two separately, because a modified expansion property holds also for the new exponential map we want to define, but the associativity property doesn't hold for the new exponential map. The reason is that in the case of a free Leibnitz module $V=H^N_{T_\epsilon}(M)$ there are several "directions" $(z-\epsilon^iw,\ i=1,\dots,N-1)$ in which to expand, not just one "direction" $z-w$ as in a free Leibnitz module $V=H_D(M)$. The associativity would require simultaneous expansion in all the directions, which is not possible in a free Leibnitz module $V=H^N_{T_\epsilon}(M)$.

Proof. The proof is straightforward and well known for most of these properties, we will only give a proof of the grouplike property:

$$\triangle_L (e^{zD}(a)) = \triangle_l(\sum_{n\geq 0} z^n D^{(n)}a) = \sum_{n\geq 0} z^n \triangle_L D^{(n)}a = \sum_{n\geq 0} z^n \sum_{k+l=n} D^{(k)}a'\otimes D^{(l)}a'' =$$

$$= \sum_{n\geq 0}\sum_{k+l=n} z^k D^{(k)}a'\otimes z^l D^{(l)}a'' = \sum_{k\geq 0} z^k D^{(k)}a'\otimes \sum_{l\geq 0} z^l D^{(l)}a'' = e^{zD}\otimes e^{zD}(\triangle(a)).$$

Here we used (2.3) and the property of free Leibnitz modules (5.8). □

Now we will define a pair of projection and exponential maps on free $H^N_{T_\epsilon}$ Leibnitz modules In what follows let M be a commutative cocommutative Hopf algebra, let V be the free Leibnitz module, $V = H^N_{T_\epsilon}(M)$. Note that the free Leibnitz module $W = H_D(M)$ is a sub-Hopf algebra of V, and thus we can use the exponential map e^{zD} on W. Moreover, each element in V can be written uniquely as a linear combination of elements of the form $a = \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} a_i$, where $a_i = T^i_\epsilon \bar{a}_i$, for some $\bar{a}_i \in W$.

Definition 5.21. (T-projection Map $\pi_\mathbf{T}$) *Let M be a commutative cocommutative Hopf algebra, let V be the free Leibnitz module $V = H^N_{T_\epsilon}(M)$, $W = H_D(M)$, and let $a \in V$ is such that $a = \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} a_i$, where $a_i = T^i_\epsilon \bar{a}_i$ for some $\bar{a}_i \in W$. Define the projection map $\pi_T : V \to W$ to be the algebra homomorphism map defined by:*

$$\pi_T(a_i) = \bar{a}_i,, \quad i = 1,\dots,N-1, \quad \pi_T(a) = \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \bar{a}_i; \tag{5.23}$$

Since V is the span of such elements a as above, extend π_T to the whole of V by linearity.

Definition 5.22. (Exponential Map $\mathcal{E}_\mathbf{z}$) *Let as above $a_i = T^i_\epsilon \bar{a}_i$, $\bar{a}_i \in W$, $a = \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} a_i$. Define the map $\mathcal{E}_z : V \to W[[z]]$ to be the algebra homomorphism map such that*

$$\mathcal{E}_z(\bar{a}_i) = e^{zD}\bar{a}_i, \quad \textit{for any} \ \ \bar{a}_i \in W \tag{5.24}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_z(a_i) = e^{\epsilon^i zD}\bar{a}_i, \quad i = 0,\dots,N-1; \tag{5.25}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_z(\prod_{i=0}^{N-1} a_i) = \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} e^{\epsilon^i zD}\bar{a}_i; \tag{5.26}$$

and extend $\mathcal{E}_z$ by linearity to the whole of V.

Example 5.23. This example points out that one has to be careful with the specific order between $D^{(n)}$ and T^i_ϵ in the free Leibnitz module that we implicitly used when we defined the exponential map $\mathcal{E}_z$. Let as above $a_i = T^i_\epsilon \bar{a}_i$, $\bar{a}_i \in W$, and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If we want to calculate $\mathcal{E}_z(D^{(n)}a_i)$, we need

$$D^{(n)}a_i = D^{(n)}T^i_\epsilon \bar{a}_i = \epsilon^{ni} T^i_\epsilon D^{(n)}\bar{a}_i; \tag{5.27}$$

and now here $D^{(n)}\bar{a}_i \in W$, and thus

$$\mathcal{E}_z(D^{(n)}a_i) = \mathcal{E}_z(\epsilon^{ni}T^i_\epsilon D^{(n)}\bar{a}_i) = \epsilon^{ni}e^{\epsilon^i zD}(D^{(n)}\bar{a}_i) = \epsilon^{ni}D^{(n)}(e^{\epsilon^i zD}\bar{a}_i) = \epsilon^{ni}D^{(n)}\mathcal{E}_z(a_i), \tag{5.28}$$

This equality plays part in the "transfer of action" property of $\mathcal{E}_z$, and we will use it also in proving the Modified Expansion property of the exponential map.

Remark 5.24. Both the T-projection map and the exponential map $\mathcal{E}_z$ are parity preserving maps (we think of z as being even). That is due to the fact that both H_D and $H^N_{T_\epsilon}$ are entirely even. Thus if $a\in V$ is even (odd), so is $T^i_\epsilon a$, for any $i=1,\dots,N-1$, as well as $D^{(n)}a$ and $\mathcal{E}_z$.

Lemma 5.25. (Properties of the Exponential Map $\mathcal{E}_\mathbf{z}$) *Let M be a commutative cocommutative Hopf algebra, let V be the free Leibnitz module $V=H^N_{T_\epsilon}(M)$, r is a $H^N_{T_\epsilon}\otimes H^N_{T_\epsilon}$-covariant bicharacter on V. Let $\pi_T : V\to W$ and $\mathcal{E}_z : V\to W[[z]]$ be the pair projection-exponential map defined above. This pair of maps satisfies the following properties:*

- *Vacuum property: $\mathcal{E}_z(1)=1$, where 1 is the unit in V;*
- *Modified creation property: $\mathcal{E}_z(a)|_{z=0}=\pi_T(a)$, for any $a\in V$;*
- *Transfer of action: $\mathcal{E}_z(ha)=h_z\mathcal{E}_z(a)$, for any $h\in H^N_{T_\epsilon}$, $a\in V$;*
- *Multiplicativity: $\mathcal{E}_z(ab)=\mathcal{E}_z(a)\mathcal{E}_z(b)$, for any $a,b\in V$;*
- *Grouplike: $\triangle\mathcal{E}_z(a)=\mathcal{E}_z(a')\otimes\mathcal{E}_z(a'')$;*
- *Compatibility with bicharacters: $i_{z,w}r_{z,w}(a\otimes b)=r_{z,0}(a\otimes\mathcal{E}_w(b))$, for any $a,b\in V$.*
- *Modified expansion: $\mathcal{E}_z(a)=\sum_{n\geq 0}(z-\epsilon^i w)^n\mathcal{E}_w(T^iD^{(n)}a)$,*

Remark 5.26. Note that $\sum_{n\geq 0}(z-\epsilon^i w)^n\mathcal{E}_w(T^iD^{(n)}a)=\sum_{n\geq 0}\epsilon^{-in}(z-\epsilon^i w)^n\mathcal{E}_w(D^{(n)}Ta)$, and $\sum_{n\geq 0}\epsilon^{-in}(z-\epsilon^i w)^nD^{(n)}=e^{\epsilon^{-i}(z-\epsilon^{-i}w)D}$, but $D^{(n)}$ and $\mathcal{E}_w$ do not commute as we saw in the example 5.23 above. Thus the modified expansion property can **not** be rewritten using $e^{\epsilon^{-i}(z-\epsilon^{-i}w)D}$. That is the reason for the lack of "modified associativity" property.

Proof. The proofs for most of the properties are straightforward, and use the similar properties of the ordinary exponential map e^{zD}. The vacuum property if due to the fact that $1\in W$. The modified creation property uses the definition of the map $\mathcal{E}_z$ via the projection map, the multiplicativity is also by definition. For the transfer of action we have for each $a_i=T^i_\epsilon\bar{a}_i,\ \bar{a}_i\in W$, $i=1,\dots,N-1$, as in example 5.23:

$$\mathcal{E}_z(Da_i)=\epsilon^ie^{\epsilon^izD}(D\bar{a}_i)=\epsilon^i\sum_{n\geq 0}\epsilon^{ni}z^nD\cdot D^{(n)}\bar{a}_i=\sum_{n\geq 0}\epsilon^{(n+1)i}z^n(n+1)D^{(n+1)}\bar{a}_i=$$
$$=\partial_z\sum_{n\geq 0}\epsilon^{(n+1)i}z^{n+1}D^{(n+1)}\bar{a}_i=\partial_z(\mathcal{E}_za_i)=D_z(\mathcal{E}_za_i)$$

The transfer of action of D for any $a=\prod_{j=0}^{N-1}(T_\epsilon)^j\bar{a}_j,\ \bar{a}_j\in W$ then follows from the fact that both D and ∂_z are primitive. Now for the transfer of action of T:

$$\mathcal{E}_z(T_\epsilon a_i)=\mathcal{E}_z(T_\epsilon T^i_\epsilon\bar{a}_i)=\mathcal{E}_z(T^{i+1}_\epsilon\bar{a}_i)=e^{\epsilon^{i+1}zD}\bar{a}_i=e^{\epsilon^i(\epsilon z)D}\bar{a}_i=\mathcal{E}_{\epsilon z}a_i=(T_\epsilon)_z\mathcal{E}_za_i$$

For the grouplike property in addition to the similar property of the map e^{zD} we also use the fact that the elements T^i_ϵ are grouplike for any $i=0,\dots,N-1$. The compatibility with the bicharacter follows immediately from the same property of the map e^{zD}. We will prove the modified expansion property for $i=1$, as the proof is the same for any $i=0,1,\dots,N-1$. Let $a=\prod_{j=0}^{N-1}(T_\epsilon)^j\bar{a}_j,\ \bar{a}_j\in W$. We have

$$\mathcal{E}_z(a)=\prod_{j=0}^{N-1}\mathcal{E}_z(T_\epsilon)^j\bar{a}_j)=\prod_{j=0}^{N-1}e^{\epsilon^jzD}\bar{a}_j=$$
$$=e^{(z-\epsilon w)D}(e^{\epsilon wD}\bar{a}_0)\cdot e^{\epsilon(z-\epsilon w)D}(e^{\epsilon^2wD}\bar{a}_1)\cdots e^{\epsilon^{N-1}(z-\epsilon w)D}(e^{\epsilon^{N-1}wD}\bar{a}_{N-1})=$$

$$= \sum_{n_0\geq 0}(z-\epsilon w)^{n_0}D^{(n_0)}(e^{\epsilon w D}\bar{a}_0)\cdot \sum_{n_1\geq 0}(z-\epsilon w)^{n_1}\epsilon^{n_1}D^{(n_1)}(e^{\epsilon^2 w D}\bar{a}_1)\cdot$$

$$\cdot \sum_{n_2\geq 0}(z-\epsilon w)^{n_2}\epsilon^{2n_2}D^{(n_2)}(e^{\epsilon^3 w D}\bar{a}_2)\cdots$$

$$\cdot \sum_{n_{N-1}\geq 0}(z-\epsilon w)^{n_{N-1}}\epsilon^{(N-1)n_{N-1}}D^{(n_{N-1})}(e^{\epsilon^{N-1} w D}\bar{a}_{N-1}) =$$

$$= \sum_{n\geq 0}(z-\epsilon w)^{n}\cdot\Big(\sum_{\sum n_i=n} D^{(n_0)}(e^{\epsilon w D}\bar{a}_0)\epsilon^{n_1}D^{(n_1)}(e^{\epsilon^2 w D}\bar{a}_1)\epsilon^{2n_2}D^{(n_2)}(e^{\epsilon^3 w D}\bar{a}_2)\cdots$$

$$\cdot\,\epsilon^{(N-1)n_{N-1}}D^{(n_{N-1})}(e^{\epsilon^{N-1} w D}\bar{a}_{N-1})\Big) =$$

(from example 5.23)

$$= \sum_{n\geq 0}(z-\epsilon w)^{n}\sum_{\sum n_i=n}\epsilon^{-n_0}\mathcal{E}_w(D^{(n_0)}T\bar{a}_0)\cdot\epsilon^{-n_1}\mathcal{E}_w(D^{(n_1)}T^2\bar{a}_1)\cdot\epsilon^{-n_{N-1}}\mathcal{E}_w(D^{(n_{N-1})}T\bar{a}_{N-1}) =$$

$$= \sum_{n\geq 0}\epsilon^{-n}(z-\epsilon w)^{n}\sum_{\sum n_i=n}\mathcal{E}_w(D^{(n_0)}T\bar{a}_0\cdot D^{(n_1)}T^2\bar{a}_1\cdots D^{(n_{N-1})}T^N\bar{a}_{N-1}) =$$

(we use (2.3) for the operators $D^{(n_i)}$ and the property of free Leibnitz modules)

$$= \sum_{n\geq 0}\epsilon^{-n}(z-\epsilon w)^{n}\mathcal{E}_w\big(D^{(n)}(T\bar{a}_0\cdot T^2\bar{a}_1\cdot\ldots T^N\bar{a}_{N-1})\big) =$$

$$= \sum_{n\geq 0}\epsilon^{-n}(z-\epsilon w)^{n}\mathcal{E}_w\big(D^{(n)}T(\bar{a}_0\cdot T^1\bar{a}_1\cdot\ldots T^{N-1}\bar{a}_{N-1})\big) = \sum_{n\geq 0}\epsilon^{-n}(z-\epsilon w)^{n}\mathcal{E}_w(D^{(n)}Ta).$$

The modified expansion property can then be extended by linearity to the whole of V. □

Lemma 5.27. (Holomorphic twisted vertex algebra) *Let M be a commutative cocommutative Hopf algebra, let V be the free Leibnitz module $V = H^N_{T_\epsilon}(M)$, let $\pi_T : V \to W$ be the projection map from definition 5.21. The map $\mathcal{E}_z : V \to W[[z]]$ defines a structure of a holomorphic twisted vertex algebra $(V, W, \pi_f = \pi_T, Y)$ by:*

$$Y(a,z)\pi_T(b) = \mathcal{E}_z\pi_T(b) \tag{5.29}$$

for any $a, b \in V$.

Proof. There are two questions that one needs to answer about the definition of the holomorphic vertex operator:
1. Is this definition sufficient, i.e, have we defined the vertex operator action on $\bar{b}$ for any $\bar{b} \in W$? The answer is yes, since the map π_T is a surjection, so for any $\bar{b} \in W$ exists $b \in V$ such that $\pi_T(b) = \bar{b}$.
2. Is this definition consistent, i.e., for each $\bar{b} \in W$, is the vertex operator acting on $\bar{b}$ independent from the choice of the $b \in V$ used in the definition? The answer is again yes, due to the following: if $\bar{b} = \pi_T(b_1) = \pi_T(b_2)$, the definition obviously depends only on $\bar{b}$, since $\pi_T(\bar{b}) = \bar{b}$.

The rest follows from the fact that the first four properties of the exponential map $\mathcal{E}_z$ from lemma 5.25 are precisely those needed for a structure of a holomorphic twisted vertex algebra. □

5.4. Vertex operators, analytic continuations and OPEs from a bicharacter.

In this subsection we finally combine together the different ingredients of the bicharacter construction to produce fields and vertex algebras from a bicharacter. We know how to produce a field space (the free Leibnitz module $V = H^N_{T_\epsilon}(M)$), a state space (the free Leibnitz module $W = H_D(M) \subset V$), and the projection between them. This is part of the data needed for a twisted vertex algebra). Now we will define the fields and the field-state correspondence via a bicharacter. Then we will prove that this construction indeed satisfies the properties of a twisted vertex algebra.

One of the main definitions of this paper is:

Definition 5.28. (Two-variable fields from a bicharacter) *Let M be a commutative cocommutative Hopf algebra, let V be the free Leibnitz module $V = H^N_{T_\epsilon}(M)$, r a $H^N_{T_\epsilon}\otimes H^N_{T_\epsilon}$-covariant bicharacter on V, $W = H_D(M)$ the free H_D-Leibnitz sub-module-algebra of V. Let $\mathcal{E}_z$ be the exponential map $\mathcal{E}_z : V \to W[[z]]$ defined in definition 5.22. Define the singular multiplication map*

$$X_{z,w} \colon V^{\otimes 2} \to W[[z,w]] \otimes \mathbf{F}^N_\epsilon(z,w), \tag{5.30}$$

by

$$X_{z,w}(a\otimes b) = \sum (-1)^{\tilde{a''}\tilde{b'}} (\mathcal{E}_z a')(\mathcal{E}_w b') r_{z,w}(a''\otimes b''), \tag{5.31}$$

where a, b are homogeneous elements of V. The map $X_{z,w}$ is extended by linearity to the whole of V.

Definition 5.29 (Vertex operators $Y(a,z)$ and field-state correspondence). *Let V, W, $\mathcal{E}_z$ be as above, $\pi_T : V \to W$ be the projection map defined in 5.21. Define the vertex operator $Y(a,z)$ associated to $a \in V$ by*

$$Y(a,z)\pi_T(b) = X_{z,0}(a\otimes b) = \sum (-1)^{\tilde{a''}\tilde{b'}} (\mathcal{E}_z a')\pi_T(b') r_{z,0}(a''\otimes b''), \tag{5.32}$$

for any $b \in V$. $Y(a,z)$ is a field on W and the map $Y : a \in V \to Y(a,z)$ is a field-state correspondence for the twisted vertex algebra with space of fields V and space of states W.

Here we are implicitly using the modified creation property of the exponential map (lemma 5.25).

Remark 5.30. There are two questions that one needs to answer:
1. Is this definition sufficient, i.e, is the vertex operator $Y(a,z)$ really a field on W (i.e., is it defined for an arbitrary element of W)? The answer is yes, since the map π_T is a surjection, so for any $\bar{b} \in W$ exists $b \in V$ such that $\pi_T(b) = \bar{b}$ (same argument as in lemma 5.27). Also, the field finiteness condition in z (definition 3.1) is apparent in the definition, since any bicharacter r has only poles of finite order, and the exponential map has none.
2. Is this definition consistent, i.e., for each $\bar{b} \in W$, is the vertex operator acting on $\bar{b}$ independent from the choice of the $b \in V$ used in the definition? The answer is again yes, due to the following. If $\bar{b} = \pi_T(b_1) = \pi_T(b_2)$, then in addition to the same argument as in lemma 5.27, from the $H^N_{T_\epsilon} \otimes H^N_{T_\epsilon}$-covariance of the bicharacter r it follows that $r_{z,0}(a\otimes b_1) = r_{z,0}(a\otimes b_2) = r_{z,0}(a\otimes \bar{b})$.

Remark 5.31. Note that **any** $H^N_{T_\epsilon} \otimes H^N_{T_\epsilon}$-covariant bicharacter r will produce a different field-state correspondence, thus even with the same space of fields V and space of states W we can get a variety of examples of field-state correspondences by choosing different bicharacters on V.

Lemma 5.32. **(n = 2 *Analytic continuation)*** *Let V, W, $\mathcal{E}_z$, $\pi_T : V \to W$ be as above. We have*

$$Y(a,z)1 = \mathcal{E}_z a \tag{5.33}$$

$$i_{z,w}X_{z,w}(a\otimes b) = Y(a,z)\mathcal{E}_w b = Y(a,z)Y(b,w)1 \tag{5.34}$$

for any $a, b \in V$. Thus $X_{z,w}(a\otimes b)$ satisfies the $n = 2$ analytic continuation property for the field-state correspondence Y required by the definition of twisted vertex algebra.

Proof. The equation (5.33) follows from the vacuum property of the exponential map (see lemma 5.25). Note that since $W = H_D(M)$ is a Hopf subalgebra of V, the unit 1 is in W. Thus we have

$$Y(a,z)1 = \sum (-1)^0(\mathcal{E}_z a')1 r_{z,0}(a''\otimes 1) = \sum (\mathcal{E}_z a')\eta(a'') = \sum \mathcal{E}_z(a')\mathcal{E}_z(\eta(a'')) = \mathcal{E}_z a$$

The equation (5.34) follows from the "compatibility with bicharacters" and the "grouplike" properties of the exponential map (see lemma 5.25):

$$\begin{aligned} i_{z,w}X_{z,w}(a\otimes b) &= \sum (-1)^{\tilde{a''}\tilde{b'}}(\mathcal{E}_z a')(\mathcal{E}_w b')i_{z,w}r_{z,w}(a''\otimes b'') = \\ &= \sum (-1)^{\tilde{a''}\tilde{b'}}(\mathcal{E}_z a')(\mathcal{E}_w b')r_{z,0}(a''\otimes \mathcal{E}_w b'') = \sum (-1)^{\tilde{a''}\tilde{b'}}(\mathcal{E}_z a')(\mathcal{E}_w b)' r_{z,0}(a''\otimes (\mathcal{E}_w b)'') = \\ &= Y(a,z)\mathcal{E}_w b, \end{aligned}$$

where of course $\pi_T(\mathcal{E}_w b) = \mathcal{E}_w b$ for any $b \in V$. ☐

Thus we have established a field-state correspondence Y, and in fact have also proved that it satisfies the analytic continuation property of twisted vertex algebras for $n = 2$. It is immediate that this field-state correspondence satisfies also the following required properties for a twisted vertex algebra:

Lemma 5.33. *Let V, W, $\mathcal{E}_z$, $\pi_f = \pi_T : V \to W$, $Y : a \in V \to Y(a,z)$ be as above. This data satisfies the following properties:*

- *vacuum axiom:* $Y(1,z) = Id_W$;
- *modified creation axiom:* $Y(a,z)|0\rangle|_{z=0} = \pi_f(a)$, *for any* $a \in V$;
- *transfer of action:* $Y(ha,z) = h_z \cdot Y(a,z)$ *for any* $h \in H^N_{T_\epsilon}$.

Proof. The vacuum property and the modified creation property follow immediately from the corresponding properties of the exponential map. For the transfer of action property:

$$Y(ha,z)\pi_T(b) = X_{z,0}(ha\otimes b) = \sum (-1)^{\tilde{a''}\tilde{b'}}(\mathcal{E}_z(ha)')\pi_T(b')r_{z,0}((ha)''\otimes b''),$$

which from the property of free Leibnitz modules equals

$$\sum (-1)^{\tilde{a''}\tilde{b'}}(\mathcal{E}_z(h'a')\pi_T(b')r_{z,0}(h''a''\otimes b''),$$

which from the transfer of action property of the exponential map, and the covariance of the bicharacter is

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum (-1)^{\tilde{a''}\tilde{b'}}\big((h')_z\cdot \mathcal{E}_z(a')\big)\pi_T(b')\big((h'')_z\cdot r_{z,0}(a''\otimes b'')\big) = \\ &= h_z\cdot\big(\sum (-1)^{\tilde{a''}\tilde{b'}}(\mathcal{E}_z(a)')\pi_T(b')r_{z,0}((a)''\otimes b'')\big) = h_z\cdot\big(Y(a,z)\pi_T(b)\big). \end{aligned}$$

☐

One of the main advantages of the bicharacter construction is that there are explicit formulas for all the analytic continuation maps $X_{z_1,\dots,z_n}$ in terms of the bicharacter, similar to the formula (5.31). We will start with the formula for X_{z_1,z_2,z_3} as it is needed also for the Operator Product Expansions.

Definition 5.34. (Three-variable fields from a bicharacter) *Let V, W, $\mathcal{E}_z$, $\pi_T : V \to W$ be as above. Let a, b, c be arbitrary homogeneous elements of V Define the three variable field*

$$X_{z_1,z_2,z_3} \colon V^{\otimes 3} \to W[[z_1, z_2, z_3]] \otimes \mathbf{F}^N_\epsilon(z_1, z_2, z_3), \tag{5.35}$$

by

$$X_{z_1,z_2,z_3}(a \otimes b \otimes c) =$$
$$= \sum (-1)^{f(\tilde{a},\tilde{b},\tilde{c})} \mathcal{E}_{z_1} a^{(1)} \mathcal{E}_{z_2} b^{(1)} \mathcal{E}_{z_3} c^{(1)} r_{z_1,z_2}(a^{(2)} \otimes b^{(2)}) r_{z_1,z_3}(a^{(3)} \otimes c^{(2)}) r_{z_2,z_3}(b^{(3)} \otimes c^{(3)}),$$

where $f(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c}) = \widetilde{b^{(3)}}(\widetilde{c^{(1)}} + \widetilde{c^{(2)}}) + (\widetilde{a^{(2)}} + \widetilde{a^{(3)}})(\widetilde{b^{(1)}} + \widetilde{c^{(1)}}) + \widetilde{a^{(3)}}\widetilde{b^{(2)}} + \widetilde{b^{(2)}}\widetilde{c^{(1)}}$. Here as usual we denote $\Delta^2(a) = a^{(1)} \otimes a^{(2)} \otimes a^{(3)}$ for any $a \in V$. The map X_{z_1,z_2,z_3} is extended to the whole of V by linearity.

Lemma 5.35 (n = 3 Analytic continuation). *Let V, W, $\mathcal{E}_z$, $\pi_T : V \to W$ be as above. We have*

$$i_{z_1,z_2,z_3} X_{z_1,z_2,z_3}(a \otimes b \otimes c) = Y(a, z_1)Y(b, z_2)\mathcal{E}_{z_3} c = Y(a, z_1)Y(b, z_2)Y(c, z_3)1 \tag{5.36}$$

for any $a, b, c \in V$.

Proof. Again from the "compatibility with bicharacters" and the "grouplike" property of the exponential map, and Definition 5.29 we have

$$i_{z_1,z_2,z_3} X_{z_1,z_2,z_3}(a \otimes b \otimes c) =$$
$$= \sum (-1)^{f(\tilde{a},\tilde{b},\tilde{c})} \mathcal{E}_{z_1} a^{(1)} \mathcal{E}_{z_2} b^{(1)} \mathcal{E}_{z_3} c^{(1)} \cdot$$
$$\cdot\, i_{z_1,z_2} r_{z_1,z_2}(a^{(2)} \otimes b^{(2)}) i_{z_1,z_3} r_{z_1,z_3}(a^{(3)} \otimes c^{(2)}) i_{z_2,z_3} r_{z_2,z_3}(b^{(3)} \otimes c^{(3)}) =$$
$$= \sum (-1)^{f(\tilde{a},\tilde{b},\tilde{c})} \mathcal{E}_{z_1} a^{(1)} \mathcal{E}_{z_2} b^{(1)} \mathcal{E}_{z_3} c^{(1)} \cdot$$
$$\cdot\, r_{z_1,0}(a^{(2)} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{z_2} b^{(2)}) r_{z_1,0}(a^{(3)} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{z_3} c^{(2)}) r_{z_2,0}(b^{(3)} \otimes \mathcal{E}_{z_3} c^{(3)} =$$
$$= \sum (-1)^{(\widetilde{b''})''(\widetilde{c'}+(\widetilde{c''})')+((\widetilde{a''})'+(\widetilde{a''})'')(\widetilde{b'}+\widetilde{c'})+(\widetilde{b''})'\widetilde{c'}+(\widetilde{a''})''(\widetilde{b''})'} \mathcal{E}_{z_1} a' \mathcal{E}_{z_2} b' \mathcal{E}_{z_3} c' \cdot$$
$$\cdot\, r_{z_1,0}((a'')' \otimes (\mathcal{E}_{z_2}(b'')')) r_{z_1,0}((a'')'' \otimes \mathcal{E}_{z_3}(c'')')) r_{z_2,0}((b'')'' \otimes \mathcal{E}_{z_3}(c'')'' =$$
$$= \sum (-1)^{(\widetilde{b''})''(\widetilde{c'}+(\widetilde{c''})')+\widetilde{a''}\widetilde{b'}+\widetilde{a''}\widetilde{c'}+(\widetilde{b''})'\widetilde{c'}} \mathcal{E}_{z_1} a' \mathcal{E}_{z_2} b' \mathcal{E}_{z_3} c' \cdot$$
$$\cdot\, r_{z_1,0}(a'' \otimes (\mathcal{E}_{z_2}((b'')')(\mathcal{E}_{z_3} c'')')) r_{z_2,0}((b'')'' \otimes (\mathcal{E}_{z_3} c'')'') =$$
$$= \sum (-1)^{\widetilde{b''}\widetilde{c'}+\widetilde{a''}(\widetilde{b'})'+\widetilde{a''}(\widetilde{c'})'} (\mathcal{E}_{z_1} a')(\mathcal{E}_{z_2} b' \mathcal{E}_{z_3} c')' \cdot r_{z_1,0}(a'' \otimes (\mathcal{E}_{z_2} b' \mathcal{E}_{z_3} c')'') r_{z_3,0}(b'' \otimes (\mathcal{E}_{z_2} c'')'') =$$
$$= Y(a, z_1)Y(b, z_2)\mathcal{E}_{z_3} c.$$

□

Similar formulas can be derived for any $X_{z_1,\dots,z_n}$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Before we give those, let us explore the advantage of the lemma above. It is eminently useful in calculating Operator Product Expansions (OPEs), as the singularity in z, w depends only on the bicharacter, which for fixed $a, b \in V$ is just an ordinary function of z, w. Before we give the theorem, we need some preparation and notation.

Let r be a $H^N_{T_\epsilon} \otimes H^N_{T_\epsilon}$-covariant bicharacter on V, with values in $\mathbf{F}^N_\epsilon(z, w)$. For any $a, b \in V$ the bicharacter $r_{z,w}(a \otimes b)$ is just a function of z and w in $\mathbf{F}^N_\epsilon(z, w)$ and can be expanded as a Laurent series around $z = \epsilon^i w$ for any $i = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$. Let

$r_{z,w}(a\otimes b)=\sum_{l=0}^{M_{a,b}-1}\frac{f^{i,l}_{a,b}}{(z-\epsilon^i w)^{l+1}}+reg.$ be this expansion. We denote by $M_{a,b}$ the order of the pole at $z=\epsilon^i w$ and note that $f^{i,l}_{a,b}=f^{i,l}_{a,b}(w)$ is a function only of w.

Recall we usually omit writing the indexing in $\triangle(a)=\sum_p a'_p\otimes a''_p$, and write it just as $\triangle(a)=\sum a'\otimes a''$ to unclutter notation, but this summation is nevertheless implicitly prsent.

Theorem 5.36. (Bicharacter formula for the Operator Product Expansions) *Let V, W, $\mathcal{E}_z$ and π_T be as above. Let r be a $H^N_{T_\epsilon}\otimes H^N_{T_\epsilon}$-covariant bicharacter on V, with values in $\mathbf{F}^N_\epsilon(z,w)$, denote $M_{pq}=M_{a''_p,b''_q}$. For any $a,\ b\in V$ and any $0\le k\le M_{pq}-1$ we have*

(5.37)

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=\epsilon^i w}X_{z,w,0}(a\otimes b\otimes c)(z-\epsilon^i w)^k dz=\sum_{p,q}\sum_{l=k}^{M_{pq}-1}(-1)^{\tilde{a''}\tilde{b'}}f^{i,l}_{a'',b''}Y\big((T^i_\epsilon D^{(l-k)}a').b',w\big)\pi_T(c).$$

Proof. By using coassociativity and cocommutativity we have from 5.34

$$\begin{aligned}X_{z,w,0}(a\otimes b\otimes c)=&\sum_{p,q,r}(-1)^{(\tilde{b''})''(\tilde{c'}+(\tilde{c''})')+((\tilde{a''})'+(\tilde{a''})'')(\tilde{b'}+\tilde{c'})+(\tilde{a''})''(\tilde{b''})'+(\tilde{b''})'\tilde{c'}}\cdot\\ &\cdot(\mathcal{E}_z a')(\mathcal{E}_w b')\pi_T(c')r_{z,w}((a'')'\otimes(b'')'))r_{z,0}((a'')''\otimes c'')')r_{w,0}((b'')''\otimes(c'')'')=\\ =\sum_{p,q,r}&(-1)^{(\tilde{b''})'(\tilde{c'}+(\tilde{c''})')+((\tilde{a''})''+(\tilde{a''})')(\tilde{b'}+\tilde{c'})+(\tilde{a''})'(\tilde{b''})''+(\tilde{b''})''\tilde{c'}+(\tilde{a''})'(\tilde{a''})''+(\tilde{b''})'(\tilde{b''})''}\cdot\\ &\cdot(\mathcal{E}_z a')(\mathcal{E}_w b')\pi_T(c')r_{z,w}((a'')''\otimes(b'')''))r_{z,0}((a'')'\otimes c'')')r_{w,0}((b'')'\otimes(c'')'')=\\ =\sum_{p,q,r}&(-1)^{(\tilde{b'})''(\tilde{c'}+(\tilde{c''})')+(\tilde{a''}+(\tilde{a'})'')((\tilde{b'})'+\tilde{c'})+(\tilde{a'})''\tilde{b''}+\tilde{b''}\tilde{c'}+(\tilde{a'})''\tilde{a''}+(\tilde{b'})''\tilde{b''}}\mathcal{E}_z((a'))'\mathcal{E}_w((b'))'\pi_T(c')\cdot\\ &\cdot r_{z,w}(a''\otimes b''))r_{z,0}((a')''\otimes(c'')')r_{w,0}((b')''\otimes(c'')'').\end{aligned}$$

Note that $r_{z,0}((a')''\otimes(c'')')$ is nonsingular at $z=\epsilon^i w$, and therefore can be expanded in a power series in $(z-\epsilon^i w)$:

$$\begin{aligned}r_{z,0}((a')''\otimes(c'')')&=\sum_{j\ge0}\big((\partial_z)^{(j)}r_{z,0}((a')''\otimes c'')')\big)|_{z=\epsilon^i w}(z-\epsilon^i w)^j=\\ &=\sum_{j\ge0}r_{\epsilon^i w,0}(D^{(j)}(a')''\otimes c'')'))(z-\epsilon^i w)^j=\sum_{j\ge0}r_{w,0}(T^i_\epsilon D^{(j)}(a')''\otimes c'')'))(z-\epsilon^i w)^j=\\ &=\sum_{j\ge0}\epsilon^{-ij}r_{w,0}(D^{(j)}T^i_\epsilon(a')''\otimes c'')'))(z-\epsilon^i w)^j.\end{aligned}$$

We have used above the fact that the bicharacter is $H_D\otimes H_D$-covariance first, and then the $H^N_{T_\epsilon}$ covariance. Next we use the modified expansion property of the exponential map, see lemma 5.25:

$$\mathrm{Res}_{z=\epsilon^i w} X_{z,w,0}(a\otimes b\otimes c)(z-\epsilon^i w)^k =$$

$$= \sum_{p,q,r}(-1)^{f(\tilde a,\tilde b,\tilde c)+(\tilde{a'})''\tilde{a''}+(\tilde{b'})''\tilde{b''}} \cdot r_{w,0}((b')''\otimes(c'')'')\cdot$$

$$\cdot\, Res_{z=\epsilon^i w}\big((\mathcal{E}_z(a')')(\mathcal{E}_w(b')')\pi_T(c')(\sum_j \epsilon^{-ij} r_{w,0}(D^{(j)}T^i_\epsilon(a')''\otimes c'')'))(z-\epsilon^i w)^{j+k})\cdot$$

$$\cdot\, r_{z,w}(a''\otimes b''))\big) =$$

$$= \sum_{p,q,r}(-1)^{f(\tilde a,\tilde b,\tilde c)+(\tilde{a'})''\tilde{a''}+(\tilde{b'})''\tilde{b''}} r_{w,0}((b')''\otimes(c'')'')\cdot$$

$$\cdot\, Res_{z=\epsilon^i w}\big(\sum_{n,j\geq 0}\epsilon^{-i(n+j)}(z-\epsilon^i w)^{n+j+k}\mathcal{E}_w(D^{(n)}Ta')')(\mathcal{E}_w(b')')\pi_T(c')\cdot$$

$$\cdot\, r_{w,0}(D^{(j)}(a')''\otimes(c'')')r_{z,w}(a''\otimes b''))\big) =$$

$$= \sum_{p,q,r}(-1)^{f(\tilde a,\tilde b,\tilde c)+(\tilde{a'})''\tilde{a''}+(\tilde{b'})''\tilde{b''}} r_{w,0}((b')''\otimes(c'')'')\cdot$$

$$\cdot\, Res_{z=\epsilon^i w}\big(\sum_{l=0}^{M_{pq}-1}\sum_{n,j\geq 0}\epsilon^{-i(n+j)}(z-\epsilon^i w)^{n+j+k-l-1}\mathcal{E}_w(D^{(n)}Ta')')(\mathcal{E}_w(b')')\pi_T(c')\cdot$$

$$\cdot\, r_{w,0}(D^{(j)}(a')''\otimes(c'')')f^l_{a'',b''}\big) =$$

$$= \sum_{p,q,r}(-1)^{(\tilde{b'})''(\tilde{c'}+(\tilde{c''})')+(\tilde{a''}+(\tilde{a'})'')((\tilde{b'})'+\tilde{c'})+(\tilde{a'})''\tilde{b''}+\tilde{b''}\tilde{c'}+(\tilde{a'})''\tilde{a''}+(\tilde{b'})''\tilde{b''}}\cdot$$

$$\cdot \sum_{l=k}^{M_{pq}-1} f^l_{a'',b''}\sum_{n+j=l-k}\mathcal{E}_w(D^{(n)}Ta')')(\mathcal{E}_w(b')')\pi_T(c')r_{w,0}(D^{(j)}(a')''\otimes(c'')')r_{w,0}((b')''\otimes(c'')'') =$$

$$= \sum_{p,q,r}(-1)^{(\tilde{b'})''(\tilde{c'}+(\tilde{c''})')+(\tilde{a''}+(\tilde{a'})'')((\tilde{b'})'+\tilde{c'})+(\tilde{a'})''\tilde{b''}+\tilde{b''}\tilde{c'}+(\tilde{a'})''\tilde{a''}+(\tilde{b'})''\tilde{b''}}\cdot$$

$$\cdot \sum_{l=k}^{M_{pq}-1}(\mathcal{E}_w((D^{(l-k)}Ta')'))(\mathcal{E}_w(b')')\pi_T(c')r_{w,0}(D^{(l-k)}Ta')''\otimes c'')'))r_{w,0}((b')''\otimes c'')''))))\big)f^k_{a'',b''} =$$

$$= \sum_{p,q,r}(-1)^{(\tilde{b'})''\tilde{c'}+\tilde{a''}((\tilde{b'})'+\tilde{c'})+(\tilde{a'})''\tilde{c'}+(\tilde{a'})''\tilde{b''}+\tilde{b''}\tilde{c'}+(\tilde{a'})''\tilde{a''}+(\tilde{b'})''\tilde{b''}}\cdot$$

$$.\Big(\sum_{l=k}^{M_{pq}-1}\big(\mathcal{E}_w((D^{(l-k)}Ta')(b'))')\pi_T(c'\big)r_{w,0}((D^{(l-k)}Ta'b')''\otimes c'')f^l_{a'',b''}\Big) =$$

$$= \sum_{p,q,r}\sum_{l=k}^{M_{pq}-1}(-1)^{((\tilde{b'})''+(\tilde{a}')\tilde{c'}+\tilde{a''}\tilde{b'}}\mathcal{E}_w\big(((D^{(l-k)}a')(b'))'\pi_T(c'\big))r_{w,0}((D^{(l-k)}Ta'b')''\otimes c'')f^k_{a'',b''} =$$

$$= \sum_{p,q}\sum_{l=k}^{M_{pq}-1}(-1)^{\tilde{a''}\tilde{b'}}f^l_{a'',b''}Y((D^{(l-k)}Ta').b',w)\pi_T(c).$$

Here we took into account that $f^l_{a'',b''}=0$ unless $\tilde{a''}=\tilde{b''}$, as the bicharacters are even. □

Corollary 5.37. (Bicharacter formula for the Operator Product Expansion around $z = \epsilon^i w$**)** *Let* V, W, r *be as above, let again* $M_{pq} = M_{a''_p, b''_q}$*. For any* $a,\ b \in V$ *we have*

$$Y(a,z)Y(b,w) = i_{z,w} \sum_{p,q} \sum_{k=0}^{M_{pq}-1} \frac{\sum_{l=M_{p,q}-1-k}^{M_{p,q}-1} (-1)^{\tilde{a''}\tilde{b'}} f^{i,l}_{a'',b''} Y\big((T^i_\epsilon D^{(l-k)} a').b', w\big)}{(z-\epsilon^i w)^{k+1}} + regular. \tag{5.38}$$

The "regular" part above we denote by $Reg^i_{(z,w)}(a \otimes b)$ *to indicate that it depends on* $a, b \in V$*,* z *and* w*, and* $i \in \{0, 1, \dots N-1\}$*.*

Note that "regular" here means that $Reg^i_{(z,w)}(a \otimes b)$ is non-singular for $z = \epsilon^i w$, but it can still be singular at $z = \epsilon^j w$ for $j \neq i$.

Corollary 5.38. (Bicharacter formula for OPEs for simple poles) *Let* V, W, r *be as above, and let* $a,\ b \in V$ *are such that the bicharacters* $r_{z,w}(a'' \otimes b'')$ *have at most simple poles at each* a'', b''*. Then*

$$Y(a,z)Y(b,w) = i_{z,w} \sum_{p,q} \sum_{i} (-1)^{\tilde{a''}\tilde{b'}} f^{i,0}_{a'',b''} \frac{Y((T^i a').b', w)}{z - \epsilon^i w} \quad + regular. \tag{5.39}$$

The "regular" part here denotes the fact that in that part one can set $z = \epsilon^i w$ for any $i = 0, 1, \dots N-1$, in contrast to corollary 5.37 above. This part, which we will denote from now on as $Reg_{(z,w)}(a \otimes b)$, which can be defined in a similar way for poles of any order, is used to define the normal order product $:Y(a,z)Y(b,w):$ of the two fields.

Now we want to derive formulas for this regular part using bicharacters. Since the bicharacter $r_{z,w}(a \otimes b)$ for any $a, b \in V$ is just a rational function in $\mathbf{F}^N_\epsilon(z,w)$, and we require it to have a single pole at $z = \epsilon^i w$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$; then we can write the expansion further

$$r_{z,w}(a \otimes b) = \sum_{l=0}^{M-1} \frac{f^{i,l}_{a,b}}{(z-\epsilon^i w)^{l+1}} + f^{i,-1}_{a,b}(w) + f^{reg}_{a,b}(z,w). \tag{5.40}$$

Here $f^{i,-1}_{a,b}(w)$ is a function only of w and is the lowest term in the regular part of the expansion of $r_{z,w}(a \otimes b)$.

Lemma 5.39. *Let* V, W, r *be as above, and let* $a,\ b \in V$ *are such that the bicharacters* $r_{z,w}(a'' \otimes b'')$ *have at most simple poles at each* a'', b''*. Then* $Reg_{(z,z)}(a \otimes b)$ *is given by*

$$Reg_{(z,z)}(a \otimes b) = \sum_{i} \sum_{p,q} f^{i,-1}_{a'',b''} (-1)^{\tilde{a''}\tilde{b'}} Y(a'.b', z) \tag{5.41}$$

Here again the second sum is to indicate that one should sum for all k, l *in* a''_k, b''_l*, and as usual we omit writing the indexing* k, l*.*

Remark 5.40. (On the definition of a normal order product in a twisted vertex algebra) Note that the normal order product $:Y(a,z)Y(b,w):$ in a super vertex algebra was defined simply as the regular part $Reg^0_{(z,w)}(a \otimes b)$ of the OPE expansion (see (3.2)). This may not always be possible quite as simply for a twisted vertex algebra. The reason is that for a super vertex algebra there was only one pole at $z = w$, and the regular part, which in this case is simply $Reg^0_{(z,w)}(a \otimes b)$ (corollary 5.37) had a Taylor expansion in powers of $(z - w)$, such that the lowest term, $Reg^0_{(w,w)}(a \otimes b)$ was actually a **field in the super vertex algebra** (this fact is established by Dong's lemma). Thus in a super vertex algebra $:Y(a,w)Y(b,w):= Reg^0_{(w,w)}(a\otimes b) = Reg_{(w,w)}(a\otimes b)$. One can define a normal order product for a twisted vertex algebra by generalizing these steps and using the axioms. Due to the completeness with respect to the OPEs axiom, one can separate the singular part of the product $Y(a,z)Y(b,w)$ in the Operator Product Expansions at **each** pole $z=\epsilon^i w$ from the remaining regular part and then isolate the lowest term in each of the regular parts. That would define $Reg^i_{(z,w)}(a\otimes b)$ in general, but $Reg^i_{(z,w)}(a\otimes b)$ would still only be regular at $z=\epsilon^i w$, not necessarily at all $z=\epsilon^j w$ for $j\neq i$. Thus if one wants to define a normal order product, one needs to first separate the principal part (the total singular part) as in Mittag-Leffler theorem, it is the sum of the singular parts around each pole. Then one needs to isolate the regular part $Reg_{(z,w)}(a\otimes b)$ (as we did in corollary 5.38 above, and note that $Reg_{(z,w)}(a\otimes b)$ is definitely **not** the sum of the $Reg^i_{(z,w)}(a\otimes b)$). The normal order product then will need to deal with the regular part $Reg_{(z,w)}(a\otimes b)$ and a potential shift, and **not** with the separate $Reg^i_{(z,w)}(a\otimes b)$. So a general definition of normal order product can be given of course, but in this paper it is not our intention to dwell too much on axiomatics of twisted vertex algebras. For this paper we won't need the general definition, we only need formulas for the normal order product, and that in simpler-than-the-general situations: simple single poles (see (4.6) and (4.12)). We can define normal order product for single poles directly as was done for super vertex algebras, modulo the shift, as then $Reg_{(z,w)}(a\otimes b) = Reg^i_{(z,w)}(a\otimes b)$ for some $i\in\{0,1,\dots N-1\}$.

Definition 5.41. (Normal order product for single poles) *Let (V,W,π_f,Y) be a twisted vertex algebra. Let $Y(a,z)$, $Y(b,w)$ be two fields in the twisted vertex algebra such that their analytic continuation $X_{z,w}(a\otimes b)$ have only a pole at a specific $z=\epsilon^i w$, $i\in\{0,1,\dots N-1\}$; and thus $Reg_{(z,w)}(a\otimes b) = Reg^i_{(z,w)}(a\otimes b)$. From the modified completeness with respect to the OPEs axiom*

$$Reg_{(\epsilon^i w,w)}(a\otimes b) = w^{l_{-1,i}}\cdot Y(c_{-1,i},w), \tag{5.42}$$

for some $c_{-1,i}\in V$, $l_{-1,i}\in\mathbb{N}$. Define the normal order product $:Y(a,z)Y(b,w):$ of the two fields $Y(a,z)$ and $Y(b,w)$ by

$$:Y(a,z)Y(b,w):= w^{-l_{-1,i}}\cdot Reg_{(z,w)}(a\otimes b) \tag{5.43}$$

Thus

$$:Y(a,z)Y(b,z):= z^{-l_{-1,i}}\cdot Reg_{(z,z)}(a\otimes b) = Y(c_{-1,i},z). \tag{5.44}$$

Now we want to finish by giving the general formulas for multivariable fields and analytic continuation of products of fields via bicharacter.

Since we are going to encounter a lot of sign contributions, we introduce the following notation:

Notation 5.42. (Sign notation) *Let $M=(m_{ij})^n_{i,j=1}$ be an n by n matrix with elements $m_{ij}\in V$. Let $\boldsymbol{sign}(M) = \boldsymbol{sign}((m_{ij})^n_{i,j=1})$ denote the following sign value:*

$$\boldsymbol{sign}(M) = (-1)^{\sum_{i=2}^n\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}\sum_{k=2}^n \tilde{m}_{i1}\tilde{m}_{jk}}\cdot(-1)^{\sum_{i=2}^n\sum_{j=2}^n(\sum_{k<i}\sum_{l\geq i+j-k}\tilde{m}_{ij}\tilde{m}_{kl})}.$$

Remark 5.43. (Keeping track of sign contributions)
The formula for the sign contribution may seem lengthy and peculiar. But the use of the **sign** notation can be understood as follows, as it is designed for the use of it in multivariable fields, see remark 5.50. We use two different ways to order the **indices** in the matrix M: the first, "canonical order", is to first list all indices from first row first, in order, followed by second row in order, etc. The second, "permuted order" is: we list them as

follows: $(1,1),(2,1),\dots,(n,1),(1,2),(2,2),(1,3),(3,2)\dots,\ (1,n),(n,2),(2,3),(3,3),\dots,$ $(2,n),(n,3),\dots,(3,n),\dots,(n-1,n),(n,n)$. Next we write the permutation from the "permuted order" to the "canonical order" as product of transpositions. One then multiplies by a minus sign whenever a transposition is between **two odd elements**. There is **no** sign contribution unless both **elements** identified by the two indices in the transposition are **odd**.

Recall the extended Sweedler notation for an element a in a commutative and cocommutative Hopf algebra, $n\in\mathbb{N},\ n\geq 2$:

$$\triangle^{n-1}(a)=\sum_s a_s^{(1)}\otimes a_s^{(2)}\otimes\dots\otimes a_s^{(n)}, \tag{5.45}$$

which again we will often write omitting the indexing as

$$\triangle^{n-1}(a)=\sum a^{(1)}\otimes a^{(2)}\otimes\dots\otimes a^{(n)} \tag{5.46}$$

to shorten the notation.

Notation 5.44. Coproduct matrices
*Let $a_1,a_2,\dots,a_n$ be n elements of a commutative and cocommutative Hopf algebra. We can arrange the terms of the l-coproducts of these elements as n by $(l+1)$ matrices $M^{\vec{k}}_{\Delta^l}(a_1,a_2,\dots,a_n)=((a_i^{(j)})_{\vec{k}})_{j=1}^{l+1}$, where $\vec{k}=(k_1,k_2,\dots,k_n)$ is the coproduct index. (Note that this is not one matrix, but a set of matrices, indexed by $\vec{k}$, with cardinality dependent on how many different elements of the coproducts of $a_1,a_2,\dots,a_n$ there are.) If $l=n-1$, $M^{\vec{k}}_{\Delta^{n-1}}(a_1,a_2,\dots,a_n)$ are square matrices, and we can calculate **sign**$(M^{\vec{k}}_{\Delta^{n-1}}(a_1,a_2,\dots,a_n))$ for each one.*

Example 5.45. Let $M=\mathbb{C}\{\phi\}$, as in example 5.16, ϕ is odd. We have $\Delta(\phi)=\phi\otimes 1+1\otimes\phi$. Thus there are two matrices $M^{\vec{k}}_{\Delta}(\phi,1)$, $\vec{k}\in\{(1,1),\ (2,1)\}$:
$M^{(1,1)}_{\Delta}(\phi,1)=\begin{pmatrix}\phi & 1\\ 1 & 1\end{pmatrix},\quad M^{(2,1)}_{\Delta}(\phi,1)=\begin{pmatrix}1 & \phi\\ 1 & 1\end{pmatrix}.$
Both of them have **sign** $=1$.
There are four matrices $M^{\vec{k}}_{\Delta}(\phi,\phi)$, i.e., $\vec{k}\in\{(1,1),\ (1,2),\ (2,1),\ (2,2)\}$:
$M^{(1,1)}_{\Delta}(\phi,\phi)=\begin{pmatrix}\phi & 1\\ \phi & 1\end{pmatrix},\quad M^{(1,2)}_{\Delta}(\phi,\phi)=\begin{pmatrix}\phi & 1\\ 1 & \phi\end{pmatrix},$
$M^{(2,1)}_{\Delta}(\phi,\phi)=\begin{pmatrix}1 & \phi\\ \phi & 1\end{pmatrix},\quad M^{(2,2)}_{\Delta}(\phi,\phi)=\begin{pmatrix}1 & \phi\\ 1 & \phi\end{pmatrix}.$
We have $\mathbf{sign}(M^{(1,1)}_{\Delta})=\mathbf{sign}(M^{(1,2)}_{\Delta})=\mathbf{sign}(M^{(2,2)}_{\Delta})=1$, and $\mathbf{sign}(M^{(2,1)}_{\Delta})=-1$.

We need the following definition:

Definition 5.46. **(n-characters)** *Let M be a commutative and cocommutative Hopf algebra, and let $r: M\otimes M\to\mathbf{F}^N_{\epsilon}(z,w)$ be a super bicharacter on M. Let $a_1,a_2,\dots,a_n$ be n elements of M. Define an n-character $r_n: M^{\otimes n}\to\mathbf{F}^N_{\epsilon}(z_1,z_2,\dots,z_n)$ by*

$$\begin{aligned}&r_{z_1,z_2,\dots,z_n}(a_1\otimes a_2\otimes\dots\otimes a_n)=\\&=\sum_{coproducts} r_{z_1,z_2}(a_1^{(1)}\otimes a_2^{(1)})r_{z_1,z_3}(a_1^{(2)}\otimes a_3^{(1)})\dots r_{z_1,z_n}(a_1^{(n-1)}\otimes a_n^{(1)})\cdot\\&\cdot r_{z_2,z_3}(a_2^{(2)}\otimes a_3^{(2)})\dots r_{z_2,z_n}(a_2^{(n-1)}\otimes a_n^{(2)})\dots r_{z_{n-1},z_n}(a_{n-1}^{(n-1)}\otimes a_n^{(n-1)}).\end{aligned}$$

In particular, a tri-character $r_3 : M \otimes M \otimes M \to \mathbf{F}_{\epsilon}^{N}(z_1, z_2, z_3)$ *is given by*

$$r_{z_1,z_2,z_3}(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes a_3) = \sum_{coproducts} r_{z_1,z_2}(a_1' \otimes a_2') r_{z_1,z_3}(a_1'' \otimes a_3') r_{z_2,z_3}(a_2'' \otimes a_3'') =$$

$$= \sum_{k_1,k_2,k_3} r_{z_1,z_2}((a_1')_{k_1} \otimes (a_2')_{k_2}) r_{z_1,z_3}((a_1'')_{k_1} \otimes (a_3')_{k_3}) r_{z_2,z_3}((a_2'')_{k_2} \otimes (a_3'')_{k_3}).$$

Definition 5.47. (Multivariable fields from a bicharacter) *Let* V, W, $\mathcal{E}_z$, $\pi_T : V \to W$ *be as above. Let* $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$ *be any* n *homogeneous elements of* V*. Define the* n*-variable field*

$$X_{z_1,z_2,\ldots,z_n} : V^{\otimes n} \to W[[z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n]] \otimes \mathbf{F}_{\epsilon}^{N}(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n), \tag{5.47}$$

by

$$X_{z_1,z_2,\ldots,z_n}(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n) =$$
$$= \sum_{\vec{k}} \boldsymbol{sign}(M_{\Delta^{n-1}}^{\vec{k}}(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)) \mathcal{E}_{z_1} a_1' \mathcal{E}_{z_2} a_2' \cdots \mathcal{E}_{z_n} a_n' \cdot r_{z_1,z_2,\ldots,z_n}(a_1'' \otimes a_2'' \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n'')$$

Lemma 5.48. *(* ***Analytic continuation****)* *Let* V, W, $\mathcal{E}_z$, $\pi_T : V \to W$ *be as above. We have*

$$\begin{aligned} i_{z_1,z_2,\ldots,z_n} X_{z_1,z_2,\ldots,z_n}(a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n) &= Y(a_1, z_1) Y(a_2, z_2) \ldots \mathcal{E}_{z_n} c = \\ &= Y(a_1, z_1) Y(a_2, z_2) \ldots Y(a_n, z_n) 1 \end{aligned}$$

for any $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in V$*.*

Proof. Very similar to the proof of lemma 5.35. ☐

Remark 5.49. In the definition of the multivariable fields we used the **sign** notation. Notice that for $n = 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{sign}(M_{\Delta^2}^{\vec{k}}(a_1, a_2, a_3)) &= a_2^{\widetilde{(3)}}(a_3^{\widetilde{(1)}} + a_1^{\widetilde{(2)}}) + (a_1^{\widetilde{(2)}} + a_1^{\widetilde{(3)}})(a_2^{\widetilde{(1)}} + a_3^{\widetilde{(1)}}) + a_1^{\widetilde{(3)}} a_2^{\widetilde{(2)}} + a_2^{\widetilde{(2)}} a_3^{\widetilde{(1)}} = \\ &= f(\tilde{a_1}, \tilde{a_2}, \tilde{a_3}) \end{aligned}$$

i.e., the sign contributions that we used in the definition 5.28 of a three-variable field coincides with the new definition for general n. Similarly, the definition of a tri-character is such that the new definition 5.47 of a multivariable field coincides for $n = 3$ with the previous definition of a three-variable field, as it should.

Remark 5.50. (**Keeping track of sign contributions with variables**)
When dealing with the multivariable fields one needs to keep track of the sign contribution. The use of the **sign** notation in this case can be restated as follows: In the definition 5.47 one can think of the variables $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n$ as "attached" to the arguments $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$ and correspondingly their coproducts. One then multiplies by a minus sign whenever an **odd** element with attached variable z_j appears before ("transposes") another **odd** element with attached variable z_i, such that $i < j$. There is no sign contribution unless both elements are odd.

Now we have formulas for the analytic continuations of any product of fields, as well as formulas for the OPEs and normal order products via the bicharacter. These formulas always hold for any $H_{T_\epsilon}^{N} \otimes H_{T_\epsilon}^{N}$-covariant bicharacter on V, but if we want the vertex operators given by these formulas to satisfy all the axioms for a twisted vertex algebra there is one restriction that remains, namely the shift restriction, see remark 4.3. Thus we need to impose the following restriction on the bicharacter:

Definition 5.51. (Shift restricted bicharacter) *Let r be a $H^N_{T_\epsilon}\otimes H^N_{T_\epsilon}$-covariant bicharacter on V, with values in $\mathbf{F}^N_\epsilon(z,w)$. Let as above $f^{i,l}_{a,b}$ stands for the coefficient in the expansion $r_{z,w}(a\otimes b)=\sum_{l=0}^{M-1}\frac{f^{i,l}_{a,b}(w)}{(z-\epsilon^i w)^{l+1}}+\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}f^{i,-l-1}_{a,b}(w)\cdot(z-\epsilon^i w)^l$, as a Laurent series in $(z-\epsilon^i w)$. We call the bicharacter r* ***shift-restricted*** *if for any $a,b\in V$ $f^{i,l}_{a,b}=c^{i,l}_{a,b}\cdot w^{s^{i,l}_{a,b}}$, where $c^{i,l}_{a,b}$ is a constant, $c^{i,l}_{a,b}\in\mathbb{C}$, and $s^{i,l}_{a,b}\in\mathbb{Z}$.*

If the bicharacter r is shift restricted, then we can restate lemma 5.39:

Lemma 5.52. (Bicharacter formula for the normal order product for simple poles) *Let V,W,r be as above, and let $a,\ b\in V$ are such that the bicharacters $r_{z,w}(a''\otimes b'')$ have at most simple poles at each a'',b''. Then the normal order product $:Y(a,z)Y(b,w):$ of the fields $Y(a,z)$ and $Y(b,w)$ is given by*

$$:Y(a,z)Y(b,z):=\sum_i\sum c^{i,-1}_{a'',b''}(-1)^{\tilde{a''}\tilde{b'}}Y(a'.b',z) \tag{5.48}$$

Here again the second sum is to indicate that one should sum for all k,l in a''_k,b''_l, and as usual we omit writing the indexing k,l.

Finally we summarize all the bicharacter construction above in the main bicharacter theorem:

Theorem 5.53. *Let M be a commutative cocommutative Hopf algebra, let V be the free Leibnitz module $V=H^N_{T_\epsilon}(M)$, r be a shift-restricted $H^N_{T_\epsilon}\otimes H^N_{T_\epsilon}$-covariant symmetric bicharacter on V, $W=H_D(M)$ be the free H_D-Leibnitz sub-module-algebra of V. Let $\pi_T:V\to W$ be the projection map as in definition 5.21 and Y be the field-state correspondence defined by* (5.32)*, via* (5.31)*. The the set of data (V,W,π_T,Y) constructed as above satisfies the definition of a twisted vertex algebra for* ***any*** *shift-restricted supercommutative $H^N_{T_\epsilon}\otimes H^N_{T_\epsilon}$-covariant bicharacter on V.*

6. Examples of twisted vertex algebras explained

In most of the examples in the literature vertex operators are presented in terms of generating fields and commutation relations. With the bicharacter construction one starts instead with the commutative cocommutative Hopf algebra M and its free Leibnitz module $H^N_{T_\epsilon}(M)$; the commutation relations are then dictated by the choice of the bicharacter r. Moreover, for each commutative cocommutative Hopf algebra M there are many choices of a symmetric bicharacter r, and so each such pair (M,r) will give rise to a different twisted vertex algebra (V,W,π_T,Y), even if the space V and W are the same as algebras— it is the field-state correspondence Y that changes with the bicharacter, which in turn changes the OPEs, which in turn changes the commutation relations. This is the case for the the fermionic sides of the B and the D-C correspondences: both the space V and the space W coincide as free Leibnitz modules, it is the generating fields that are different, and so the B and the D fermions end up being highest weight modules of quite different Clifford algebras. Thus with the bicharacter construction examples are grouped based on the Hopf algebra M, i.e. one starts by keeping M the same, but changing the bicharacter r. We want to stress the fact that there is a variety of examples even after we fix the algebra M.

We will list the examples pertinent to the boson-fermion correspondences grouped by the underlying Hopf algebra M.

6.1. **Twisted vertex algebras based on $\mathbb{C}\{\phi\}$ and a choice of a bicharacter.**

Let us fix $M = \mathbb{C}\{\phi\}$. To define a $H^N_{T_\epsilon} \otimes H^N_{T_\epsilon}$-covariant bicharacter on $H^N_{T_\epsilon}(M)$, one is only allowed to chose $r_{z,w}(\phi \otimes \phi)$, as all the other values of the bicharacter on $H^N_{T_\epsilon}(\mathbb{C}\{\phi\})$ would be in turn determined by the covariance and the bicharacter properties (see section 5.1). Thus a twisted vertex algebra V based on $M = \mathbb{C}\{\phi\}$ will be determined entirely by a supersymmetric bicharacter value $r_{z,w}(\phi \otimes \phi)$.

Let us specialize further, and consider the case $N = 2$-twisted vertex algebra, i.e., the case of $\epsilon = -1$. As was explained is Section 5.2, the free Leibnitz module $H^2_{T_{-1}}(\mathbb{C}\{\phi\})$ is isomorphic to $H_D(\mathbb{C}\{\phi, T\phi\})$. Before proceeding to specific examples (dependent on the value of $r_{z,w}(\phi\otimes\phi)$), we want to present a formula for the vacuum expectation values valid for any choice of $r_{z,w}(\phi \otimes \phi)$.

6.2. **Twisted vertex algebras based on $\mathbb{C}\{\phi\}$: Pfaffian vacuum expectation values.**

Let $\langle\ |\ \rangle : W \otimes W \to \mathbb{C}$ be a symmetric bilinear form on the space of states $W = H_D(\mathbb{C}\{\phi\}$. There is a very important concept of an invariant bilinear form on a vertex algebra, for details see for example [Li94] and [Xu98]. Here it is not our goal to define an invariant bilinear form for a twisted vertex algebra, but we will require that the bilinear form is such that the vacuum vector $1 = |0\rangle$ is orthogonal to all other generators of the algebra $W = H_D(\mathbb{C}\{\phi\})$ and has norm 1, i.e.,

$$\langle 1 \mid 1\rangle = \langle\langle 0| \mid |0\rangle\rangle = 1. \tag{6.1}$$

By abuse of notation we will just write $\langle 0 \mid 0\rangle$ instead of $\langle\langle 0| \mid |0\rangle\rangle$. We can extend this form to $W((z_1, z_2, \dots)) \otimes W((z_1, z_2, \dots)) \to \mathbb{C}((z_1, z_2, \dots))$ by bilinearity. The values $\langle 0 \mid Y(a, z_1)Y(a, z_2)\dots Y(a, z_n)|0\rangle$ of the bilinear form are usually called vacuum expectation values. We have the following result:

Lemma 6.1. *Let V be a twisted vertex algebra based on $M = \mathbb{C}\{\phi\}$ and a supersymmetric bicharacter r (i.e., $V = H_D(\mathbb{C}\{\phi, T\phi\})$ and $W = H_D(\mathbb{C}\{\phi\})$). Denote by $\phi(z)$ the field $Y(\phi, z)$ produced by definition 5.32, via* (5.31). *The following formula for the vacuum expectation values holds:*

$$\langle 0 \mid \phi(z_1)\phi(z_2)\dots\phi(z_{2n})|0\rangle = i_z Pf\big(r_{z_i,z_j}(\phi\otimes\phi)\big)^{2n}_{i,j=1}. \tag{6.2}$$

Here as usual Pf denotes the Pfaffian of an antisymmetric matrix and i_z stands for the expansion $i_{z_1,z_1,\dots,z_{2n}}$.

Note that the matrix on the right-hand side is antisymmetric since the bicharacter r is symmetric and ϕ is odd, i.e., $r_{z_i,z_j}(\phi\otimes\phi) = -r_{z_j,z_i}(\phi\otimes\phi)$.

Proof. To calculate the vacuum expectation values we calculate instead the vacuum expectation values of the analytic continuation $X_{z_1,z_2,\dots,z_{2n}}(\phi\otimes\phi\dots\phi)$. We will use Lemma 5.48 which gives us a formula for the analytic continuation in terms of the bicharacter. Since ϕ is a primitive element, we have

$$\triangle^{2n}(\phi) = \phi\otimes 1\otimes\dots\otimes 1 + 1\otimes\phi\otimes\dots\otimes 1 + 1\otimes 1\otimes\phi\otimes\dots\otimes 1 + \dots 1\otimes 1\otimes\dots\otimes\phi \tag{6.3}$$

We need three observations:

1. Since for the bilinear form the vacuum vector $1 = |0\rangle$ spans an orthogonal subspace on its own (and in particular is orthogonal to ϕ and its descendants), the only contributions to the vacuum expectation values will come from the terms in the multivariable field where the coproducts have 1 as a first term; the other terms will not contribute. That forces us to work with the $(2n)$-character $r_{z_1,z_2,\dots,z_{2n}}(\phi\otimes\phi\otimes\dots\otimes\phi)$.
2. To continue the previous observation, no sign contribution will come from the first (-1) factor in the $\mathbf{sign}(M^{\vec{k}}_{\Delta^{2n-1}}(\phi,\phi,\dots,\phi))$ as the only contributing matrices are those with the first columns consisting entirely of 1s (as 1 is even).
3. Since ϕ is a primitive element we have $r_{z,w}(\phi\otimes 1) = r_{z,w}(1\otimes\phi) = 0$ for any bicharacter. Thus the only contributions in the $(2n)$-character $r_{z_1,z_2,\dots,z_{2n}}(\phi\otimes\phi\otimes\dots\otimes\phi)$ will come from the following situation: Consider a matrix $M^{\vec{k}}_{\Delta^{2n-1}}(\phi,\phi,\dots,\phi)$ with first column entirely consisting of 1s. A nonzero summand in the $(2n)$-character $r_{z_1,z_2,\dots,z_{2n}}(\phi\otimes\phi\otimes\dots\otimes\phi)$ will be a product of nonzero bicharacter factors, and that happens when in the "permuted order" (see remark 5.43) we have a sequence of pairs: $(1,1)$ pairs (trivial, as $r_{z,w}(1\otimes 1)=1$) or (ϕ,ϕ) pairs (nontrivial). If there is a mixed pair $(1,\phi)$ or $(\phi,1)$ as a factor in a summand, that summand will be 0. So a nonzero summand will have exactly n such nontrivial contributing pairs (ϕ,ϕ) , and each pair forms one bicharacter $r_{z_i,z_j}(\phi\otimes\phi)$.

Thus, we have

$$X_{z_1,z_2,\dots,z_{2n}}(\phi\otimes\phi\otimes\dots\otimes\phi) =$$

$$= \sum_{\text{coproducts}} \mathbf{sign}(M^{\vec{k}}_{\Delta^{2n-1}}(\phi,\phi,\dots,\phi))\mathcal{E}_{z_1}\phi'\mathcal{E}_{z_2}\phi'\cdots\mathcal{E}_{z_{2n}}\phi'\cdot r_{z_1,z_2,\dots,z_{2n}}(\phi''\otimes\phi''\otimes\dots\otimes\phi'') =$$

$$= \sum_{\text{contr. coproducts}} \mathbf{sign}(M^{\vec{k}}_{\Delta^{2n-1}}(\phi,\phi,\dots,\phi))1\cdot r_{z_1,z_2,\dots,z_{2n}}(\phi\otimes\phi\otimes\dots\otimes\phi) + \text{other terms} =$$

$$= \sum_{P}\epsilon(P)1\cdot r_{z_{i_1},z_{i_2}}(\phi\otimes\phi)r_{z_{i_3},z_{i_4}}(\phi\otimes\phi)\cdots r_{z_{i_{2n-1}},z_{i_{2n}}}(\phi\otimes\phi) + \text{other terms.}$$

The sum is over all permutations such that $i_1 < i_2,\ i_3 < i_4, \dots i_{2n-1} < i_{2n}, i_1 < i_3 < \dots i_{2n-1}$. The sign contribution from any **contributing** matrix $M^{\vec{k}}_{\Delta^{2n-1}}(\phi,\phi,\dots,\phi))$ is precisely the sign of the corresponding permutation, since ϕ is odd (see remark 5.50 and observation 3 above). That produces precisely the Pfaffian $Pf\big(r_{z_i,z_j}(\phi\otimes\phi)\big)_{i,j=1}^{2n}$. ☐

Now we want to pick specific bicharacter values for $r_{z,w}(\phi\otimes\phi)$ and consider the specific examples of twisted vertex algebras that we get from theorem 5.53.

6.3. Twisted vertex algebras based on $\mathbb{C}\{\phi\}$: the neutral free fermion of type B.

We continue working with space of fields $V = H^2_T(\mathbb{C}\{\phi\}) \equiv H_D(\mathbb{C}\{\phi, T\phi\})$, and space of states $W = H_D(\mathbb{C}\{\phi\})$. The projection map (recall definition 5.21) in this case is just the algebra homomorphism defined by $\pi_T(T\phi) = \phi$.

Let the covariant bicharacter $r^B : H_D(\mathbb{C}\{\phi, T\phi\})\otimes H_D(\mathbb{C}\{\phi, T\phi\}) \to \mathbf{F}_{-1}(z,w)$ be defined by

$$r^B_{z,w}(\phi\otimes\phi) = \frac{z-w}{z+w} \tag{6.4}$$

Note that the bicharacter r^B is symmetric, as it is symmetric on the generator ϕ:

$$r^B_{z,w}(\phi\otimes\phi) = \frac{z-w}{z+w} = -r^B_{w,z}(\phi\otimes\phi). \tag{6.5}$$

The bicharacter is also shift-restricted, and has a simple single pole:

$$r^B_{z,w}(\phi\otimes\phi) = \frac{z-w}{z+w} = -\frac{2w}{z+w} + 1. \tag{6.6}$$

From theorem 5.53 we know that we will get an example of a twisted vertex algebra. We claim that the N=2 twisted vertex algebra corresponding to the pair $(\mathbb{C}\{\phi\}, r^B)$ is the free fermion of type B. To prove that, we need to show that the field $\phi^B(z)$ (corresponding to the element ϕ via the field-state correspondence defined by (5.32)) satisfies the commutation relations for the free fermion field of type B that was introduced in section 4.2. We can use corollary 5.38 to calculate the OPE of $\phi^B(z)\phi^B(w)$. The only singular bicharacter from any of the coproducts ϕ'' and ϕ'' is $r^B_{z,w}(\phi\otimes\phi)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\phi^B(z)\phi^B(w) \sim i_{z,w}\sum(-1)^{\tilde{\phi''}\tilde{\phi'}} f^{1,0}_{\phi'',\phi''}\frac{Y((T\phi').\phi',w)}{(z+w)} \sim \\ \sim i_{z,w}(-1)^{\tilde{\phi}\tilde{1}} f^{1,0}_{\phi,\phi}\frac{Y((T1).1,w)}{(z+w)} \sim -\frac{2w\cdot 1}{z+w}\end{aligned}$$

This OPE coincides with (4.5) and if we index $\phi^B(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}}\phi_n z^n$, this OPE is well known to correspond to the required anticommutation relations $[\phi^B_m, \phi^B_n]_\dagger = 2(-1)^m\delta_{m,-n}1$ of the Clifford algebra Cl_B.

Note that the fact that commutation relations follow from and are equivalent to the OPEs is a well known and used standard fact in all field and vertex operator calculations, hence we will mostly omit such standard calculations.

Lemma 4.7 follows directly from the lemma 6.1 which we proved for a general bicharacter on $\mathbb{C}\{\phi\}$.

Now we need to find the element in V to which the Heisenberg field $h(z)$ corresponds and calculate its properties, and we start with from lemma 5.52 again. We have from $\phi^B(-z) = (T\phi^B)(z)$,

$$\begin{aligned}&:\phi^B(z)\phi^B(-z) :=: \phi^B(z)T\phi^B(z) := \sum(-1)^{\tilde{\phi''}\tilde{\phi'}} f^{1,-1}_{\phi'',\phi''}Y(\phi'\cdot(T\phi)',z) = \\ &= (-1)^{\tilde{\phi}\tilde{1}} f^{1,-1}_{\phi,\phi}Y(1\cdot 1,z) + (-1)^{\tilde{\phi}\tilde{1}} f^{1,-1}_{1,1}Y(\phi\cdot T\phi,z) = 1_W + Y(\phi\cdot T\phi,z)\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have that the field $h(z)$ from (4.6) is actually the field $Y(\frac{1}{4}\phi\cdot T\phi,z)$. To calculate the OPEs that we listed in (4.7) one uses theorem 5.36. From example 5.16 we know the coproduct of $h_\phi = \phi\cdot T\phi$ ($h = \frac{1}{4}h_\phi$). The OPE of $h(z)h(w)$ can potentially contain first and second order poles. The second order pole comes from

$$r_{z,w}(h_\phi\otimes h_\phi) = r_{z,w}(\phi\cdot T\phi\otimes\phi\cdot T\phi) = \tag{6.7}$$

$$= -r_{z,w}(\phi\otimes\phi)r_{z,w}(T\phi\otimes T\phi) + r_{z,w}(\phi\otimes T\phi)r_{z,w}(T\phi\otimes\phi) = \tag{6.8}$$

$$= -\frac{(z-w)^2}{(z+w)^2} + \frac{(z+w)^2}{(z-w)^2} = \frac{8zw(z^2+w^2)}{(z^2-w^2)^2}. \tag{6.9}$$

Let us first show that there are no first order poles in the OPE. The first order poles in the OPE will come from the h'' terms of the coproduct which have first order poles in their bicharacter, namely from $r_{z,w}(\phi\otimes T\phi) = r_{z,w}(T\phi\otimes\phi) = \frac{z+w}{z-w}$ and from

$r_{z,w}(\phi\otimes\phi)=r_{z,w}(T\phi\otimes T\phi)=\frac{z-w}{z+w}$. One most easily calculates the OPEs if one looks at the coproducts directly (to use theorem 5.36):

$$\begin{aligned}\triangle h_\phi=&h_\phi\otimes 1+1\otimes h_\phi+\phi\otimes T\phi-T\phi\otimes\phi,\\ \triangle h_\phi=&h_\phi\otimes 1+1\otimes h_\phi+\phi\otimes T\phi-T\phi\otimes\phi\end{aligned}$$

The field-coefficients coming in front of the first order poles then are:
for $\frac{z+w}{z-w}$ we get $+Y(\phi\cdot T\phi+T\phi\cdot\phi,w)$, which is zero, as $\phi\cdot T\phi+T\phi\cdot\phi=0$,
for $\frac{z-w}{z+w}$ we get $-Y(\phi\cdot\phi+T\phi\cdot T\phi,w)$, which is zero, as $\phi\cdot\phi=0=T\phi\cdot T\phi$.
Thus there are no first order poles in the OPEs of $h(z)h(w)$.
Hence we are left with

$$h_\phi(z)h_\phi(w)\sim +Y(1,w)r_{z,w}(h_\phi\otimes h_\phi)\sim\frac{8zw(z^2+w^2)}{(z^2-w^2)^2},\tag{6.10}$$

and the OPE (4.7) is proved.

Now it is obvious that $Th=\frac{1}{4}T\phi\cdot\phi=-h$, and since $Y(Th,z)=Y(h,-z)$ from the transfer of action axiom of twisted vertex algebras, we have $Y(h,-z)=-Y(h,z)$ in the twisted vertex algebra. Which of course means that we have only **odd** powers of z in $Y(h,z)$, hence the indexing in $h(z)=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}h_{2n+1}z^{-2n-1}$. The commutation relations $[h_m,h_n]=\frac{m}{2}\delta_{m+n,0}1$ for the Heisenberg algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}+1/2}$ then follow from the OPE in a standard field calculation.

Now we want to show the decomposition of the space of states $F_B=W=H_D(\mathbb{C}\{\phi\})$ into Heisenberg modules. This has been calculated before, see for example [DJKM82] and [You89], and is a typically tedious and careful calculation. We can just use their results for this case of neutral fermion of type B, as the result is known, but to show how the bicharacter construction works, we will re-do the calculation using the bicharacter formulas (5.32) and (5.31). We need to calculate $h(z)a$ for various $a\in W$. Now we have a Hopf algebra structure on $W=F_B$, and we will use it. First notice that

$$h(z)1=\mathcal{E}_zh=\frac{1}{4}e^{zD}\phi\cdot e^{-zD}\phi\tag{6.11}$$

from the creation property and the definition of the exponential map $\mathcal{E}_z$. Thus we notice that 1 is a highest weight vector as there are only positive powers in $h(z)1=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}h_{2n+1}1z^{-2n-1}$, which means that the h_{2n+1} with $n<0$ annihilate the vector 1. Moreover we can easily determine from here the elements $h_{2n+1}1\in W$ for $n>0$, and we see that these are even elements of W.

Similarly, using (5.32), and since $r_{z,w}(h\otimes\phi)=0$

$$\begin{aligned}h(z)\phi&=\mathcal{E}_zh\cdot\phi+\frac{1}{4}e^{zD}\phi\cdot 1\cdot r_{z,0}(T\phi\otimes\phi)-\frac{1}{4}e^{-zD}\phi\cdot 1\cdot r_{z,0}(\phi\otimes\phi)=\\ &=\frac{1}{4}(e^{zD}\phi\cdot e^{-zD}\phi\cdot\phi+(e^{zD}\phi-e^{-zD}\phi)\cdot\frac{1}{1})=\frac{1}{4}(e^{zD}\phi\cdot e^{-zD}\phi\cdot\phi+(e^{zD}\phi-e^{-zD}\phi)).\end{aligned}$$

Again, we see that ϕ is a highest weight vector as there are only positive powers in $h(z)\phi$, and moreover h_{2n+1} acting on ϕ for $n>0$ produces odd elements of W. That makes it clear that $W=F_B$ contains at least two twisted Heisenberg highest weight submodules. It is well known that each such highest weight submodule (generated by a single highest weight vector) is irreducible, as well as that each irreducible highest weight module for $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}+1/2}$ is isomorphic to $B_{1/2}\cong\mathbb{C}[x_1,x_3,\dots,x_{2n+1},\dots]$. The isomorphism is via

$$\begin{aligned}h_{2n+1}&=(n+1/2)\partial_{x_{2n+1}}\quad\text{for}\quad n>0\\ h_{-2n-1}&=x_{2n+1}\cdot\quad\text{for}\quad n>0\end{aligned}$$

Now we can check that indeed $W = F_B \cong B_{1/2} \oplus B_{1/2}$ by checking that each odd (respectively even) element of W is generated from ϕ (respectively from 1) by a successive action of h_{2n+1} for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

That is done as follows: let $a = \phi^{k_1} \cdot \phi^{k_2} \cdots \phi^{k_{2n+1}}$, $k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_{2n+1}$, where $\phi^{k_i} = D^{(k_i)}\phi$ for some $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$ (i.e., ϕ^{k_i} is a descendent of ϕ, as in example 5.16). We know that all odd elements of W are linear combinations of such a. In order to use (5.32), we need the coproduct of a, but that is easy to determine as all $\phi^{k_i} = D^{(k_i)}\phi$ are primitive (see example 5.16). Also the bicharacter between ϕ and any element a is 0 unless a is a linear combination of single factors ϕ^i. Thus we see then that the only potentially nonzero contributions in $h(z)a$ are

$$\begin{aligned} h(z)a = \mathcal{E}_z h \cdot a + \frac{1}{4} e^{zD}\phi \cdot \big(\sum (-1)^i \phi^{k_1}\phi^{k_2} \cdots \widehat{\phi^{k_i}} \cdot\cdot \phi^{k_{2n+1}} r_{z,0}(T\phi \otimes \phi^{k_i})\big) - \\ - \frac{1}{4} e^{-zD}\phi \cdot \big(\sum (-1)^i \phi^{k_1}\phi^{k_2} \cdots \widehat{\phi^{k_i}} \cdot\cdot \phi^{k_{2n+1}} r_{z,0}(\phi \otimes \phi^{k_i})\big), \end{aligned}$$

here $\widehat{\phi^{k_i}}$ denotes that ϕ^{k_i} is missing from the product. Now we have

$$r_{z,0}(T\phi \otimes \phi^{k_i}) = \frac{1}{k_i!}\big(\partial_w^{k_i} r_{z,w}(T\phi \otimes \phi)\big)|_{w=0} = \frac{1}{k_i!}\big(\partial_w^{k_i}(\frac{2z}{z-w} - 1)\big)|_{w=0} = \frac{2z}{z^{k_i+1}}.$$

Thus

$$h(z)a = \mathcal{E}_z h \cdot a + \frac{1}{2}\sum (-1)^i (e^{zD}\phi - (-1)^{k_i} e^{-zD}\phi) \cdot (\phi^{k_1}\phi^{k_2} \cdots \widehat{\phi^{k_i}} \cdot\cdot \phi^{k_{2n+1}}) \frac{1}{z^{k_i}}$$

We can see that in front of z^{k_i} in $\frac{1}{2}(e^{zD}\phi - (-1)^{k_i} e^{-zD}\phi)$ we have 0 as a coefficient, which is as it should be. Also we see that the coefficients in front of negative powers of z are zero if any $k_i = i$, as then from the $e^{zD}\phi$ we would have $D^{(i)}\phi \cdot D^{(k_i)}\phi$, which is 0. Thus the only nonzero coefficient in front of a negative power of z is when h_{2n+1}, $n > 0$, acted on a by removing $D^{(k_i)}\phi$ from the product $a = \phi^{k_1} \cdot \phi^{k_2} \cdots \phi^{k_{2n+1}}$ and putting in $D^{(i)}\phi$ with $i < k_i$. On the other hand, the positive powers of z have coefficients with either the same number of factors as a, but higher k_i, or have two more factors multiplied to a. Thus we can see that **any** odd element will be eventually produced from ϕ by a successive action of the generators of the Heisenberg algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}+1/2}$, and also acting on an odd element produces only odd elements. Hence, the odd elements form an irreducible highest weight submodule of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}+1/2}$. Similar considerations are true for the even elements, thus

$$W = F_B \cong B_{1/2} \oplus B_{1/2} \cong \mathbb{C}[e^{\alpha}, e^{-\alpha}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{2n+1}, \dots],$$

where $e^{2\alpha} = 1$, i.e., $e^{\alpha} = e^{-\alpha}$, is for now just a label, but as we will see in the next section the notation is used because it is coming from a twisted vertex algebra based on a Leibnitz module over a rank one lattice (see example 5.11).

Now for calculating the image of the generating field $\phi^B(z) \mapsto e^{\alpha}(z)$ (it is a generating field, as ϕ is the single generator of the Hopf algebra $M = \mathbb{C}\{\phi\}$ which generates the whole twisted vertex algebra via the bicharacter r). To derive the formula (4.9) that we listed, we need to know the OPE $h(z)\phi^B(w)$. That is done via corollary 5.38, as all the poles are simple here:

$$\begin{aligned} \triangle h_{\phi} &= h_{\phi} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes h_{\phi} + \phi \otimes T\phi - T\phi \otimes \phi, \\ \triangle \phi &= \phi \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \phi \end{aligned}$$

The field-coefficients coming in front of the first order poles then are:
for $\frac{z+w}{z-w} \sim \frac{2w}{z-w}$ we get $+Y(\phi \cdot 1, w)$; for $\frac{z-w}{z+w} \sim -\frac{2w}{z-w}$ we get $-Y(T^2\phi \cdot 1, w) = -Y(\phi, w)$.

Thus

$$h(z)\phi^B(w) \sim \frac{1}{4}(\frac{2w}{z-w} + \frac{2w}{z+w})Y(\phi, w) \sim \frac{zw}{z^2-w^2}\phi^B(w),$$

i.e., we see that the field $h(z)$ acts almost similar to a derivation to the field $\phi^B(w)$. Note that of course from the OPE above we get immediately the commutation relations

$$[h(z), \phi^B(w)] = (i_{z,w} - i_{w,z})\frac{zw}{z^2-w^2}\phi^B(w). \tag{6.12}$$

Note that sometimes the following (not quite fitting) notation is used (see for example [Wak01], chapter 9):

$$\delta_{\mathrm{odd}}(z, w) = (i_{z,w} - i_{w,z})\frac{w}{z^2-w^2} = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{odd}}} z^{-j-1}w^j$$

Thus

$$[h(z), \phi^B(w)] = z\delta_{\mathrm{odd}}(z, w)\phi^B(w).$$

Once we know the OPE above, from which the commutation relations follow, and we have the exact description of the split of W into irreducible Heisenberg submodules, we can use the standard calculational lemmas (see for example [Kac90] and [Wak01], chapter 9, the principle picture) and immediately get that the exponential formula (4.9) holds for the field $\phi^B(w)$. That concludes our consideration of the fermion side of the neutral fermion of type B for this paper, although as mentioned in the introduction there are many other properties and consequences that are of great interest about the neutral fermion of type B.

6.4. Twisted vertex algebras based on $\mathbb{C}\{\phi\}$: the neutral free fermion of type D-C.

We are again working with space of fields $V = H_T^2(\mathbb{C}\{\phi\}) \equiv H_D(\mathbb{C}\{\phi, T\phi\})$, and space of states $W = H_D(\mathbb{C}\{\phi\}$. The projection map (recall definition 5.21) is again, as in the previous section, the algebra homomorphism defined by $\pi_T(T\phi) = \phi$. The only thing different is the bicharacter r (which has dramatic consequences).

Let the bicharacter $r^D : H_D(\mathbb{C}\{\phi, T\phi\}) \otimes H_D(\mathbb{C}\{\phi, T\phi\}) \to \mathbf{F}_{-1}(z, w)$ be defined by

$$r^D_{z,w}(\phi \otimes \phi) = \frac{1}{z-w} \tag{6.13}$$

Note that the bicharacter r^D is symmetric, as it is symmetric on the generator ϕ, it is shift-restricted, and has a simple single pole. From theorem 5.53 we know that we will get an example of a twisted vertex algebra. Since this is a new example of a boson-fermion correspondence, we will go carefully over the details.

We can use corollary 5.38 to calculate the OPE of $\phi^D(z)\phi^D(w)$. Again, the only nontrivial bicharacter from any of the coproducts ϕ'' and ϕ'' is $r^D_{z,w}(\phi \otimes \phi)$, since as ϕ is primitive as $r^D_{z,w}(\phi\otimes 1)=r^D_{z,w}(1\otimes\phi)=0$ (true for any bicharacter and a primitive element). Thus

$$\phi^D(z)\phi^D(w)\sim i_{z,w}\sum(-1)^{\tilde{\phi''}\tilde{\phi'}}f^{1,0}_{\phi'',\phi''}\frac{Y((T\phi').\phi',w)}{(z-w)}\sim$$

$$\sim i_{z,w}(-1)^{\tilde{\phi}\tilde{1}}f^{1,0}_{\phi,\phi}\frac{Y((T1).1,w)}{(z-w)}\sim\frac{1}{z-w}$$

This OPE coincides with (4.11) and corresponds to the anticommutation relations:

$$[\phi^D(z),\phi^D(w)]_\dagger=i_{z,w}\frac{1}{z-w}+i_{w,z}\frac{1}{w-z}=(i_{z,w}-i_{w,z})\frac{1}{z-w}.$$

Using the notation

$$\delta(z-w)=(i_{z,w}-i_{w,z})\frac{1}{z-w}=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}z^{-j-1}w^j$$

we can write

$$[\phi^D(z),\phi^D(w)]_\dagger=\delta(z-w),$$

which if we index the field $\phi^D(z)=\sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}+1/2}\phi^D_n z^{-n-1/2}$ will give us anticommutation relations:

$$[\phi^D_m,\phi^D_n]_\dagger=\delta_{m,-n}1.$$

Lemma 4.10 follows directly from the lemma 6.1 which we proved for a general bicharacter.

This field $\phi^D(z)$ generating the neutral free fermion of type D is well known. For instance, since in the OPE of $\phi^D(z)$ the only pole is at $z=w$, it is immediate that $\phi^D(z)$ on its own will generate a super vertex algebra. This super vertex algebra is considered for example in [Kac98], [Wan99] and other places. What is different here, is that we want to consider another descendant of $\phi^D(z)$, namely the field $T\phi^D(z)=\phi^D(-z)$. Now obviously that cannot be done in a super vertex algebra, as we now immediately have

$$\phi^D(z)T\phi^D(w)\sim\frac{1}{z+w},$$

which is not an OPE allowed in a super vertex algebra. But is perfectly allowed in a twisted vertex algebra. Notice also that

$$[\phi^D(z),T\phi^D(w)]_\dagger=\delta(z+w),\quad [\phi^D_m,T\phi^D_n]_\dagger=(-1)^{m+1/2}\delta_{m,-n}1,$$

and also

$$[T\phi^D(z),T\phi^D(w)]_\dagger=-\delta(z-w),\quad [T\phi^D_m,T\phi^D_n]_\dagger=-\delta_{m,-n}1,$$

These mean that on its own each of the fields $\phi^D(z)$ and $T\phi^D(z)$ (without the other) will generate a super vertex algebra, but the two "glue together" to form a twisted vertex algebra. This situation resembles the gluing together of the two sheets of the square root Riemann surface.

Now unless we consider the **twisted** vertex algebra, i.e., both the fields $\phi^D(z)$ and $T\phi^D(z)$ and their descendants, there is no way to construct the Heisenberg field

$$h(z)=\frac{1}{2}:\phi^D(z)\phi^D(-z):=\frac{1}{2}:\phi^D(z)T\phi^D(z):$$

To prove that $h(z)$ is Heisenberg field, we need lemma 5.52 again. We have in quite the same way as for the B case:

$$:\phi^D(z)T\phi^D(z):=\sum(-1)^{\tilde{\phi''}\tilde{\phi'}}f^{1,-1}_{\phi'',\phi''}Y(\phi'\cdot(T\phi)',z)=$$

$$=(-1)^{\tilde{\phi}\tilde{1}}f^{1,-1}_{\phi,\phi}Y(1\cdot 1,z)+(-1)^{\tilde{\phi}\tilde{1}}f^{1,-1}_{1,1}Y(\phi\cdot T\phi,z)=0\cdot 1_W+Y(\phi\cdot T\phi,z)$$

Thus we have that the field $h(z)$ from (4.12) is actually the vertex operator $Y(\frac{1}{2}\phi\cdot T\phi, z)$ corresponding to the element $\frac{1}{2}\phi\cdot T\phi$. To calculate the OPE that we listed in (4.13) we again use theorem 5.36. And again as in the previous section we notice that the OPE of $h(z)h(w)$ can potentially contain first and second order poles. The second order pole comes from

$$r_{z,w}(h_\phi\otimes h_\phi) = r_{z,w}(\phi\cdot T\phi\otimes\phi\cdot T\phi) = \tag{6.14}$$

$$= -r_{z,w}(\phi\otimes\phi)r_{z,w}(T\phi\otimes T\phi) + r_{z,w}(\phi\otimes T\phi)r_{z,w}(T\phi\otimes\phi) = \tag{6.15}$$

$$= +\frac{1}{(z-w)^2} - \frac{1}{(z+w)^2} = \frac{4zw}{(z^2-w^2)^2}. \tag{6.16}$$

Let us show that there are no first order poles in the OPE. The first order poles in the OPE could come from the h'' terms of the coproduct which have first order poles in their bicharacter, namely from $r_{z,w}(\phi\otimes T\phi) = -r_{z,w}(T\phi\otimes\phi) = \frac{1}{z+w}$ and from $r_{z,w}(\phi\otimes\phi) = -r_{z,w}(T\phi\otimes T\phi) = \frac{1}{z-w}$. Again we look at the coproducts directly (to use theorem 5.36):

$$\begin{aligned}\triangle h_\phi =& h_\phi\otimes 1 + 1\otimes h_\phi + \phi\otimes T\phi - T\phi\otimes\phi,\\ \triangle h_\phi =& h_\phi\otimes 1 + 1\otimes h_\phi + \phi\otimes T\phi - T\phi\otimes\phi\end{aligned}$$

The field-coefficients for the OPE coming in front of the first order poles then are:
for $\frac{1}{z-w}$ we get $Y(-\phi\cdot\phi + T\phi\cdot T\phi, w)$, which is zero as $\phi\cdot\phi = 0 = T\phi\cdot T\phi$,
for $\frac{z-w}{z+w}$ we get $Y(-T\phi\cdot T\phi + T^2\phi\cdot\phi, w)$, which is zero as $T^2\phi = \phi$ and $\phi\cdot\phi = 0$.
Thus there are no first order poles in the OPEs of $h(z)h(w)$.
Hence we are left with (recall $h = \frac{1}{2}h_\phi = \frac{1}{2}\phi\cdot T\phi$):

$$h(z)h(w) \sim \frac{zw}{(z^2-w^2)^2},$$

and the OPE (4.13) is proved.

Remark 6.2. The field $h(z)$ has a very special property, which is peculiar to twisted vertex algebras, and not possible in a super vertex algebra. This field corresponds to the element $h\in V$, the space of fields of the twisted vertex algebra. But its projection, $\pi_f(h) = \pi_T(h) = 0$, as

$$\pi_T(h) = \pi_T(\frac{1}{2}h_\phi) = \pi_T(\frac{1}{2}\phi\cdot T\phi) = \frac{1}{2}\phi\cdot\phi = 0. \tag{6.17}$$

Thus h is a nonzero element of the space of fields V, which projects as 0 in the space of states W.

This property was also true for the B case. Since the vector spaces of the fields and states remained the same as in the B case (as algebras and free Leibnitz modules), a lot of the properties of course repeat. But the twisted vertex algebra is nevertheless quite different, due to the different bicharacter, as we will see now when we split the Heisenberg module $W = F_D$ into irreducible submodules.

Now again $Th = \frac{1}{2}T\phi\cdot\phi = -h$, and since $Y(Th, z) = Y(h, -z)$ from the transfer of action axiom of twisted vertex algebras, we have $Y(h, -z) = -Y(h, z)$ in the twisted vertex algebra. Which again means that we have only **odd** powers of z in $Y(h, z)$, but the indexing of $h(z)$ is different now: $h(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} h_n z^{-2n-1}$. With this indexing, we have from the OPE:

$$[h(z), h(w)] = (i_{z,w} - i_{w,z})\frac{zw}{(z^2-w^2)^2} = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} n\frac{w^{2n-1}}{z^{2n+1}} = \frac{1}{4}\partial_w(\delta(z-w) + \delta(z+w)),$$

which gives us the commutation relations $[h_m, h_n] = m\delta_{m+n,0}1$ for the Heisenberg algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

We want to decompose the space of states $F_D = W = H_D(\mathbb{C}\{\phi\})$ into Heisenberg submodules. First we need to discover the highest weight vectors in W. These are the elements a_λ of W such that $h(z)a_\lambda$ has only nonnegative powers of z (as that will mean that h_n for $n > 0$ will annihilate a_λ). Let $a_n^{\mathrm{even}} = \phi D^{(2)}\phi \cdots D^{(2n)}\phi$, and denote as usual $\phi^i = D^{(i)}\phi$. We claim a_n^{even} is a highest weight vector for $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}$. To prove that, we will be using the bicharacter formula (5.32) and (5.31). We can easily calculate the coproduct of a_n^{even}:

$$\triangle(a_n^{\mathrm{even}}) = \sum_i (-1)^{i-1}\phi\phi^2\phi^4\cdots\widehat{\phi^{2i}}\cdot\cdot\phi^{2n}\otimes\phi^{2i}+ \tag{6.18}$$

$$+\sum_{i,j}(-1)^{i+j}\phi\phi^2\phi^4\cdots\widehat{\phi^{2i}}\cdots\widehat{\phi^{2j}}\cdots\phi^{2n}\otimes\phi^{2i}\phi^{2j}+\dots \tag{6.19}$$

The only parts of the coproduct that matter are the parts with either single or quadratic terms in $(a_n^{\mathrm{even}})''$, as the bicharacter with h'' will be 0 otherwise.

Let us first deal with the even $a_0^{\mathrm{even}} = 1$: We have

$$\begin{aligned} h(z)1 = \mathcal{E}_z h = \frac{1}{2}e^{zD}\phi\cdot e^{-zD}\phi = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} z^{2n+1}\sum_{p+q=2n+1}(-1)^q D^{(p)}\phi D^{(q)}\phi = \\ = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} z^{2n+1}\sum_{p+q=2n+1}(-1)^q\phi^p\phi^q, \end{aligned}$$

since we have $\sum_{p+q=\mathrm{even}}(-1)^q D^{(p)}\phi D^{(q)}\phi = 0$. This of course implies that $a_0^{\mathrm{even}} = 1$ is a highest weight vector, as we see that there are no negative powers of z in $h(z)1$, which means h_n annihilate 1 for $n > 0$, and also $h_0 1 = 0$, i.e., the highest weight of 1 is 0.

Let us calculate some bicharacters.

$$\begin{aligned} r_{z,0}(\phi\otimes\phi^i) &= \frac{1}{i!}\big((\partial_w)^i r_{z,w}(\phi\otimes\phi)\big)|_{w=0} = \frac{1}{i!}\big((\partial_w)^i(\frac{1}{z-w})\big)|_{w=0} = \frac{1}{z^{i+1}}, \\ r_{z,0}(T\phi\otimes\phi^i) &= \frac{1}{(-z)^{i+1}} = \frac{(-1)^{i+1}}{z^{i+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} r_{z,0}(\phi T\phi\otimes\phi^i\phi^j) &= -r_{z,0}(\phi\otimes\phi^i)r_{z,0}(T\phi\otimes\phi^j) + r_{z,0}(\phi\otimes\phi^j)r_{z,0}(T\phi\otimes\phi^i) = \\ &= -\frac{1}{z^{i+1}}\frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{z^{j+1}} + \frac{1}{z^{j+1}}\frac{(-1)^{i+1}}{z^{i+1}} = \frac{(-1)^j + (-1)^{i+1}}{z^{i+j+2}}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} r_{z,0}(\phi T\phi\otimes\phi^i\phi^j) &= 0 \quad \text{if } i,j \text{ both even, or } \text{ if } i,j \text{ both odd}, \\ r_{z,0}(\phi T\phi\otimes\phi^i\phi^j) &= -\frac{2}{z^{i+j+2}} \quad \text{if } i = \text{even},\ j = \text{odd}, \\ r_{z,0}(\phi T\phi\otimes\phi^i\phi^j) &= +\frac{2}{z^{i+j+2}} \quad \text{if } i = \text{odd},\ j = \text{even}. \end{aligned}$$

$$h(z)a_n^{\text{even}} = \mathcal{E}_z h \cdot a_n^{\text{even}} + \frac{1}{2}e^{zD}\phi \cdot (\sum (-1)^i \phi\phi^2\phi^4 \cdots \widehat{\phi^{2i}} \cdot\cdot \phi^{2n} r_{z,0}(T\phi \otimes \phi^{2i}))- \tag{6.20}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2}e^{-zD}\phi \cdot (\sum (-1)^i \phi\phi^2\phi^4 \cdots \widehat{\phi^{2i}} \cdot\cdot \phi^{2n}) r_{z,0}(\phi \otimes \phi^{2i})+ \tag{6.21}$$

$$+ \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{2i+2j} 1 \cdot \phi\phi^2\phi^4 \cdots \widehat{\phi^{2i}} \cdots \widehat{\phi^{2j}} \cdots \phi^{2n} r_{z,0}(\phi T\phi \otimes \phi^{2i}\phi^{2j}). \tag{6.22}$$

Hence

$$h(z)a_n^{\text{even}} = \mathcal{E}_z h \cdot a_n^{\text{even}} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_i (-1)^i (e^{zD}\phi + e^{-zD}\phi) \cdot \left(\phi\phi^2\phi^4 \cdots \widehat{\phi^{2i}} \cdot\cdot \phi^{2n} \frac{1}{z^{2i+1}}\right) \tag{6.23}$$

We can see that

$$\frac{1}{2}(e^{zD}\phi + e^{-zD}\phi) = \frac{1}{2}(e^{zD}\phi + e^{-zD}\phi) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \phi^{2l} z^{2l} \tag{6.24}$$

Thus, there will be no nonzero contribution to any power of z less than -1 in (6.25), as we are multiplying by one of the ϕ^{2l} that is already in the product $\phi\phi^2\phi^4 \cdots \widehat{\phi^{2i}} \cdot\cdot \phi^{2n}$, and thus getting 0. On the other hand, the contribution to the coefficient in front of z^{-1} is $-2na_n^{\text{even}}$, as we get a z^{-1} precisely when from $\frac{1}{2}(e^{zD}\phi + (-1)^i e^{-zD}\phi)$ we are multiplying by the $\phi^{2i}z^{2i}$ term which exactly complements the $\phi\phi^2\phi^4 \cdots \widehat{\phi^{2i}} \cdot\cdot \phi^{2n}$. Also, the minus sign in $-2na_n^{\text{even}}$ is due to the fact that when multiplying $(-1)^i \phi^{2i} \cdot \phi\phi^2\phi^4 \cdots \widehat{\phi^{2i}} \cdot\cdot \phi^{2n}$ we get $+a_n^{\text{even}}$. These considerations mean that h_n annihilate a_n^{even} for $n > 0$, and $h_0 a_n^{\text{even}} = -2na_n^{\text{even}}$. Hence a_n^{even} is a highest weight vector with highest weight $-2n$.

Also closer observation of the positive powers of z in (6.25) shows which elements of $W = F_D$ can be generated from the highest weight vector a_n^{even}: the elements with $n + 2m$ factors, $m \geq 0$, with m factors ϕ^p such that p is odd. We see that $W = F_D$ is bi-graded: first by the number n of factors in an element $a = \phi^{k_1} \cdot \phi^{k_2} \cdots \phi^{k_n}$, $k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_n$, and second by the difference between how many of these k_i are odd minus how many of them are even (we will call the second grading "derivative grading"). For example the element $\phi D\phi$ is in the highest weight module generated by the highest vector 1, as it has derivative grading 0 equal to the highest weight of 1.

Now let $a_n^{\text{odd}} = \phi^1\phi^3 \cdots \phi^{2n-1}$, $a_1^{\text{odd}} = \phi^1 = D\phi$. We claim a_n^{odd} is a highest weight vector for $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Similar calculations as for a_n^{even} show that

$$h(z)a_n^{\text{even}} = \mathcal{E}_z h \cdot a_n^{\text{odd}} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_i (-1)^i (e^{zD}\phi - e^{-zD}\phi) \cdot \left(\phi^1\phi^3 \cdots \widehat{\phi^{2i-1}} \cdot\cdot \phi^{2n-1} \frac{1}{z^{2i}}\right) \tag{6.25}$$

We can see that

$$\frac{1}{2}(e^{zD}\phi - e^{-zD}\phi) = \frac{1}{2}(e^{zD}\phi - e^{-zD}\phi) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \phi^{2l-1} z^{2l-1} \tag{6.26}$$

Similar considerations as for a_n^{even} hold for the a_n^{odd}, the difference is in the minus sign, i.e., a_n^{odd} is a highest weight vector with highest weight n for the Heisenberg algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}$. We also see that any element with derivative grading $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ will be in the highest module with highest weight n.

Thus we have shown that the space of states $F_D = W$ is a direct sum of infinitely many highest weight submodules B_i, with highest weight $i \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$W = F_D \cong \oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} B_i. \tag{6.27}$$

Any highest weight module for $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ with highest weight k is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots]$ via

$$\begin{aligned} h_0 &= k\cdot \\ h_n &= n\partial_{x_n} \quad \text{for} \quad n > 0 \\ h_{-n} &= x_n \cdot \quad \text{for} \quad n > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can rewrite

$$W = F_D \cong \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} B_i \cong \mathbb{C}[e^{\alpha}_{\phi}, e^{-\alpha}_{\phi}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots] = B_D, \tag{6.28}$$

where $e^{n\alpha}_{\phi}$, $e^{-n\alpha}_{\phi}$ are for now just labels for the highest weight vectors, but as we will see in the next section the notation is used because it is coming from a twisted vertex algebra based on a Leibnitz module over a rank one abelian group (see example 5.11). Denote the right hand side of the isomorphism above by B_D, this is the bosonic space of states for the boson-fermion correspondence of type D. Now we will slightly modify the labeling of the highest weight vectors, let

$$e^{n\alpha}_{\phi} \cong \phi^{2n-1} \cdot \phi^{2n-3} \cdots \phi^3 \cdot \phi^1 = (-1)^{n-1} a^{\text{odd}}_n \quad n > 0 \tag{6.29}$$

$$e^{-n\alpha}_{\phi} \cong \phi^{2n} \cdot \phi^{2n-2} \cdots \phi^2 \cdot \phi = (-1)^{n} a^{\text{even}}_n \quad n \geq 0 \tag{6.30}$$

The reason is that the formulas in (6.33) and (6.34) that we will prove look simpler with this identification of the highest weight vectors.

Now we calculate the image of the generating field $\phi^D(z)$ under the correspondence ($\phi^D(z)$ is a generating field, as ϕ is the single generator of the Hopf algebra $M = \mathbb{C}\{\phi\}$ which generates the whole twisted vertex algebra via the bicharacter r). To derive the formula (4.15) that we listed we need to know the OPE $h(z)\phi^D(w)$. That is done via corollary 5.38, as all the poles are simple here:

$$\begin{aligned} \triangle h_{\phi} &= h_{\phi} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes h_{\phi} + \phi \otimes T\phi - T\phi \otimes \phi, \\ \triangle \phi &= \phi \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \phi \end{aligned}$$

The field-coefficients coming in front of the first order poles then are:
for $\frac{1}{z-w}$ we get $-Y(T\phi \cdot 1, w) = -Y(T\phi, w)$; for $\frac{1}{z+w}$ we get $-Y(T\phi \cdot 1, w) = -Y(T\phi, w)$. We get

$$\begin{aligned} h(z)\phi^D(w) &\sim \frac{1}{2}\big(-\frac{Y(T\phi, w)}{z-w} - \frac{Y(T\phi, w)}{z+w}\big) \sim \frac{-z}{z^2-w^2} (T\phi)^D(w), \\ h(z)(T\phi)^D(w) &\sim \frac{1}{2}\big(-\frac{Y(\phi, w)}{z-w} - \frac{Y(\phi, w)}{z+w}\big) \sim \frac{-z}{z^2-w^2} \phi^D(w), \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} h(z)\frac{1}{2}(\phi^D(w) + T\phi^D(w)) &\sim -\frac{z}{z^2-w^2}\frac{1}{2}(\phi^D(w) + T\phi^D(w)), \\ h(z)\frac{1}{2}(\phi^D(w) - T\phi^D(w)) &\sim \frac{z}{z^2-w^2}\frac{1}{2}(\phi^D(w) - T\phi^D(w)). \end{aligned}$$

Denote $e^{-\alpha}_{\phi}(w) = \frac{1}{2}(\phi^D(w) + T\phi^D(w))$, $e^{\alpha}_{\phi}(w) = \frac{1}{2}(\phi^D(w) - T\phi^D(w))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(z)e^{-\alpha}_{\phi}(w) &\sim -\frac{z}{z^2-w^2} e^{-\alpha}_{\phi}(w), \\ h(z)e^{\alpha}_{\phi}(w) &\sim \frac{z}{z^2-w^2} e^{\alpha}_{\phi}(w). \end{aligned}$$

or in commutation relations

(6.31)
$$[h(z), e_{\phi}^{-\alpha}(w)] = -(i_{z,w} - i_{w,z})\frac{z}{z^2 - w^2}e_{\phi}^{-\alpha}(w) = -\frac{1}{2}(\delta(z-w) + \delta(z+w))e_{\phi}^{-\alpha}(w),$$

(6.32)
$$[h(z), e_{\phi}^{\alpha}(w)] = (i_{z,w} - i_{w,z})\frac{z}{z^2 - w^2}e_{\phi}^{\alpha}(w) = \frac{1}{2}(\delta(z-w) + \delta(z+w))e_{\phi}^{\alpha}(w).$$

Once we have these commutation relations and we have exact description of the split of $W = F_D$ into Heisenberg submodules, we can use the standard calculational lemmas (see for example [Kac90], [Wak01]) to get that

$$e_{\phi}^{-\alpha}(z) = \exp(-\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{h_{-n}}{n}z^{2n})\exp(\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{h_n}{n}z^{-2n})e_{\phi}^{-\alpha}z^{-2\partial_\alpha}, \tag{6.33}$$

$$e_{\phi}^{\alpha}(z) = \exp(\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{h_{-n}}{n}z^{2n})\exp(-\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{h_n}{n}z^{-2n})e_{\phi}^{\alpha}z^{2\partial_\alpha+1}, \tag{6.34}$$

the operators e_{ϕ}^{α}, $e_{\phi}^{-\alpha}$, z^{∂_α} and $z^{-\partial_\alpha}$ then act in an obvious way on the space $F_D \cong B_D$ as in (6.28).

Remark 6.3. The standard calculational lemmas as in [Kac90] and [Wak01] depend on the following: Directly from the commutation relations (6.31) one only gets that

$$e_{\phi}^{-\alpha}(z) = \exp(-\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{h_{-n}}{n}z^{2n})\exp(\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{h_n}{n}z^{-2n})U_{-\alpha}(z),$$
$$e_{\phi}^{\alpha}(z) = \exp(\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{h_{-n}}{n}z^{2n})\exp(+\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{h_n}{n}z^{-2n})U_{\alpha}(z),$$

where $U_\alpha(z)$ acts as a constant on each $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots]$, but depends on e_{ϕ}^{α} and z (i.e., $U_\alpha(z)$ is responsible for intertwining the Heisenberg submodules), and similarly for $U_{-\alpha}(z)$. To get precisely what are the maps $U_{-\alpha}(z)$ and $U_\alpha(z)$ one checks how the fields $e_{\phi}^{-\alpha}(z)$ and $e_{\phi}^{\alpha}(z)$ act on the highest weight vectors a_n^{even} and a_n^{even} (hence we need the split of the space F_D into irreducible Heisenberg modules). For $a_0^{\text{even}} = 1 = e_{\phi}^{0\alpha}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{\phi}^{-\alpha}(z)1 &= \frac{1}{2}(\phi^D(z) + T\phi^D(z))1 = \frac{1}{2}(\sum_{n\geq 0}(e^{zD}\phi + e^{-zD}\phi) = \sum_{n\geq 0} z^{2n}D^{(2n)}\phi = \\
&= \phi + O(z^2) = a_1^{\text{even}}z^0 + O(z) = e_{\phi}^{-\alpha}z^0 + O(z^2), \\
e_{\phi}^{\alpha}(z)1 &= \frac{1}{2}(\phi^D(z) - T\phi^D(z))1 = \frac{1}{2}(\sum_{n\geq 0}(e^{zD}\phi - e^{-zD}\phi) = \sum_{n\geq 0} z^{2n+1}D^{(2n+1)}\phi = \\
&= zD\phi + O(z^2) = a_1^{\text{odd}}z^1 + O(z^3) = e_{\phi}^{\alpha}z^1 + O(z^3).
\end{aligned}$$

Similar but lengthier calculations involving also the bicharacter have to be performed for general a_n^{even} and a_n^{even}. For example for $a_1^{\text{even}} = \phi = e_{\phi}^{-1\alpha}$

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\phi}^{-\alpha}(z)\phi &= \frac{1}{2}(\phi^D(z) + T\phi^D(z))\phi + 1 \cdot r_{z,0}(\frac{1}{2}(\phi + T\phi) \otimes \phi) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\sum_{n\geq 0}(e^{zD}\phi + e^{-zD}\phi)\phi = (\sum_{n\geq 0} z^{2n}D^{(2n)}\phi) \cdot \phi + 0 = \\ &= (D^{(2)}\phi \cdot \phi)z^2 + O(z^4) = a_2^{\text{even}} z^2 + O(z^4) = e_{\phi}^{-2\alpha} z^2 + O(z^4), \\ e_{\phi}^{\alpha}(z)\phi &= \frac{1}{2}(\phi^D(z) - T\phi^D(z))\phi + 1 \cdot r_{z,0}(\frac{1}{2}(\phi - T\phi) \otimes \phi) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\sum_{n\geq 0}(e^{zD}\phi - e^{-zD}\phi)\phi = (\sum_{n\geq 0} z^{2n+1}D^{(2n+1)}\phi) \cdot \phi + 1 \cdot \frac{1}{z} = \\ &= 1 \cdot \frac{1}{z} - (\phi D\phi)z + O(z^3) = a_0^{\text{even}} z^{-1} + O(z^1) = e_{\phi}^{0\alpha} z^{-1} + O(z^1). \end{aligned}$$

Similar calculations for all highest weight vectors show that $U_{-\alpha}(z) = e_{\phi}^{-\alpha} z^{-2\partial_\alpha}$ and $U_\alpha(z) = e_{\phi}^{\alpha} z^{2\partial_\alpha + 1}$

Thus we finally see that

$$\phi^D(z) = e_{\phi}^{-\alpha}(z) + e_{\phi}^{\alpha}(z), \quad (T\phi)^D(z) = e_{\phi}^{-\alpha}(z) - e_{\phi}^{\alpha}(z), \tag{6.35}$$

where $e_{\phi}^{-\alpha}(z)$ and $e_{\phi}^{\alpha}(z)$ are defined by the formulas in (6.33) and (6.34) (which formulas coincides with (4.16) and (4.17) that we listed).

Now we are almost finished with the properties we wanted to derive for the fermionic side of the boson-fermion correspondence of type D-C. The last remaining task is to explain its name. It is clear that the **super** vertex algebra of the neutral fermion of type D sits inside the **twisted** vertex algebra of the neutral fermion of type D-C. It is well known from the super vertex algebra theory that the field $\phi^D(z)$ generates a representation of the infinite dimensional Lie algebra d_∞ (see for instance [Wan99]). This Lie algebra is defined as follows: let $\bar{a}_\infty$ is the Lie algebra of infinite matrices

$$\bar{a}_\infty = \{(a_{ij})|\ i, j \in \mathbb{Z},\ a_{ij} = 0 \text{ for} |i - j| \gg 0\}. \tag{6.36}$$

As usual denote the elementary matrices by E_{ij}.

The algebra a_∞ is a central extension of $\bar{a}_\infty$ by a central element c, $a_\infty = \bar{a}_\infty \oplus \mathbb{C}c$, with cocycle given by

$$C(A, B) = Trace([J, A]B), \tag{6.37}$$

where the matrix $J = \sum_{i\leq 0} E_{ii}$.

The infinite dimensional Lie algebra $\bar{d}_\infty$ is the subalgebra of $\bar{a}_\infty$ consisting of the infinite matrices preserving the bilinear form $D(v_i, v_j) = \delta_{i,1-j}$, i.e.,

$$\bar{d}_\infty = \{(a_{ij}) \in \bar{a}_\infty|\ a_{ij} = -a_{1-j,1-i}\}. \tag{6.38}$$

Denote by d_∞ the central extension of $\bar{d}_\infty$ by a central element c, $d_\infty = \bar{d}_\infty \oplus \mathbb{C}c$, with the same cocycle as for a_∞, (6.37).

It is well known that if we form a generating function $E(z, w)$ for the elementary matrices $E_{ij} - E_{1-j,1-i}$ which span $\bar{d}_\infty$:

$$E(z, w) = \sum_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}} (E_{ij} - E_{1-j,1-i}) z^{i-1} w^{-j}, \tag{6.39}$$

then this generating function can be written as the normal ordered product

$$E(z,w) =: \phi^D(z)\phi^D(w) : . \tag{6.40}$$

For details see works by Weiching Wang, for example [KWY98], [Wan99].

Thus the normal ordered product $:\phi^D(z)\phi^D(w):$ makes $W = F_D$ into a representation of d_∞, hence the name "neutral fermion of type D". Now in the twisted vertex algebra we have one more generating field, $(T\phi)^D(z)$, which allows us to form also the normal ordered product $:\phi^D(z)(T\phi)^D(w) :=: \phi^D(z)\phi^D(-w):$. Using this normal product we can give a representation of the algebra c_∞, which is a central extension of $\bar{c}_\infty$:

$$\bar{c}_\infty = \{(a_{ij}) \in \bar{a}_\infty |\ a_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j-1}a_{1-j,1-i}\}. \tag{6.41}$$

Thus, although the **super** vertex algebra represents the neutral fermion of type D, it sits inside the **twisted** vertex algebra of the neutral fermion which is better named "type D-C".

That concludes our consideration of the fermionic side of the boson-fermion correspondence of type D-C. Formulas (6.33) and (6.34) alone completely determine the twisted vertex algebra isomorphism between the two twisted vertex algebras: the fermionic with space of states F_D and the bosonic with space of states B_D. But we can go one step further: in the section 6.7 we will present a bicharacter construction of the bosonic side of the boson-fermion correspondences. Before moving to the bosonic sides, we want to give the bicharacter description of the fermionic side of the boson-fermion correspondence of type A. Even though it is a super vertex algebra (thus a twisted vertex algebra of order 1), the bicharacter construction gives us something new: a general formula for the vacuum expectation values that specializes to the determinant formula (3.8) in the case of the charged free fermions of type A.

6.5. **Twisted vertex algebras based on $\mathbb{C}\{\phi,\psi\}$: determinant vacuum expectation values.**

Recall that for the bicharacter construction examples are grouped based on the Hopf algebra M, i.e. one keeps M the same, but changes the bicharacter. We dealt with two examples based on $\mathbb{C}\{\phi\}$. Now we want to add one more generator, and work with $M = \mathbb{C}\{\phi,\psi\}$, as in example 5.16. A choice of a super symmetric bicharacter on $M = \mathbb{C}\{\phi,\psi\}$ is determined by the choice of three bicharacter values: $r_{z,w}(\phi\otimes\phi)$, $r_{z,w}(\phi\otimes\psi)$ and $r_{z,w}(\psi\otimes\psi)$ (as $r_{z,w}(\psi\otimes\phi) = -r_{w,z}(\phi\otimes\psi)$ from the super-symmetry). We will restrict ourselves with the case when $r_{z,w}(\phi\otimes\phi) = r_{z,w}(\psi\otimes\psi) = 0$, thus we are only choosing $r_{z,w}(\phi\otimes\psi)$. Thus the pair $(\mathbb{C}\{\phi,\psi\}, r)$ for any covariant bicharacter will generate a twisted vertex algebra with space of fields $V = H^N_{T_\epsilon}(\mathbb{C}\{\phi,\psi\})$, and space of states $W = H_D(\mathbb{C}\{\phi,\psi\})$ as in theorem 5.53.

We want to derive a formula for the vacuum expectation values in any twisted vertex algebra based on the pair $(\mathbb{C}\{\phi,\psi\}, r)$, with the bicharacter r chosen as above. Recall $\langle\ |\ \rangle : W\otimes W \to \mathbb{C}$ is a symmetric bilinear form on the space of states W, such that the vacuum vector $1 = |0\rangle$ is orthogonal to all other generators of the Hopf algebra W and also has norm 1.

Lemma 6.4. *Let V be a twisted vertex algebra based on $M = \mathbb{C}\{\phi,\psi\}$ and a supersymmetric bicharacter r (i.e., $V = H_D(\mathbb{C}\{\phi, T\phi, \psi, T\psi\})$ and $W = H_D(\mathbb{C}\{\phi,\psi\})$. Denote by $\phi(z)$ and $\psi(z)$ the fields $Y(\phi,z)$ and $Y(\psi,z)$ produced by 5.32, via* (5.31). *The following*

formula for the vacuum expectation values holds:
(6.42)

$$\langle 0 \mid \phi(z_1)\phi(z_2)\dots\phi(z_n)\psi(w_1)\psi(w_2)\dots\psi(w_n)|0\rangle = (-1)^{n(n\,-\,1)/2} i_{z,w} det\big(r_{z_i,w_j}(\phi\otimes\psi)\big)_{i,j=1}^n.$$

Here as usual det denotes the determinant of an n by n square matrix and $i_{z;w}$ stands for the expansion $i_{z_1,z_2,\dots,z_n,w_1,w_2,\dots,w_n}$.

Proof. To calculate the vacuum expectation values we calculate instead the vacuum expectation values of the analytic continuation $X_{z_1,z_2,\dots,z_n,w_1,w_2,\dots,w_n}(\phi\otimes\phi\dots\phi\otimes\psi\otimes\psi\cdots\psi)$. We will use Lemma 5.48 which gives us a formula for the analytic continuation in terms of the bicharacter. Both ϕ and ψ are primitive elements, we have

$$\triangle^{2n}(\phi) = \phi\otimes 1\otimes\dots\otimes 1 + 1\otimes\phi\otimes\dots\otimes 1 + 1\otimes 1\otimes\phi\otimes\dots\otimes 1 + \dots 1\otimes 1\otimes\dots\otimes\phi,$$
$$\triangle^{2n}(\psi) = \psi\otimes 1\otimes\dots\otimes 1 + 1\otimes\psi\otimes\dots\otimes 1 + 1\otimes 1\otimes\psi\otimes\dots\otimes 1 + \dots 1\otimes 1\otimes\dots\otimes\psi.$$

We need three observations:

1. Since for the bilinear form the vacuum vector $1 = |0\rangle$ spans an orthogonal subspace on its own (and in particular is orthogonal to ϕ and ψ and their descendants), the only contributions to the vacuum expectation values will come from the terms in the multivariable field where the coproducts have 1 as a first term; the other terms will not contribute. That forces us to work with the $(2n)$-character $r_{z_1,z_2,\dots,z_n,w_1,w_2,\dots,w_n}(\phi\otimes\phi\dots\phi\otimes\psi\otimes\psi\cdots\psi)$.
2. To continue the previous observation, no sign contribution will come from the first (-1) factor in the $\mathbf{sign}(M^{\vec{k}}_{\Delta^{2n-1}}(\phi,\dots,\phi,\psi,\cdots,\psi))$ as the only contributing matrices are those with the first columns consisting entirely of 1s (as 1 is even).
3. Since ϕ and ψ are primitive elements we have $r_{z,w}(\phi\otimes 1) = r_{z,w}(1\otimes\phi) = 0$ and $r_{z,w}(\psi\otimes 1) = r_{z,w}(1\otimes\psi) = 0$ for any bicharacter. Thus the only contributions in the $(2n)$-character $r_{z_1,z_2,\dots,z_n,w_1,w_2,\dots,w_n}(\phi\otimes\phi\dots\phi\otimes\psi\otimes\psi\cdots\psi)$ will come from the following situation: a nonzero summand in this $(2n)$-character will be a product of nonzero bicharacter factors, and that happens when in the "permuted order" (see remark 5.43) we have a sequence of pairs: $(1,1)$ pairs (trivial, as $r_{z,w}(1\otimes 1) = 1$) or (ϕ,ψ) pairs (nontrivial). If there is a mixed pair $(1,\phi)$ or $(\phi,1)$ as a factor in a summand, that summand will be 0. Note also that that the definition of a $(2n)$-character doesn't allow for pairs (ψ,ϕ), as it uses the "permuted order" in its definition. So a nonzero summand will have exactly n such nontrivial contributing pairs (ϕ,ψ) , and each pair forms one bicharacter $r_{z_i,w_j}(\phi\otimes\psi)$. Which means that a nonzero summand will consist of the product $\prod_{k=1}^n r_{z_k,w_{j_k}}(\phi\otimes\psi)$ times a sign factor, where $j_1,j_2,\dots,j_n$ is a permutation of $1,2,\dots,n$.

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&X_{z_1,z_2,\dots,z_n,w_1,w_2,\dots,w_n}(\phi\otimes\phi\dots\phi\otimes\psi\otimes\psi\cdots\psi) = \\
&= \sum_{\text{coproducts}} \mathbf{sign}(M^{\vec{k}}_{\Delta^{2n-1}}(\phi,\dots,\phi,\psi,\dots,\psi))\mathcal{E}_{z_1}\phi'\cdots\mathcal{E}_{z_n}\phi'\mathcal{E}_{w_1}\psi'\cdots\mathcal{E}_{w_n}\psi'\cdot \\
&\qquad\qquad \cdot r_{z_1,z_2,\dots,z_n,w_1,w_2,\dots,w_n}(\phi''\otimes\dots\phi''\otimes\psi''\dots\otimes\psi'') = \\
&= \sum_{\text{contr. coproducts}} \mathbf{sign}(M^{\vec{k}}_{\Delta^{2n-1}}(\phi,\dots,\phi,\psi,\dots,\psi))1\cdot \\
&\qquad\qquad \cdot r_{z_1,z_2,\dots,z_n,w_1,w_2,\dots,w_n}(\phi\otimes\phi\dots\phi\otimes\psi\otimes\psi\cdots\psi) + \text{other terms} = \\
&= (-1)^{n(n\,-\,1)/2}\sum_P \epsilon(P)1\cdot r_{z_1,w_{j_1}}(\phi\otimes\psi)r_{z_2,w_{j_2}}(\phi\otimes\psi)\cdots r_{z_n,w_{j_n}}(\phi\otimes\psi) + \text{other terms.}
\end{aligned}$$

The sum is over all permutations $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n$ of $1, 2, \dots, n$. The sign contribution from any **contributing** matrix $M^{\vec{k}}_{\Delta^{2n-1}}(\phi, \dots, \phi, \psi, \dots, \psi))$ consist of two factors: the first factor is the sign due to all the "w's passing through the "z's", which factor is exactly $(-1)^{n(n\,-\,1)/2}$. The second factor is precisely the sign of the corresponding permutation, since ϕ and ψ are odd (see remark 5.50 and observation 3 above, also permuting a pair across a pair contributes no minus sign). That produces precisely the determinant $(-1)^{n(n\,-\,1)/2} det\big(r_{z_i,w_j}(\phi\otimes\psi)\big)_{i,j=1}^{n}$. ☐

6.6. Super vertex algebra based on $\mathbb{C}\{\phi, \psi\}$: the free fermion of type A.

We start with the fermionic side of the boson-fermion correspondence of type A, even though it is a super vertex algebra. We would like to recall that a super vertex algebra is in fact a twisted vertex algebra of order $N = 1$. The bicharacter description of the fermionic side of the correspondence was given in [Ang08], we will recall it briefly for completeness, also the formula for the vacuum expectation values is new to this paper. We choose $V = H_D(\mathbb{C}\{\phi, \psi\})$, $W = V = H_D(\mathbb{C}\{\phi, \psi\})$, i.e., $\pi_f = Id_W$, and $r_{z,w}$ be the $H_D \otimes H_D$-covariant bicharacter r^{A_f} on $V = W$ generated by

$$r_{z,w}(\phi\otimes\psi) = \frac{1}{z-w}, \quad r_{z,w}(\phi\otimes\phi) = r_{z,w}(\phi\otimes\psi) = 0, \tag{6.43}$$

which produces a super-symmetric shift restricted bicharacter as in section 6.5. Let Y be the field-state correspondence defined by (5.32), via (5.31). The set of data $(V, W, \pi_f = Id_W, Y)$ constructed as above satisfies the definition of a twisted vertex algebra, which is in fact a super vertex algebra, as the bicharacter has poles only at $z = w$. Which vertex algebra it is is determined once we write out the OPEs for the Heisenberg relations. We can define a Heisenberg element $h = \phi\cdot\psi$, which leads to $h(z) =: \phi(z)\psi(z)$ immediately from lemma 5.52. We have

$$r_{z,w}(h\otimes h) = r_{z,w}(\phi\psi\otimes\phi\psi) = -r_{z,w}(\phi\otimes\phi)r_{z,w}(\psi\otimes\psi) + r_{z,w}(\phi\otimes\psi)r_{z,w}(\psi\otimes\phi) =$$
$$= 0 + \frac{1}{z-w}\cdot\frac{-1}{w-z} = \frac{1}{(z-w)^2},$$

and from theorem 5.36 we calculate that

$$h(z)h(w) \sim \frac{1}{(z-w)^2}, \tag{6.44}$$

which gives the commutation relations

$$[h(z), h(w)] = (i_{z,w} - i_{w,z})\frac{1}{(z-w)^2} = \partial_w\delta(z-w), \tag{6.45}$$

i.e., precisely (3.10). Similarly from theorem 5.36 we have

$$h(z)\phi(w) \sim \frac{1}{z-w}\phi(w), \tag{6.46}$$

which in commutation relations is:

$$[h(z), \phi(w)] = (i_{z,w} - i_{w,z})\frac{1}{z-w}\cdot\phi(w) = \delta(z-w)\phi(w). \tag{6.47}$$

From the last two equations it is a standard calculation that formulas (3.14) and (3.15) follow (these calculations are done for example in [Kac98] specifically for the boson-fermion correspondence of type A, as well as in [Wak01]).

Thus we have shown that the pair $(\mathbb{C}\{\phi,\psi\}, r_{z,w}(\phi\otimes\psi)=\frac{1}{z-w})$ generates and describes the super vertex algebra of the charged free fermions, which is the fermionic side of the boson-fermion correspondence of type A.

We can directly see that the determinant formula for the vacuum expectation values (3.8) is a special case of lemma 6.4.

We won't give more examples here of a twisted vertex algebra based on $\mathbb{C}\{\phi,\psi\}$, but as was shown above such examples are easy to produce, as one just chooses a different bicharacter value $r_{z,w}(\phi\otimes\psi)$. Instead we move to the twisted vertex algebras that constitute the bosonic sides of the boson-fermion correspondences.

6.7. Twisted vertex algebras based on $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}\alpha]$ and a choice of a bicharacter.

Recall that for the bicharacter construction examples are grouped based on the Hopf algebra M, i.e. one can keep M the same, but change the bicharacter. We dealt with two examples based on $M=\mathbb{C}\{\phi\}$ and one example based on $M=\mathbb{C}\{\phi,\psi\}$. Now we want to choose M to be the Hopf algebra $L_1=\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}\alpha]$, the group algebra of the rank-one free abelian group $\mathbb{Z}\alpha$, as in example 5.11. Recall the group algebra is generated by $e^{m\alpha},\ m\in\mathbb{Z},$ with relations $e^{m\alpha}e^{n\alpha}=e^{(m+n)\alpha},\ \ e^0=1$. We can construct the free Leibnitz module $\tilde{V}=H^N_{T_\epsilon}(L_1)$, and its sub-Hopf algebra $\tilde{W}=H_D(L_1)$. If we want to define a $H^N_{T_\epsilon}\otimes H^N_{T_\epsilon}$-covariant bicharacter on $H^N_{T_\epsilon}(M)$ it is clear that we can only choose one bicharacter value, that of $r_{z,w}(e^\alpha\otimes e^\alpha)$, as the bicharacter values on all other elements of $\tilde{V}$ and $\tilde{W}$ are determined by the bicharacter properties and the $H^N_{T_\epsilon}\otimes H^N_{T_\epsilon}$-covariance. Recall (example 5.11) we defined an element $h=(De^\alpha)e^{-\alpha}$, which is primitive; note that $h\in\tilde{W}\subset\tilde{V}$. We have the following important properties of any covariant bicharacter on $\tilde{V}$:

$$r_{z,w}(h\otimes e^{m\alpha})=m\partial_z\log r_{z,w}(e^\alpha\otimes e^\alpha)\tag{6.48}$$

$$r_{z,w}(h\otimes h)=\partial_z\partial_w\log r_{z,w}(e^\alpha\otimes e^\alpha)\tag{6.49}$$

The calculation of these is direct:

$$\begin{aligned}r_{z,w}(h\otimes e^{m\alpha})&=r_{z,w}((De^\alpha)e^{-\alpha}\otimes e^{m\alpha})=r_{z,w}(De^\alpha\otimes e^{m\alpha})r_{z,w}(e^{-\alpha}\otimes e^{m\alpha})=\\&=\partial_z r_{z,w}(e^\alpha\otimes e^{m\alpha})\frac{1}{r_{z,w}(e^\alpha\otimes e^{m\alpha})}=\partial_z(r_{z,w}(e^\alpha\otimes e^\alpha))^m\frac{1}{r_{z,w}(e^\alpha\otimes e^\alpha)^m}=\\&=m\partial_z\log r_{z,w}(e^\alpha\otimes e^\alpha),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}r_{z,w}(h\otimes h)&=r_{z,w}(h\otimes(De^\alpha)e^{-\alpha})=\\&=r_{z,w}(h\otimes De^\alpha)r_{z,w}(1\otimes e^{-\alpha})+r_{z,w}(1\otimes De^\alpha)r_{z,w}(h\otimes e^{-\alpha})=\\&=\partial_w r_{z,w}(h\otimes e^\alpha)+\partial_w r_{z,w}(1\otimes e^\alpha)r_{z,w}(h\otimes e^{-\alpha})=\partial_z\partial_w\log r_{z,w}(e^\alpha\otimes e^\alpha).\end{aligned}$$

Note that since h is primitive and even, we have the following formulas for the OPE in any twisted vertex algebra containing such an element $h=(De^\alpha)e^{-\alpha}$:

$$h(z)h(w)\sim 1\cdot r_{z,w}(h\otimes h).\tag{6.50}$$

Of course one should be more rigorous, and expand $r_{z,w}(h\otimes h)$ around the principal part of its singularity. But nevertheless, this follows directly from (5.35), as the formula for the three variable $X_{z,w,0}(h\otimes h\otimes c)$, which was the analytic continuation of $h(z)h(w)c$, looks particularly simple for h primitive and even. Almost as simple is the formula for $X_{z,w,0}(h\otimes e^{m\alpha}\otimes c)$:

$$X_{z,w,0}(h\otimes e^{m\alpha}\otimes c)=\mathcal{E}_z h\cdot \mathcal{E}_w e^{m\alpha}\cdot c+1\cdot \mathcal{E}_w e^{m\alpha}\cdot c' r_{z,w}(h\otimes e^{m\alpha}), \tag{6.51}$$

thus we can write (modulo the expansion of $r_{z,w}(h\otimes e^{m\alpha})$ around the principal part of its singularity):

$$h(z)e^{m\alpha}(w)\sim e^{m\alpha}(w)\cdot r_{z,w}(h\otimes e^{m\alpha}). \tag{6.52}$$

This gives us the commutation relations:

$$[h(z),e^{m\alpha}(w)]=(i_{z,w}-i_{w,z})r_{z,w}(h\otimes e^{m\alpha})\cdot e^{m\alpha}(w). \tag{6.53}$$

One familiar with the standard vertex operator calculations would recognize that these two equations: the Heisenberg relation (6.50) and the Exponential relation (6.52) are the reason we are getting the exponential operator formulas of the type (3.14), (3.15), (4.9), (4.16) and (4.17) in the bosonic side of the boson-fermion correspondences.

6.8. Twisted vertex algebras based on $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}\alpha]$: product vacuum expectation values.

Now we want to derive a formula for the vacuum expectation values in a twisted vertex algebra based on $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}\alpha]$.

Lemma 6.5. *Let V be a twisted vertex algebra based on $L_1=\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}\alpha]$ and a supersymmetric bicharacter r with space of states $W=H_D(L_1)$. Denote by $e^{m\alpha}(z)$ the field $Y(e^{m\alpha},z)$ produced by definition 5.32, via (5.31). More generally, require that the projection map π_f from the space of fields to the space of states satisfies $\pi_f(e^{m\alpha})\neq 0$ for any $m\in\mathbb{Z}$ (immediately holds for $\pi_f=\pi_T$). The following formula for the vacuum expectation values holds:*

(6.54)

$$\langle 0\mid e^{m_1\alpha}(z_1)e^{m_2\alpha}(z_2)\dots e^{m_n\alpha}(z_n)|0\rangle = i_z\delta_{m_1+m_2+\dots+m_n,0}\prod_{i<j=1}^{n} r_{z_i,z_j}(e^{m_i\alpha}\otimes e^{m_j\alpha}).$$

Here as usual i_z stands for the expansion $i_{z_1,z_1,\dots,z_n}$.

Proof. This proof is especially easy, as the formula for the analytic continuation of $e^{m_1\alpha}(z_1)e^{m_2\alpha}(z_2)\dots e^{m_n\alpha}(z_n)|0\rangle$ is especially easy: we have from Lemma 5.48 and from the fact that everything is even parity that

$$X_{z_1,z_2,\dots,z_n}(e^{m_1\alpha}\otimes e^{m_2\alpha}\otimes\dots\otimes e^{m_n\alpha})=$$
$$=\mathcal{E}_{z_1}e^{m_1\alpha}\cdot\mathcal{E}_{z_2}e^{m_2\alpha}\cdots\mathcal{E}_{z_n}e^{m_n\alpha}\cdot r_{z_1,z_2,\dots,z_n}(e^{m_1\alpha}\otimes e^{m_2\alpha}\otimes\dots\otimes e^{m_n\alpha})$$

Note that the $e^{m_k\alpha}$ are grouplike, which simplified the formula greatly. Now we have

$$\langle 0\mid \mathcal{E}_{z_1}e^{m_1\alpha}\cdot\mathcal{E}_{z_2}e^{m_2\alpha}\cdots\mathcal{E}_{z_n}e^{m_n\alpha}\rangle=\langle 0\mid \pi_T(e^{(m_1+m_2+\dots+m_n)\alpha})+O(z)\rangle. \tag{6.55}$$

Since we required that the bilinear form is such that the vacuum vector $|0\rangle$ is orthogonal to all $e^{m\alpha}$, except for the $m=0$, then

$$\langle 0\mid e^{(m_1+m_2+\dots+m_n)\alpha}\rangle=\delta_{m_1+m_2+\dots+m_n,0}.$$

Note also that the $O(z)$ terms contain non-vacuum descendants of the $e^{m_k\alpha}$, and so do not contribute to the vacuum expectation value. Thus

$$\langle 0\mid X_{z_1,z_2,\dots,z_n}(e^{m_1\alpha}\otimes e^{m_2\alpha}\otimes\dots\otimes e^{m_n\alpha})\rangle r_{z_1,z_2,\dots,z_n}(e^{m_1\alpha}\otimes e^{m_2\alpha}\otimes\dots\otimes e^{m_n\alpha}). \tag{6.56}$$

Now since the elements $e^{m_k\alpha}$ are grouplike, the n-character $r_{z_1,z_2,\dots,z_n}(e^{m_1\alpha}\otimes\ldots\otimes e^{m_n\alpha})$ also has especially simple form:

$$r_{z_1,z_2,\dots,z_n}(e^{m_1\alpha}\otimes e^{m_2\alpha}\otimes\ldots\otimes e^{m_n\alpha})=\prod_{i<j=1}^{n} r_{z_i,z_j}(e^{m_i\alpha}\otimes e^{m_j\alpha}), \tag{6.57}$$

which concludes our proof. □

Remark 6.6. This vacuum expectation values formula can be generalized directly to a twisted vertex algebra based on the group algebra of arbitrary rank lattice.

Now it remains to detail the particular examples. As was explained earlier, each pair (L_1, r) will give rise to a different twisted vertex algebra (V, W, π_T, Y), even if the space V and W are the same, as the field-state correspondence Y will change with the bicharacter.

6.9. Super vertex algebra based on $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}\alpha]$: the free boson of type A.

We start with the bosonic side of the boson-fermion correspondence of type A, even though it is a super vertex algebra. (We would like to again recall that a super vertex algebra is in fact a twisted vertex algebra of order $N = 1$). This section gives the bicharacter description of the bosonic side of the correspondence.

We choose $V = H_D(L_1)$, $W = V = H_D(L_1)$, i.e., $\pi_f = Id_W$, and $r^{A_b}_{z,w}$ be the $H_D\otimes H_D$-covariant bicharacter on $V = W$ generated by

$$r_{z,w}(e^{\alpha}\otimes e^{\alpha}) = z - w, \tag{6.58}$$

which is a super-symmetric shift restricted bicharacter. As was derived in the previous section for general bicharacter

$$r_{z,w}(h\otimes e^{m\alpha}) = m\frac{1}{z-w},\quad r_{z,w}(h\otimes h)=\frac{1}{(z-w)^2}. \tag{6.59}$$

Let Y be the field-state correspondence defined by (5.32), via (5.31). The set of data $(V, W, \pi_f = Id_W, Y)$ constructed as above satisfies the definition of a twisted vertex algebra, which is in fact a super vertex algebra, as the bicharacter has poles only at $z = w$. Which vertex algebra it is is determined once we write out the OPEs for the Heisenberg relation (6.50) and the exponential relation (6.52) as commutation relations:

$$[h(z), h(w)] = (i_{z,w} - i_{w,z})\frac{1}{(z-w)^2} = \partial_w\delta(z-w), \tag{6.60}$$

which is precisely (3.10); and

$$[h(z), e^{m\alpha}(w)] = (i_{z,w} - i_{w,z})\frac{m}{z-w}\cdot e^{m\alpha}(w) = m\delta(z-w)e^{m\alpha}(w). \tag{6.61}$$

From the last two equations it is a standard calculation that formulas (3.14) and (3.15) follow (these calculations are done for example in [Kac98] specifically for the boson-fermion correspondence of type A, as well as in [Wak01]).

Thus we have shown that the pair $(L_1, r_{z,w}(e^{\alpha}\otimes e^{\alpha}) = z - w)$ generates and describes the super vertex algebra of the rank one odd lattice, which is the bosonic side of the boson-fermion correspondence of type A.

To summarize all these considerations:

Theorem 6.7. *The boson-fermion correspondence of type A is the isomorphism between two super vertex algebras: the fermionic side, which is the vertex algebra based on the pair* $(\mathbb{C}\{\phi, \psi\}, r^{A_f})$*; and the bosonic side, which is the vertex algebra based on the pair* $(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}\alpha], r^{A_b})$*.*

We can directly see that (3.16) is a special case of lemma 6.5, as

$$r_{z_i,w_j}(e^{\alpha}\otimes e^{-\alpha}) = \frac{1}{z_i - w_j}, \quad r_{z_i,z_j}(e^{\alpha}\otimes e^{\alpha}) = z_i - w_j \quad r_{w_i,w_j}(e^{-\alpha}\otimes e^{-\alpha}) = w_i - w_j, \tag{6.62}$$

thus

$$\langle 0|e^{\alpha}(z_1)e^{\alpha}(z_2)\dots e^{\alpha}(z_n)e^{-\alpha}(w_1)e^{-\alpha}(w_2)\dots e^{-\alpha}(w_n)|0\rangle = i_{z,w}\frac{\prod_{i<j}^{n}((z_i - z_j)(w_i - w_j))}{\prod_{i,j=1}^{n}(z_i - w_j)}. \tag{6.63}$$

Here $i_{z;w}$ stands for the expansion $i_{z_1,z_2,\dots,z_n,w_1,\dots,w_n}$. We see that since the boson-fermion correspondence identifies the fields $e^{\alpha}(z) = \phi^{B}(z)$, then lemma 3.6 follows directly. The point is that the Cauchy determinant identity follows directly from the correspondence of type A, no need for other considerations on proving it, and is in fact a very important "imprint" of the boson-fermion correspondence, as each side in the equality represents the vacuum expectation values of the associated side of the boson-fermion correspondence of type A.

6.10. Twisted vertex algebra based on $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}\alpha]$: the free boson of type B.

We continue with the bosonic side of the boson-fermion correspondence of type B. This is the first example we will encounter where the spaces of states and fields are not free Leibnitz modules, but are quotients of a free Leibnitz module.

Recall that in the start of section 6.7 we denoted the free Leibnitz module $\tilde{V} = H^{N}_{T_\epsilon}(L_1)$, and its sub-Hopf algebra $\tilde{W} = H_D(L_1)$. We now want to work with $\epsilon = -1$ and

$$V = \tilde{V}/\{Te^{\alpha} = e^{-\alpha}\}, \tag{6.64}$$

i.e., V is the quotient Leibnitz module of $\tilde{V}$ modulo the relation $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}} = \{Te^{\alpha} = e^{-\alpha}\}$. Hence we can again write just T instead of T_ϵ.

If we want to define a $H^2_{T_\epsilon}\otimes H^2_{T}$-covariant bicharacter on V, that means we can only choose the bicharacter value $r_{z,w}(e^{\alpha}\otimes e^{\alpha})$, as the bicharacter values on all other elements of $\tilde{V}$ and $\tilde{W}$ are determined by the bicharacter properties and the covariance. Now for this bicharacter to be extended to a bicharacter on $V = \tilde{V}/\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}}$, it needs to be consistent with the relations $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}}$, i.e.,

$$r_{-z,w}(e^{\alpha}\otimes e^{\alpha}) = r_{z,w}(Te^{\alpha}\otimes e^{\alpha}) = r_{z,w}(e^{-\alpha}\otimes e^{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{r_{z,w}(e^{\alpha}\otimes e^{\alpha})}, \tag{6.65}$$

$$r_{z,-w}(e^{\alpha}\otimes e^{\alpha}) = r_{z,w}(e^{\alpha}\otimes Te^{\alpha}) = r_{z,w}(e^{\alpha}\otimes e^{-\alpha}) = \frac{1}{r_{z,w}(e^{\alpha}\otimes e^{\alpha})}. \tag{6.66}$$

Thus

$$r_{-z,w}(e^{\alpha}\otimes e^{\alpha}) = r_{z,-w}(e^{\alpha}\otimes e^{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{r_{z,w}(e^{\alpha}\otimes e^{\alpha})}. \tag{6.67}$$

Thus if we choose a bicharacter value $r_{z,w}(e^{\alpha}\otimes e^{\alpha})$ that satisfies the above relations (6.67), it will extend to an $H^2_T\otimes H^2_T$-covariant bicharacter on V. We choose

$$r_{z,w}(e^{\alpha}\otimes e^{\alpha})=\frac{z-w}{z+w}. \tag{6.68}$$

Now we turn to the exact description of the space of fields V and the space of states W of this twisted vertex algebra. First we know from example 5.11 that the free Leibnitz module $H^2_T(L_1)$ is isomorphic to $L_2\otimes H^2_T(\mathbb{C}[h])$, where L_2 is the group algebra $L_2=\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}\alpha,\mathbb{Z}\alpha_1]$ of the free abelian group of rank 2 (we identify Te^{α}, which is grouplike, with e^{α_1}). Here under the relation $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}}$ this actually reduces back to $L_1\otimes H^2_T(\mathbb{C}[h])$. Thus we now need to identify $H^2_T(\mathbb{C}[h])$ under the imposed relations $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}}$. Denote by h^B_{α} the element $h^B_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2}(De^{\alpha})Te^{\alpha}\in V$ (modified by a factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ from section 6.7), which coincides with $\frac{1}{2}(De^{\alpha})e^{-\alpha}\in V$. Now in V due to the relations $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}}$ we have $TDe^{\alpha}=-DTe^{\alpha}=-De^{-\alpha}$, and of course $Te^{-\alpha}=e^{\alpha}$. Thus

$$e^{\alpha}De^{-\alpha}=D(e^{\alpha}\cdot e^{-\alpha})-De^{\alpha}\cdot e^{-\alpha}=D(1)-De^{\alpha}\cdot e^{-\alpha}=-De^{\alpha}\cdot e^{-\alpha},$$

and

$$Th^B_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2}(TDe^{\alpha})Te^{-\alpha}=-\frac{1}{2}(DTe^{\alpha})e^{\alpha}=-\frac{1}{2}(De^{-\alpha})e^{\alpha}=h^B_{\alpha}.$$

Hence

$$Th^B_{\alpha}=h^B_{\alpha}, \tag{6.69}$$

meaning that under the imposed relations $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}}$ in V we have $H^2_T(\mathbb{C}[h])/\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}}=H_D(\mathbb{C}[h^B_{\alpha}])$. Thus

$$V=L_1\otimes H_D(\mathbb{C}[h^B_{\alpha}]). \tag{6.70}$$

Now for the space of states W. It is defined via the projection map $\pi_f:V\to W$, and we want to use as projection map the map from definition 5.21 adapted to the relations $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}}$. More precisely, define $\pi_f:V\to W$ to be the linear map defined by

$$\pi_f(H^2_T(\mathbb{C}[h^B_{\alpha}])/\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{B}})=Id,\quad \pi_f(Te^{n\alpha})=e^{n\alpha},\ \ \pi_f(e^{n\alpha})=e^{n\alpha},\ n\in\mathbb{Z}. \tag{6.71}$$

Denote by $\bar{v}$ the element of W which is the projection of the element $v\in V$. As a consequence we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{1}=\overline{e^{\alpha}}\,\overline{e^{-\alpha}}=\pi_f(e^{\alpha}e^{-\alpha})=\pi_f(e^{\alpha}Te^{\alpha})=\\ =\pi_f(e^{\alpha})\pi_f(Te^{\alpha})=\pi_f(e^{2\alpha})=\overline{e^{2\alpha}},\end{aligned}$$

thus we have in W

$$\overline{e^{2\alpha}}=1,\quad \overline{e^{\alpha}}=\overline{e^{-\alpha}}. \tag{6.72}$$

In conclusion, we have $W=H_D(\mathbb{C}[h^B_{\alpha}])\oplus e^{\alpha}H_D(\mathbb{C}[h^B_{\alpha}])$. Hence as expected, as vector spaces $W=B_B$, as in (4.8). Moreover, as we know h^B_{α} is a Heisenberg element, so it remains to see what Heisenberg algebra is generated by its field $h^B_{\alpha}(z)$. We use (6.50), which in this case specializes from (6.49) to

$$h^B_{\alpha}(z)h^B_{\alpha}(w)\sim 1\cdot r_{z,w}(h^B_{\alpha}\otimes h^B_{\alpha})\sim 1\cdot\frac{1}{4}\partial_w\partial_z\log\frac{z-w}{z+w},$$

reducing to

$$h^B_{\alpha}(z)h^B_{\alpha}(w)\sim 1\cdot\frac{z^2+w^2}{2(z^2-w^2)^2}. \tag{6.73}$$

Now the unexpected twist here is that $Th^B_\alpha = h^B_\alpha$, hence the field $h^B_\alpha(z)$ has only **even** powers of z, and we can write it as $h^B_\alpha(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} h_{2n+1}z^{-2n}$ From the OPE we immediately get the commutation relations:

$$[h^B_\alpha(z), h^B_\alpha(w)] = (i_{z,w} - i_{w,z})\frac{z^2+w^2}{2(z^2-w^2)^2} = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{2n+1}{2}\frac{w^{2n}}{z^{2n+2}}, \tag{6.74}$$

thus

$$[h_m, h_n] = \frac{m}{2}\delta_{m+n,0}, \quad m, n \text{ odd integers}, \tag{6.75}$$

which are precisely the commutation relations of the Heisenberg algebra that we had in the fermion of type B. Note that we can reindex the field as $h^B_\alpha(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}+1/2} h_n z^{-2n-1}$. which translates to

$$[h_m, h_n] = m\delta_{m+n,0}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z} + 1/2, \tag{6.76}$$

and explains the name $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}+1/2}$ for this Heisenberg algebra.

Note though that

$$h^B_\alpha(z) = z \cdot h^B(z), \tag{6.77}$$

and here we see the peculiarity of an isomorphism of twisted vertex algebras: the doubly infinite sequences of the modes of the two fields $h^B_\alpha(z)$ and $h^B(z)$ are the identical, but there is the **shift** in the indexing.

Now it remains to calculate the OPEs of the fields $e^{m\alpha}(w)$ with $h^B_\alpha(z)$, from (6.52) we get

$$h^B_\alpha(z)e^{m\alpha}(w) \sim me^{m\alpha}(w) \cdot \frac{w}{z^2-w^2}. \tag{6.78}$$

We see that if we identify $e^\alpha(z) = \phi^B(z)$, this OPE coincides exactly with (6.12), if we of course we take into account the re-indexing $h^B_\alpha(z) = z \cdot h^B(z)$. In commutation relations this reads:

$$[h^B_\alpha(z), e^{m\alpha}(w)] = (i_{z,w} - i_{w,z})\frac{w}{z^2-w^2} \cdot e^{m\alpha}(w) = \delta_{\mathrm{odd}}(z,w)e^{m\alpha}(w). \tag{6.79}$$

From the standard vertex operator calculations this commutation relations immediately imply the exponential operator formula (4.9) in the bosonic side of the boson-fermion correspondences.

Thus we have shown that the pair $(L_1/\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{B}, r_{z,w}(e^\alpha\otimes e^\alpha) = \frac{z-w}{z+w})$ generates and describes the twisted vertex algebra which is the bosonic side of the boson-fermion correspondence of type B.

To summarize all these considerations:

Theorem 6.8. *The boson-fermion correspondence of type B is the isomorphism between two twisted vertex algebras: the fermionic side, which is the vertex algebra based on the pair* $(\mathbb{C}\{\phi\}, r^{B_f})$*; and the bosonic side, which is the twisted vertex algebra based on the pair* $(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}\alpha]/\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{B}, r^{B_b})$.

We can directly calculate the vacuum expectation values on the bosonic side of the correspondence of type B as a special case of lemma 6.5: from $r_{z_i,z_j}(e^\alpha\otimes e^\alpha) = \frac{z_i-z_j}{z_i+z_j}$, we have immediately

$$\langle 0|e^\alpha(z_1)e^\alpha(z_2)\dots e^\alpha(z_{2n})|0\rangle = i_z\prod_{i<j}^{2n}\frac{z_i-z_j}{z_i+z_j} \tag{6.80}$$

Here i_z stands for the expansion $i_{z_1,z_2,\dots,z_{2n}}$. Thus we see that since the boson-fermion correspondence identifies the fields $e^{\alpha}(z) = \phi^B(z)$, then lemma 4.9 follows directly. The point is that the Schur Pfaffian identity follows directly from the correspondence of type B, no need for other considerations on proving it, and is in fact a very representative "imprint" of the boson-fermion correspondence of type B, as each side represents the vacuum expectation values of the associated side of the boson-fermion correspondence.

That concludes our study of the boson-fermion correspondence of type B in this paper, although as mentioned in the introduction there are many more properties and consequences that are of great interest about it, but we will leave it for another place due to length.

6.11. **Twisted vertex algebra based on $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}\alpha]$: the free boson of type D-C.**

We continue with the bosonic side of the boson-fermion correspondence of type D-C. This is another example where the spaces of states and fields are not free Leibnitz modules, but quotients of a free Leibnitz module.

We are again working with the free Leibnitz module $\tilde{V} = H^N_{T_\epsilon}(L_1)$, and its sub-Hopf algebra $\tilde{W} = H_D(L_1)$. For the bosonic space of type D we want to work with

$$V = \tilde{V}/\{Te^{\alpha} = e^{\alpha}\}, \tag{6.81}$$

i.e., V is the quotient Leibnitz module modulo the relation $\mathcal{R_D} = \{Te^{\alpha} = e^{\alpha}\}$. We again work only with $\epsilon = -1$, hence we write just T instead of T_ϵ.

As we did in the previous section, if we want to define a $H^2_T \otimes H^2_T$-covariant bicharacter on V, we need to choose a bicharacter value $r_{z,w}(e^{\alpha} \otimes e^{\alpha})$ which is consistent with the relations $\mathcal{R_D}$, i.e.,

$$r_{-z,w}(e^{\alpha} \otimes e^{\alpha}) = r_{z,w}(Te^{\alpha} \otimes e^{\alpha}) = r_{z,w}(e^{\alpha} \otimes e^{\alpha}), \tag{6.82}$$

$$r_{z,-w}(e^{\alpha} \otimes e^{\alpha}) = r_{z,w}(e^{\alpha} \otimes Te^{\alpha}) = r_{z,w}(e^{\alpha} \otimes e^{\alpha}), \tag{6.83}$$

i.e., $r_{z,w}(e^{\alpha} \otimes e^{\alpha})$ needs to be even as a function of both z and w, as well as supersymmetric with exchange of z and w. Thus we can choose

$$r_{z,w}(e^{\alpha} \otimes e^{\alpha}) = z^2 - w^2, \tag{6.84}$$

which bicharacter value will generate a bicharacter r^{D_b} on V by covariance.

Now we turn to the exact description of the space of fields V and the space of states W of this twisted vertex algebra. As in the previous section we use that the free Leibnitz module $H^2_T(L_1)$ is isomorphic to $L_2 \otimes H^2_T(\mathbb{C}[h])$. And again here under the relation $\mathcal{R_D}$ this actually reduces back to $L_1 \otimes H^2_T(\mathbb{C}[h])$. Thus we need to identify $H^2_T(\mathbb{C}[h])$ under the imposed relations $\mathcal{R_D}$. Denote by h^D_{α} the element $\frac{1}{2}(De^{\alpha})e^{-\alpha} \in V$, which we know is a Heisenberg element. Now in V due to the relations $\mathcal{R_D}$ we have $TDe^{\alpha} = -DTe^{\alpha} = -De^{\alpha}$, and of course $Te^{-\alpha} = e^{-\alpha}$. Thus

$$Th^D_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}(TDe^{\alpha})Te^{-\alpha} = -\frac{1}{2}DTe^{\alpha}e^{-\alpha} = -\frac{1}{2}De^{\alpha}e^{-\alpha} = -h^D_{\alpha}.$$

Hence

$$Th^D_{\alpha} = -h^D_{\alpha}, \tag{6.85}$$

meaning that under the imposed relations $\mathcal{R_D}$ in V we again as in the previous section have $H^2_T(\mathbb{C}[h])/\mathcal{R_D} = H_D(\mathbb{C}[h^D_{\alpha}])$, although this time h^D_{α} is odd under T. Thus

$$V = L_1 \otimes H_D(\mathbb{C}[h^D_{\alpha}]). \tag{6.86}$$

Now for the space of states W: in an unexpected turn we define it to be equal to V, i.e., we take as projection map $\pi_f : V \to W$ the identity map on V.

We know h^D_α is a Heisenberg element, so it remains to see what Heisenberg algebra is generated by its field $h^D_\alpha(z)$. We use (6.50), which in this case specializes from (6.49) to

$$h^D_\alpha(z)h^D_\alpha(w) \sim 1 \cdot r_{z,w}(h^D_\alpha \otimes h^D_\alpha) \sim 1 \cdot \frac{1}{4}\partial_w\partial_z \log(z^2 - w^2),$$

reducing again as in the previous section to

$$h^D_\alpha(z)h^D_\alpha(w) \sim 1 \cdot \frac{zw}{(z^2 - w^2)^2}. \tag{6.87}$$

The twist is that in contrast to the previous section, here $Th^D_\alpha = -h^D_\alpha$, hence the field $h^D_\alpha(z)$ has only **odd** powers of z, and we can write it as $h^D_\alpha(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} h_n z^{-2n-1}$. Note that the field $h^D_\alpha(z)$ has the same OPE as the Heisenberg field $h^D(z)$, (4.13), as it should.

From the OPE we immediately get the commutation relations:

$$[h_m, h_n] = m\delta_{m+n,0}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{6.88}$$

which are precisely the commutation relations of the Heisenberg algebra $\mathcal{H}_\mathbb{Z}$ of the fermion of type D. On the bosonic side it is very easy to identify the representation of the Heisenberg algebra, as the highest weight vectors are precisely the elements $e^{n\alpha} \in V \equiv W,\ n \in \mathbb{Z}$. As a Heisenberg module $V \equiv W$ splits then into a direct sum of irreducible modules $B_i,\ i \in \mathbb{Z}$, each with highest weight i. Hence

$$V \equiv W \equiv \mathbb{C}[e^\alpha, e^{-\alpha}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[h^D_\alpha, Dh^D_\alpha, \dots, D^{(n)}h^D_\alpha, \dots] \cong \tag{6.89}$$

$$\cong \mathbb{C}[e^\alpha, e^{-\alpha}] \otimes \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots] \cong \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} B_i = B_D, \tag{6.90}$$

the equivalence being as Heisenberg modules.

Now it remains to calculate the OPEs of the fields $e^{m\alpha}(w)$ with $h^D_\alpha(z)$, from (6.52) we get

$$h^D_\alpha(z)e^{m\alpha}(w) \sim me^{m\alpha}(w) \cdot \frac{z}{z^2 - w^2}. \tag{6.91}$$

The commutation relations for $e^\alpha(z)$ and $e^{-\alpha}(z)$ are:

$$[h(z), e^{\pm\alpha}(w)] = \pm(i_{z,w} - i_{w,z})\frac{z}{z^2 - w^2} \cdot e^{\pm\alpha}(w) = \pm\frac{1}{2}(\delta(z-w) + \delta(z+w))e^{\pm\alpha}(w). \tag{6.92}$$

From the standard vertex operator calculations this commutation relations immediately imply the exponential operator formulas

$$e^{-\alpha}_D(z) = e^{-\alpha}(z) = \exp(-\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{h_{-n}}{n} z^{2n}) \exp(\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{h_n}{n} z^{-2n}) e^{-\alpha} z^{-2\partial_\alpha}, \tag{6.93}$$

$$e^{\alpha}_D(z) = e^{\alpha}(z) = \exp(\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{h_{-n}}{n} z^{2n}) \exp(-\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{h_n}{n} z^{-2n}) e^{-\alpha} z^{2\partial_\alpha}, \tag{6.94}$$

Note that both of these are entirely even-in-z operators, i.e., $e^{-\alpha}_D(z) = e^{-\alpha}_D(-z)$ and $e^\alpha_D(z) = e^\alpha_D(-z)$, which is of course consistent with the relations $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{D}$: $Te^{-\alpha} = e^{-\alpha}$ and $Te^\alpha = e^\alpha$. Moreover, what we see from (3.14) and (3.15) of the boson of type A is that

$$e^\alpha_D(z) = e^\alpha_A(z^2), \quad e^{-\alpha}_D(z) = e^{-\alpha}_A(z^2), \tag{6.95}$$

and the spaces of states and fields are isomorphic also as Heisenberg modules. This is a very interesting occurrence, and is the topic of [RT12], who discovered it independently (the author thanks the organizers of the International Workshop "Lie Theory and its

Applications in Physics" for a most enjoyable and productive workshop which allowed the author and K. Rehren to discuss this topic).

One should note though that the isomorphism of the spaces of states as Heisenberg modules and the "change of variables" formula (6.95) still does not mean isomorphism as twisted vertex algebras, as these two vertex algebras have a different set of singularities in the OPEs. The equivalence as [RT12] notes is as CAR algebras.

We see that the boson-fermion correspondence of type D identifies the pair of fields $e_D^{-\alpha}(z) = e_\phi^{-\alpha}(z)$ and $e_D^{\alpha}(z) = ze_\phi^{\alpha}(z)$, i.e., we have

$$\phi^D(z) = e_D^{-\alpha}(z) + ze_D^{\alpha}(z), \quad (T\phi)^D(z) = e_D^{-\alpha}(z) - ze_D^{\alpha}(z). \tag{6.96}$$

Here again we see the "shifts" that are allowed in an isomorphism of vertex algebras.

Thus we have shown that the pair $(L_1/\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{D}, r_{z,w}(e^\alpha \otimes e^\alpha) = z^2 - w^2)$ generates and describes the twisted vertex algebra which is the bosonic side of the boson-fermion correspondence of type D.

To summarize all these considerations:

Theorem 6.9. *The boson-fermion correspondence of type D is the isomorphism between two twisted vertex algebras: the fermionic side, which is the twisted vertex algebra based on the pair* $(\mathbb{C}\{\phi\}, r^{D_f})$*; and the bosonic side, which is the twisted vertex algebra based on the pair* $(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}\alpha]/\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{D}, r^{D_b})$.

To compare the vacuum expectation values on the bosonic side with those on the fermionic side, we need to take into account the isomorphism formula (6.96). We can calculate the vacuum expectation values of any number of the fields e_D^α and $e_D^{-\alpha}$ as a special case of lemma 6.5, but we need to take into account the summation in (6.96). Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle 0|\phi^D(z_1)\phi^D(z_2)\dots\phi^D(z_{2n})|0\rangle = \\
&= \langle 0|(e_D^{-\alpha}(z_1) + z_1e_D^{\alpha}(z_1))(e_D^{-\alpha}(z_2) + z_2e_D^{\alpha}(z_2))\dots(e_D^{-\alpha}(z_{2n}) + z_{2n}e_D^{\alpha}(z_{2n}))|0\rangle = \\
&= \langle 0|e^{-\alpha}(z_1)e^{-\alpha}(z_2)\dots e^{-\alpha}(z_{2n})|0\rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{2n} z_i\langle 0|e^{-\alpha}(z_1)\dots e^{\alpha}(z_i)\dots e^{-\alpha}(z_{2n})|0\rangle + \\
&\qquad + \sum_{i<j}^{2n} z_iz_j\langle 0|e^{-\alpha}(z_1)\dots e^{\alpha}(z_i)\dots e^{\alpha}(z_j)\dots e^{-\alpha}(z_{2n})|0\rangle + \dots + \\
&+ \sum_{i_1<i_2\dots<i_k}^{2n} z_{i_1}z_{i_2}\cdots z_{i_k}\langle 0|e^{-\alpha}(z_1)\dots e^{\alpha}(z_{i_1})\dots e^{\alpha}(z_{i_2})\dots e^{\alpha}(z_{i_k})\dots e^{-\alpha}(z_{2n})|0\rangle + \dots
\end{aligned}$$

Recall the factor of $\delta_{m_1+m_2+\dots+m_n,0}$ in the right-hand side of the lemma 6.5. That factor forces all the sums but one to vanish: the only sum that will not vanish is the sum with the product of exactly n factors of z_{i_k} in it, as it will have exactly n e^α's in it and as many $e^{-\alpha}$'s, namely the sum

$$\sum_{i_1<i_2\dots<i_n}^{2n} z_{i_1}z_{i_2}\cdots z_{i_n}\langle 0|e^{-\alpha}(z_1)\dots e^{\alpha}(z_{i_1})\dots e^{\alpha}(z_{i_2})\dots e^{\alpha}(z_{i_n})\dots e^{-\alpha}(z_{2n})|0\rangle.$$

We have

$$r_{z_i,z_j}(e^\alpha \otimes e^{-\alpha}) = r_{z_i,z_j}(e^{-\alpha} \otimes e^\alpha) = \frac{1}{z_i^2 - z_j^2},$$

thus

$$\langle 0|\phi^D(z_1)\phi^D(z_2)\dots\phi^D(z_{2n})|0\rangle = i_z\frac{\sum_{i_1<i_2\cdots<i_n}^{2n} z_{i_1}z_{i_2}\cdots z_{i_n}\prod_{k<l}^{n}(z_{i_k}^2-z_{i_l}^2)^2}{\prod_{i<j}^{2n} z_i^2-z_j^2}. \tag{6.97}$$

That proves lemma 4.12. It is not such a pretty formula as the Schur Pfaffian identity of the boson-fermion correspondence of type B, but one thing is clear from this formula and its singularities: that the correspondence of type D-C involves twisted vertex algebras.

We can manipulate the vacuum expectation values in another way: The vacuum expectation values here are products, from lemma 6.5, so we can "re-factor back" by rewriting the only-contributing-sum into product of pairs (there are exactly n pairs, as there are exactly n e^{α}'s in it and as many $e^{-\alpha}$'s):

$$\langle 0|\phi^D(z_1)\phi^D(z_2)\dots\phi^D(z_{2n})|0\rangle =$$
$$= \sum_P sign(P)\prod_{k=1}^{n}\langle 0|(e_D^{-\alpha}(z_{i_k})+z_{i_k}e_D^{\alpha}(z_{i_k}))(e_D^{-\alpha}(z_{j_k})+z_{j_k}e_D^{\alpha}(z_{j_k}))|0\rangle$$

The sum is over all permutations P such that $i_1 < i_2\cdots < i_n$ and $i_k < j_k$ for any $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The $sign(P)$ suddenly appeared above because we moved the field $(e_D^{-\alpha}(z_{j_k}) + z_{j_k}e_D^{\alpha}(z_{j_k}))$ from the back where it belonged to the front with the field $(e_D^{-\alpha}(z_{i_k})+z_{i_k}e_D^{\alpha}(z_{i_k}))$, and that involved changing signs as the fields and bicharacters are supersymmetric, recall remark 5.50. We had in fact thrown in some factors that did not belong in the original contributing sum, but they do not matter as they have 0 vacuum expectation value, as do terms coming from $e_D^{-\alpha}(z_i)e_D^{-\alpha}(z_j)$ and $e_D^{-\alpha}(z_i)e_D^{-\alpha}(z_j)$ –they do not contribute as they would produce only terms orthogonal to the vacuum vector (again the delta factor from lemma 6.5). So we get

$$i_z\sum_P sign(P)\prod_{k=1}^{n}(z_{i_k}r_{z_{i_k},z_{j_k}}(e^{\alpha}\otimes e^{-\alpha})+z_{j_k}r_{z_{i_k},z_{j_k}}(e^{-\alpha}\otimes e^{\alpha})).$$

Using the bicharacter values we have

$$z_{i_k}r_{z_{i_k},z_{j_k}}(e^{\alpha}\otimes e^{-\alpha})+z_{j_k}r_{z_{i_k},z_{j_k}}(e^{-\alpha}\otimes e^{\alpha}) = \frac{z_{i_k}}{z_{i_k}^2-z_{j_k}^2}+\frac{z_{j_k}}{z_{i_k}^2-z_{j_k}^2} = \frac{1}{z_{i_k}-z_{j_k}}$$

Thus we get

$$\langle 0|\phi^D(z_1)\phi^D(z_2)\dots\phi^D(z_{2n})|0\rangle = i_z\sum_P sign(P)\prod_{k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{z_{i_k}-z_{j_k}},$$

where again the sum is over all permutations P such that $i_1 < i_2\cdots < i_n$ and $i_k < j_k$ for any $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. This formula, although maybe prettier, is not too interesting, as the sum on the right hand side is by definition the Pfaffian $Pf\left(\frac{1}{z_i-z_j}\right)_{i=1}^{2n}$, and we knew that from the fermionic side of the field $\phi^D(z)$. (Here throughout i_z stands for the expansion $i_{z_1,z_2,\dots,z_{2n}}$.)

Thus we see that since the boson-fermion correspondence identifies the fields $\phi^D(z) = e_D^{-\alpha}(z)+ze_D^{\alpha}(z)$, then lemma 4.12 follows directly. The point is that this Pfaffian identity follows directly from the correspondence of type D, and is the representative "imprint" of the boson-fermion correspondence, as each side represents the vacuum expectation values of the associated side of the boson-fermion correspondence. Note that it also shows that, despite (6.95), the super-vertex algebra of type A is **not** isomorphic to the twisted vertex algebra of type D-C, which is immediately noticeable from the vacuum expectation values.

What is more, we can see that the determinant vacuum expectation values of the type A are precisely the square of the Pfaffian vacuum expectation values of the type D-C. In [RT12], Proposition 2, one sees that the boson-fermion correspondence of type D-C can be potentially generalized to arbitrary order N-twisted vertex algebra.

That concludes our study of the boson-fermion correspondence of type D in this paper, although there are many more properties and consequences that are of great interest about it, which have not been studied. We will leave it for another place due to length, but also it is the goal of this paper not to study **each** example in detail (even though it is a new example of a boson-fermion correspondence), but rather to unify the variety of examples under a common definition. Hence, in the next section we will mention a few other examples, although very briefly.

6.12. **Miscellaneous: Other examples of boson-fermion correspondences and twisted vertex algebras.**

Lest the reader thinks that there are no other important examples in the literature of boson-fermion (or boson-boson) correspondences which sides are obvious twisted vertex algebras, here we briefly mention 2 other pairs of examples: the CKP correspondence, for details and more information see [DJKM81] and [vOS11], and the "super boson-fermion correspondence of type B" (see [KvdL89]). We will only give here the very brief indication of the bicharacter description of one of these. What unifies these two from the bicharacter perspective is that they both are based, at least in part, directly on the simplest of the free Leibnitz modules, the module $H^2_T(\mathbb{C}[h])$ of example 5.10 (recall $\mathbb{C}[h]$ is the polynomial algebra of a single variable, considered as a Hopf algebra with h a primitive element). We wanted to give an example, that is not made-up, but is interesting on its own, which is based on this simplest free Leibnitz module and a bicharacter.

The "left-hand side" of the CKP correspondence has a space of states $V = H^2_T(\mathbb{C}[h])$, and the twisted vertex algebra is generated by the bicharacter

$$r_{z,w}(h\otimes h) = \frac{1}{z+w}. \tag{6.98}$$

We only have to give the bicharacter value on the element h, which in this example will be denoted by h_ϕ. The OPE for the corresponding field $h_\phi(z)$ directly follows from the fact that h_ϕ is primitive:

$$h_\phi(z)h_\phi(w) \sim \frac{1}{z+w} \sim h_\phi(w)h_\phi(z). \tag{6.99}$$

We use ϕ_j as notation for the modes of $h_\phi(z)$ to follow [vOS11]. The field $h_\phi(z)$ is indexed as follows: $h_\phi(z) = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}+1/2} \phi_j z^{j-1/2}$. The OPE is an immediate indication that this is not going to be a super vertex algebra, but a twisted vertex algebra. This OPE is equivalent to the commutation relations

$$[\phi_i, \phi_j] = (-1)^{j-1/2}\delta_{i+j,0}. \tag{6.100}$$

Now in order to construct the other side of the twisted vertex algebra, as always we need a Heisenberg element, and it is defined as

$$H(z) = -\frac{1}{2} : h_\phi(-z)h_\phi(z). \tag{6.101}$$

The paper [vOS11] then goes on to derive the correspondence, and many interesting properties, we refer the reader to it.

Another example we wanted to mention is the "super boson-fermion correspondence of type B" (see [KvdL89]), although the name super is not entirely appropriate. Any fermion is super, and the sides of the correspondence do not even form a super vertex algebra, but a twisted one. The name actually derives from the fact that these "super correspondences" are representations of particular affine super algebras. We refer the reader to [KvdL89] for information on this correspondence.

We also want to mention that other examples of twisted vertex algebras are supplied by the representation theory of affine Lie algebras and affine Lie super algebras, see for example [Fre80], [FF85], [FFR91] and [KWY98].

We haven't presented a detailed example of a twisted vertex algebra of order more than 2. But that could be done very easily from any of the cases of a Hopf algebra M if we just pick the root of unity ϵ in the free Leibnitz module $H^N_{T_\epsilon}(M)$ to be of order more than 2. In this paper though we concentrated on the examples of the boson-fermion correspondences, and the known ones so far happen to be of order 2 (although as mentioned the boson-fermion correspondence of type D-C appear to be generalizable to any order N, see [RT12]).

In conclusion, it is clear that the bicharacter construction can produce an enormous variety of examples of twisted vertex algebras. In this paper we detailed four of these examples, all of which are important enough on their own to justify the new definition of "twisted vertex algebra". Moreover, the bicharacter construction actually allowed us to predict, and then construct the example of the boson-fermion correspondence of type D-C, which is a new boson-fermion correspondence.

References

[Ang08] Iana I. Anguelova. Super-bicharacter construction of H_D-quantum vertex algebras. *Rep. Math. Phys.*, 61(2):253–263, 2008.

[Ang11] Iana Anguelova. Boson-fermion correspondence of type B and twisted vertex algebras. In *Proceedings of the 9-th International Workshop "Lie Theory and Its Applications in Physics" (LT-9), 20-26 June 2011, Varna, Bulgaria*, 2011. 11 pages.

[BFFO04] Christian Brouder, Bertfried Fauser, Alessandra Frabetti, and Robert Oeckl. Quantum field theory and Hopf algebra cohomology. *J. Phys. A*, 37(22):5895–5927, 2004.

[BK04] Bojko Bakalov and Victor G. Kac. Twisted modules over lattice vertex algebras. In *Lie theory and its applications in physics V*, pages 3–26. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2004.

[BK06] Bojko Bakalov and Victor G. Kac. Generalized vertex algebras. In H.-D. Doebner and V.K. Dobrev, editors, *Proceedings of the 6-th International Workshop "Lie Theory and Its Applications in Physics" (LT-6), Varna, Bulgaria*, pages 3–25. Heron Press, 2006.

[Bor86] Richard E. Borcherds. Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 83(10):3068–3071, 1986.

[Bor01] Richard E. Borcherds. Quantum vertex algebras. In *Taniguchi Conference on Mathematics Nara '98*, volume 31 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 51–74, Tokyo, 2001. Math. Soc. Japan.

[DJKM81] Etsurō Date, Michio Jimbo, Masaki Kashiwara, and Tetsuji Miwa. Transformation groups for soliton equations. VI. KP hierarchies of orthogonal and symplectic type. *J. Phys. Soc. Japan*, 50(11):3813–3818, 1981.

[DJKM82] Etsurō Date, Michio Jimbo, Masaki Kashiwara, and Tetsuji Miwa. Transformation groups for soliton equations. IV. A new hierarchy of soliton equations of KP-type. *Phys. D*, 4(3):343–365, 1981/82.

[DL93] Chongying Dong and James Lepowsky. *Generalized vertex algebras and relative vertex operators*, volume 112 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1993.

[Fre80] Igor B. Frenkel. Spinor representations of affine Lie algebras. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 77(11, part 1):6303–6306, 1980.

[Fre81] Igor B. Frenkel. Two constructions of affine Lie algebra representations and boson-fermion correspondence in quantum field theory. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 44(3):259–327, 1981.

[FF85] Alex J. Feingold and Igor B. Frenkel. Classical affine algebras. *Adv. in Math.*, 56(2):117–172, 1985.

[FFR91] Alex J. Feingold, Igor B. Frenkel, and John F. X. Ries. *Spinor construction of vertex operator algebras, triality, and* $E_8^{(1)}$, volume 121 of *Contemporary Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1991.

[FHL93] Igor B. Frenkel, Yi-Zhi Huang, and James Lepowsky. On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 104(494):viii+64, 1993.

[FLM88] Igor B. Frenkel, James Lepowsky, and Arne Meurman. *Vertex operator algebras and the Monster*, volume 134 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press Inc., Boston, MA, 1988.

[FR97] E. Frenkel and N. Reshetikhin. Towards Deformed Chiral Algebras. In *Proceedings of the Quantum Group Symposium at the XXIth International Colloquium on Group Theoretical Methods in Physics, Goslar 1996*, pages 6023–+, 1997.

[Kac90] Victor G. Kac. *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, third edition, 1990.

[Kac98] Victor Kac. *Vertex algebras for beginners*, volume 10 of *University Lecture Series*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, 1998.

[KR87] V. G. Kac and A. K. Raina. *Bombay lectures on highest weight representations of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, volume 2 of *Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., Teaneck, NJ, 1987.

[KvdL89] V. G. Kac and J. W. van de Leur. Super boson-fermion correspondence of type B. In *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and groups (Luminy-Marseille, 1988)*, volume 7 of *Adv. Ser. Math. Phys.*, pages 369–406. World Sci. Publ., Teaneck, NJ, 1989.

[KW94] Victor Kac and Weiqiang Wang. Vertex operator superalgebras and their representations. In *Mathematical aspects of conformal and topological field theories and quantum groups (South Hadley, MA, 1992)*, volume 175 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 161–191. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.

[KWY98] Victor G. Kac, Weiqiang Wang, and Catherine H. Yan. Quasifinite representations of classical Lie subalgebras of $\mathcal{W}_{1+\infty}$. *Adv. Math.*, 139(1):56–140, 1998.

[Li94] Hai Sheng Li. Symmetric invariant bilinear forms on vertex operator algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 96(3):279–297, 1994.

[LL04] James Lepowsky and Haisheng Li. *Introduction to vertex operator algebras and their representations*, volume 227 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2004.

[Roi03] Michael Roitman. On twisted representations of vertex algebras. *Adv. Math.*, 176(1):53–88, 2003.

[RT12] K.-H. Rehren and G. Tedesco. Multilocal fermionization. *ArXiv e-prints*, May 2012.

[vdLO09] J. W. van de Leur and A. Yu. Orlov. Random turn walk on a half line with creation of particles at the origin. *Phys. Lett. A*, 373(31):2675–2681, 2009.

[vOS11] J. W. van de Leur, A. Y. Orlov, and T. Shiota. CKP Hierarchy, Bosonic Tau Function and Bosonization Formulae. *ArXiv e-prints*, February 2011.

[Wak01] Minoru Wakimoto. *Lectures on infinite-dimensional Lie algebra*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2001.

[Wan99] Weiqiang Wang. Dual pairs and infinite dimensional Lie algebras. In *Recent developments in quantum affine algebras and related topics (Raleigh, NC, 1998)*, volume 248 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 453–469. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.

[Xu98] Xiaoping Xu. *Introduction to vertex operator superalgebras and their modules*, volume 456 of *Mathematics and its Applications*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1998.

[You89] Yuching You. Polynomial solutions of the BKP hierarchy and projective representations of symmetric groups. In *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and groups (Luminy-Marseille, 1988)*, volume 7 of *Adv. Ser. Math. Phys.*, pages 449–464. World Sci. Publ., Teaneck, NJ, 1989.

Department of Mathematics, College of Charleston, Charleston SC 29424
E-mail address: `anguelovai@cofc.edu`